

March 20, 1941

Dear Mr Lyle,

I wonder if the argument you want is the following:-

It is supposed that the true values of  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly related, following the relation

$$y = bx + c,$$

then, whatever may be the distribution of frequency along this line, the three quadratic quantities, variance of  $x$ , covariance of  $x$  and  $y$ , and variance of  $y$ , must be in geometric progression with common factor  $b$ . This, of course, is only true provided  $x$  and  $y$  are unaffected by errors. The actual effect of errors will be variable from case to case; but their average effect will be merely to add the variances and covariance ascribable <sup>to</sup> ~~for~~ error to the corresponding quantities ascribable to variation free from error.

In many cases we may know that the covariance of errors is zero. In addition there ~~may~~ be strong grounds for believing the error variances to be equal, e.g., if they are determinations of a ~~similarly~~ physically similar nature. In these cases it will be legitimate to estimate the error variances and the regression

line by the method outlined.

Yours sincerely,

P.S. I believe not published, but almost certainly something like enough to be mistaken for it has.