

8 March 1944

Dear Mr Lyle,

Thanks for your note. I too think that a glossary is a useful idea.

About your definition, which is the kind of point on which no two people will agree, my own view is that your wording is entirely suitable for defining the level of significance chosen as the basis of any test, but that Fiducial Probability in general needs a wider definition, such as

"Probability statements relating to unknown parameters based on tests of significance only are termed statements of fiducial probability. The term is used in contrast with statements of inverse probability, which are based on a postulated distribution a priori."

Yours sincerely,

P.S. The method of your second paragraph seems handy and entirely free from objection.