5th March 1934.

Dr. K. Pearson, Zeelogy Department, University Cellege.

Dear Dr. Pearson,

Thanks for your letter of March the 4th. I see that you have done wenders with the publication fund, though I am embarrassed at having to undertake to do wenders after you.

My difficulty is simply this, that
even making the Annals definitely bi-annual it
will take practically the whole of the publication
fund, and leave but little ever for the Treasury.

I cannot of course do it so long as I obtain outside help, but to do so outs into the prespects of
Research Assistance, of which I badly need.

However, if the Annals and the Treasury together
have not cost more than £200 a year not, I have
he cause to grumble, so long as the College provides
as much.

On one point I should like to knew your feelings, and that is whether you would regard it as very retrograde to alter the format of the

Annals if by so deing it could be preduced mere cheaply. I appreciate the advantage of its present very handsome appearance, and the disadvantage to librarians and others of changing the size of bound volumes. I always liked too, a large page for mathematical work, but in the present make-up the margins, and especially the lower margin, seem everample and it is possible that a somewhat smaller page would cost less.

Yours sincerely,