J.C. Wallace, Esq.,
Agricultural Institute,
Kirton,
Nr Boston,
Lines.

Dear Mr. Wallace:

I am returning herewith the bulb data. I have made some tests on Emperor Narcissus, which may interest you. Treating the plots as though they had been arranged at random, the analysis for weights lifted comes to

		Degrees	Sum of	Mean
	2 2	Freedom	Squares	Square
Blocks		2	16997.46	8499.7
Treatments		24	31628.69	1317.9
Error	Controls Remainder	5 58	2561.12 36659.88	512.21 622.6 632.1)
Total		89	87847.15	

The different controls of the same block differ among themselves by just about as much as one would expect from the discrepancy between the performances of different treatments in different blocks; this is shown by the good

agreement of the last two mean squares. The variance for a single plot is 622, and for the mean of 3 plots 207.5 giving a standard error of about 14 ounces. Deviations from the mean of 30 ounces or more could therefore be significant, even if we ignore weight planted.

To find what use, if any, should be made of weight planted, I have made a similar analysis of these weights:

Weight planted.

	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	
24	361.32	0.13	
5	28.28 622.01 593.73	5.66	9.873
89	983.60		

and an analysis of the covariance of the two things (variates) weight planted and weight lifted.

Covariance.

	Sum of Products	
2 24 5 58	+ 25.20 + 1680.71 + 64.22 + 378.80	443.02
	1 373.50	
89	+2148.97	

The average effect on weight lifted of an extra ounce planted is then given by the ratio of 443.02 to the corresponding entry 622.01 in the table for weight planted.

This comes to .7122. It is interesting that this is actually less than unity, so that there would have been a slight overallowance error taking straight increases in weight and a considerable over-allowance taking proportional increase for this allows about 3 ounces for each extra ounce planted.

The effect of making the allowance calculated above (.7122) is shown below:

Weight lifted adjusted for weight planted.

	eedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square
	2	16961.72	8480.9
	24	29417.85	1225.7
Error	62	38905.46	627.5

One degree of freedom is lost to the error by the adjustment. The precision is practically the same as before,
certainly not improved. Consequently, I should be inclined to
cut out all the percentage calculations, and to take the
straight increase in weight over that planted. This should
simplify the calculations considerably.

Yours sincerely,