

20th October, 1951.

My dear Rob,

I am returning the photo for Grifols, dated I hope correctly.

Hourent was good enough to send me an advance draft of a letter to Nature about Duffy and b which is great news. Your note on linkage has as often a commendable brevity which somehow does not do justice to the very large amount of work that must be behind it. Suppose you made a triangular diagram with ten cells on the first line representing sex, nine cells on the second representing ABO, eight on the third for MNS, and so on, then the amounts of information, which are of ^{not} permanent concern, and the current scores which are presumably transitory, could be entered in the spaces formed. At least one could easily see in such a diagram where information is at present scanty.

My other point is egotistical. You honour me twice on the first page, and ~~at~~ these, in the first place I should like to add "with the support of the Rockefeller Foundation".

In the second place, in the second paragraph there are two or three papers of mine in the Annals which might be included in the bibliography and referred to on the first page where you speak of the y statistics. Actually, if I mistake not, "Types" 20 and 21 of Finney which you have used are just what I introduced and not a later elaboration such as is needed in using "uncertain" families.

Love to Ruth.

Yours sincerely,

Tell Monica I am so glad she can come.