29th April, 1954.

Dear Rob,

Thanks for your two letters which I have been wrestling with though, of course, in so short a time this is only a first impression, but I thought you might prefer a quick reaction. The evidence that Str. is at the E locus, and is not just a new rare antigen, is not, I fancy, so strong as you think, but certainly is suggestive even without considering anything like probability a priori on picking up something at a new locus. I should be inclined myself to say that numbers 4, 5, 6, and 7, all rank as non-crossovers when they might equally well have been recombinants from a doubly heterozygous parent. I do not see that number 1 counts for anything, or that number 5 counts for more than a factor too. However, perhaps I have missed something in your argument.

Thanks for letting me know of the new find.

Sincerely yours,

R. A. Fisher