

A Petrophysical Joint Inversion of
Magnetotelluric and Gravity Data for
Enhanced Subsurface Imaging of
Sedimentary Environments

by

Rachel E. Maier

Thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in
The University of Adelaide
School of Earth and Environmental Sciences
January, 2011

for Norbert A. Maier
1950-2007

Contents

Abstract	vii
Statement of Originality	ix
Acknowledgements	xi
List of Symbols	xiii
List of Figures	xix
List of Tables	xxvii
1 Introduction	1
2 Magnetotellurics and Gravity Theory	7
2.1 Magnetotellurics	7
2.1.1 Basic Magnetotelluric Theory	8
2.1.2 Conductivity Equations	21
2.1.3 Magnetotelluric Summary	23
2.2 Gravity	24
2.2.1 Basic Gravity Theory	24
2.2.2 Density Equations	31
2.2.3 Gravity Summary	31
2.3 Conclusions	32
3 Magnetotelluric and Gravity Inversions	35
3.1 Inversions	35

3.1.1	Inversion Theory	36
3.1.2	MT Inversion Review	46
3.1.3	Gravity Inversion and Forward Modelling Review	48
3.1.4	Discussion	50
3.2	The Occam Inversion	51
3.2.1	General Aspects	51
3.2.2	Specific MT Aspects	56
3.2.3	The MT Occam Program	57
3.3	Linear Occam	59
3.4	Conclusions	60
4	Occam Gravity Inversion	61
4.1	Linear vs. Non-Linear Schemes	62
4.2	Methodology	63
4.2.1	Parameter Descriptions	63
4.2.2	The Computer Program and its Implementation	68
4.2.3	Methodology Summary	69
4.3	Behavioural Characteristics	69
4.4	Synthetic Testing	71
4.4.1	Synthetic Models and Data	71
4.4.2	Inversion Grid	73
4.4.3	Inverted Model Results	74
4.4.4	Synthetic Testing Summary	80
4.5	Depth Resolution	82
4.5.1	Grid Configuration	82
4.5.2	Depth Weighting Function	85
4.5.3	Depth Resolution Summary	88
4.6	Constraining the Inversion	88
4.7	Conclusions	89
5	Linking the Gravity and Magnetotelluric Techniques	93
5.1	Why Gravity and Magnetotellurics?	93

5.2	Choice of Defining Equations	95
5.3	Porosity-Density Relationships	97
5.4	Archie's Law	102
5.5	Joint Behaviour	107
5.6	Conclusions	112
6	Joint Inversion Methodology	113
6.1	The Basic Idea	113
6.2	Methodology	115
6.2.1	Parameter Description	115
6.2.2	Implementation and Computer Program	121
6.2.3	Discussion	125
6.2.4	Methodology Summary	125
6.3	Behavioural Characteristics	126
6.3.1	Misfit Maps	126
6.3.2	Convergence	128
6.3.3	Behavioural Characteristic Summary	129
6.4	Mathematical Considerations	130
6.5	Conclusions	132
7	Synthetic Data Inversion Experiments	135
7.1	Proof of Concept	136
7.1.1	Synthetic Models and Data	136
7.1.2	Single Technique Inversion Results	140
7.1.3	Joint Inversion Results	150
7.1.4	Discussion	154
7.1.5	Proof of Concept Summary	155
7.2	Effects of Data Errors	155
7.2.1	Gravity Data Errors	155
7.2.2	MT Data Errors	160
7.2.3	Data Error Summary	164
7.3	Station Configurations	165

7.3.1	Additional Gravity Stations	165
7.3.2	Additional MT Stations	167
7.3.3	Station Configurations Summary	169
7.4	Target Contrast and Resolution Observations	169
7.4.1	Target Contrast	169
7.4.2	Resolution Observations	177
7.4.3	Target Contrast and Resolution Summary	185
7.5	Conclusions	186
8	Sensitivity and Related Issues	187
8.1	Compatibility and Sensitivity	188
8.1.1	Jacobian Matrix Analysis	188
8.1.2	Broadband vs. Long Period MT Data	196
8.1.3	Basement Imaging	200
8.1.4	Effects of Data Incompatibility	204
8.1.5	Compatibility and Sensitivity Summary	207
8.2	Validity of the Petrophysical Relationships	208
8.2.1	Parameter Behaviour	209
8.2.2	Computational Testing	216
8.2.3	Jacobian Matrix Analysis	221
8.2.4	Validity of Relationships Summary	226
8.3	Effects of Differential Weighting	226
8.3.1	Implementing the Weighting	227
8.3.2	Percentage Weighting	229
8.3.3	Jacobian Weighting	230
8.3.4	Differential Weighting Summary	233
8.4	Conclusions	233
9	Renmark Trough Case Study	235
9.1	Geological Overview	235
9.2	Geophysical Data Sets	238
9.3	Gravity	241

9.4	Magnetotellurics	245
9.4.1	Phase Tensor Analysis	245
9.4.2	MT Model	247
9.5	Joint Inversion	250
9.6	Discussion	258
9.7	Conclusions	259
10	Conclusions	261
10.1	Results Summary	262
10.2	Outlook	264
A	Occam 2D Gravity Inversion User Manual	267
A.1	Gravity Inversion Files	267
A.2	File Structure	268
A.3	Programs Required	269
A.4	Procedure	269
B	Occam 2D Joint Inversion User Manual	271
B.1	Joint Inversion Files	271
B.2	File Structure	273
B.2.1	Data Files	273
B.2.2	Model Files	275
B.2.3	Relate File	280
B.2.4	Optional Input Files	283
B.2.5	Output Files	284
B.3	Programs Required	285
B.4	Procedure	285

Abstract

An emerging field in geophysics is that of joint inversions, in which multiple technique data sets are analysed and inverted simultaneously. This helps to integrate the complementary data sets and reduce model ambiguity, common in single technique inversions. In this thesis a new implementation of a magnetotelluric (MT) and gravity 2D joint inversion scheme is developed based on a petrophysical approach. In sedimentary rock environments, electrical conductivity (which underpins the MT technique) can be approximated by Archie's Law, whereas density (which underpins the gravity technique) can be derived from the porosity-density relationship. Since both expressions are themselves dependent on porosity, this petrophysical property provides the crucial link exploited by the 2D joint inversion. The 2D joint inversion approach devised here inverts directly for a porosity model, which is converted to resistivity and density models through Archie's Law and the porosity-density relationship, then constrained (fitted) by the MT and gravity data. Thus, a single porosity model is produced that satisfies both data sets.

By means of synthetic data inversions, it was established that the joint inversion is more effective in reproducing the true subsurface model than can be achieved by an MT or gravity inversion alone. Models produced by the joint inversion show improved placement of subsurface features and a greater accuracy of reconstructing the original subsurface (physical property) values. For optimal joint inversion results, broadband MT data should be used in favour of long period MT data, and the number of gravity stations should be greater than or equal to the number of MT stations. The joint inversion is particularly useful in extracting coherent information from noisy MT data when combined with good quality gravity data. While evaluating the MT and gravity compatibility, a new method was developed for evaluating

the information contained in the MT Jacobian (sensitivity) matrix.

The Renmark Trough in South Australia is an area of current geothermal interest for which multi-technique data (seismic, gravity, MT) exists. These field data were used to demonstrate and verify the effective use of the joint inversion in a practical real-world example. The Renmark Trough is a half graben structure with the Hamley Fault delineating the north-east boundary. At the Hamley Fault, the base of the trough is 3.5 km deep and rises gradually in a south-west direction. The inversion of the MT data alone produced a model inconsistent with seismic knowledge of the basement depths and geometries. In contrast, the joint inversion yielded a more geologically accurate image of the trough and faithfully reconstructed the basement depths and geometries.

In the process of developing the joint inversion scheme, a 2D gravity inversion algorithm, based on the Occam maximum smoothness approach, was produced. This inversion algorithm demonstrated the inherent non-uniqueness of gravity interpretation by only placing strong density contrasts at the surface. Attempts to improve the gravity inversion results, such as the use of depth weighting functions and fixing structure locations in parts of the model, were not as effective as the joint inversion in producing an accurate representation of the subsurface.

Statement of Originality

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the library catalogue, the Australian Digital Thesis Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

SIGNED:

DATE:

Supervisors: Prof. Graham Heinson,
Prof. Stewart Greenhalgh
and Dr Mark Tingay.

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisors Graham Heinson, Stewart Greenhalgh and Mark Tingay. Their guidance and scientific input has been greatly valued. Stewart Greenhalgh, I also want to thank you for your work in helping me to improve my thesis and giving me a greater understanding of the English language.

I would like to thank the people of the MT group, fellow PhD students, and staff members of the Geology and Geophysics Discipline. In particular, thanks are expressed to Graham Baines, Robert Dart, Mike Hatch, Stephan Thiel and Jared Peacock. I'm very thankful for having had two of the best office mates! Stephan, thank you for being my sounding board and Jared you have been very patient when I've vocalised my internal dialogue.

I am very grateful to Steve Constable from Scripps Institute of Oceanography. An insightful chat about inversions in December 2008 proved to be a defining moment in my research. I also consider myself very lucky to have been invited on one of your cruises where I not only learnt about conducting research, but I thoroughly enjoyed myself too. Thanks to Luis Gallardo for willingly discussing joint inversions with me. Thanks also to Alan Jones and his research group at Dublin Institute of Advance Studies for their hospitality when I visited

I wish to thank Petratherm Pty. Ltd. which funded the acquisition of the MT Renmark Trough data and am grateful to them for allowing this work to be presented.

On a personal note I would like to thank my family and in particular my two sisters, Julie and Catherine and Julie's husband and daughter, Anton and Maddison. You were all a wonderful source of both encouragement and distraction. David, your love and reassurance has been a great source of support, helping me to both get

through the tough times and appreciate the good. Finally, I would like to thank my Mum. Quite simply I could not have done this without you!

List of Symbols

Throughout this thesis, numerous symbols will be used repeatedly to represent specific quantities or parameters. In this section, a list of symbols and a short description of each is given for the readers convenience. Every effort has been made to maintain conformity of symbols used here and wherever possible, standard symbols and notations have been used. However, standards have dictated a single symbol be used to represent more than one quantity. As a result, variations in symbol type, which are non-standard, have been used. The most significant double use of a symbol is ρ , which is typically used to represent both density and resistivity. To resolve this double use of this symbol, the slightly modified typeface ϱ has been used to represent resistivity.

α	...	MT RMS weighting
β	...	Gravity RMS weighting
β_*	...	Gravity depth weighting constant
γ	...	Complex propagation constant (wave number)
δ	...	Skin depth
δm	...	Small amount a model parameter is changed
$\underline{\partial}$...	Differential operator
$\underline{\partial}_y$...	Horizontal roughness matrix
$\underline{\partial}_z$...	Vertical roughness matrix
ε	...	Dielectric permittivity
ε_0	...	Dielectric permittivity of free space
ε_r	...	Relative dielectric permittivity or dielectric constant

$\hat{\zeta}$...	Scale of the step length in the steepest decent inversion scheme
η	...	Expansion coefficient for data space inversion scheme
ϑ	...	Width of the cells in the Occam regularisation grid
κ	...	Real component of the complex propagation constant
λ	...	Tikhonov regularisation parameter
λ_c	...	Compaction coefficient
μ	...	Lagrange multiplier
μ_*	...	Magnetic permeability
μ_0	...	Magnetic permeability of free space
μ_r	...	Relative magnetic permeability
ν	...	Volume
ϖ	...	Weighting term in the model norm
ρ	...	Density
ρ_{air}	...	Density of air
ρ_{bulk}	...	Bulk rock density
ρ_{fluid}	...	Density of the formation fluid
ρ_{matrix}	...	Density of the rock matrix
ρ_w	...	Density of fresh water
σ	...	Electrical conductivity
σ_{bulk}	...	Bulk rock conductivity
σ_{fluid}	...	Conductivity of the formation fluid
σ_w	...	Conductivity of water
σ_{w_o}	...	Conductivity of water at zero temperature and fixed salinity
σ^*	...	Standard deviation
ϕ	...	Porosity
ϕ_o	...	Surface porosity
φ	...	Phase
χ	...	Chi distribution
χ_*	...	Target misfit

ω	...	Angular frequency
ϱ	...	Electrical resistivity
ϱ_a	...	Apparent resistivity
ϱ_{bulk}	...	Bulk rock resistivity
ϱ_{fluid}	...	Resistivity of the formation fluid
ϱ_w	...	Resistivity of water
ϱ_{w_0}	...	Resistivity of water at zero temperature and fixed salinity
$\Delta\phi$...	The change in porosity due to incorrect petrophysical parameters
Δg	...	Gravity offset term
Δm	...	Perturbation in the model space
A	...	Cross-sectional area of a regularisation grid cell
\mathbf{a}	...	Acceleration
a	...	Tortuosity factor
\mathbf{B}	...	Magnetic induction
$B_0 B_1$...	Components of the surface magnetic induction
$B_x B_y B_z$...	Components of the magnetic induction
b	...	Width of a rectangular prism
C_d	...	Data covariance matrix
c	...	Cut in the Occam inversion step size
\mathbf{D}	...	Electric displacement current
\mathbf{d}	...	Data vector
d	...	Data vector component
\mathbf{E}	...	Electric field
$E_0 E_1$...	Components of the surface electric field
$E_x E_y E_z$...	Components of the electric field
\mathbf{F}	...	Forward model operator
\mathbf{F}_g	...	Gravitational force
\mathbf{F}_{GV}	...	Gravity forward model operator
\mathbf{F}_{MT}	...	MT forward model operator

\mathbf{F}_{new}	...	New set of models after the Occam step size has been cut
f	...	Frequency
\mathbf{G}	...	Linear forward model operator
G_c	...	Gravitational constant
\mathbf{g}	...	Gravitational acceleration
g_z	...	Vertical component of the gravitational acceleration
\mathbf{H}	...	Magnetic field
h	...	Number of linearly independent equations
\mathbf{I}	...	Identity matrix
\mathbf{J}	...	Jacobian matrix
\mathbf{J}_c	...	Electric current density
J_{cy}	...	Component of the electric current density
\mathbf{J}_{GV}	...	Gravity component of the joint inversion Jacobian
\mathbf{J}_{MT}	...	MT component of the joint inversion Jacobian
k	...	Imaginary component of the complex propagation constant
l_1	...	l_1 -norm
l_2	...	Euclidean norm or l_2 -norm
M	...	Mass
\mathbf{m}	...	Model parameter vector
m	...	Component of the model parameter vector
\mathbf{m}_ϕ	...	Model parameter vector containing only the porosity model components
m_{cf}	...	Cementation factor
\mathbf{m}_0	...	Reference or starting model
n	...	Number of model parameters
$\hat{\mathbf{n}}$...	Unit normal vector
n_s	...	Saturation exponent
$\hat{\delta}$...	Vector of the direction of maximum decent in the steepest decent inversion scheme
\mathbf{P}	...	A point in space

P	...	Pressure
p	...	Number of MT data points
q	...	Number of data points
q_f	...	Free charge density
\mathbf{R}	...	Rotation matrix
r	...	Distance between points
$\hat{\mathbf{r}}$...	Unit distance vector
S	...	Fractional saturation
Sal	...	Salinity
SA	...	Sensitivity vector
s	...	Number of gravity data points
s_f	...	The surface over which an itergral is performed
T	...	Temperature
t	...	Time
U	...	Objective function
U_d	...	Data norm
U_g	...	Gravitational Potential
U_m	...	Model norm
U_{Tik}	...	Objective function of the Tikhonov Regularisation
v	...	Height of the cells in the Occam regularisation grid
\mathbf{W}_d	...	Data weighting matrix
\mathbf{W}_{GV}	...	Data weighting matrix used to implement the gravity data weighting
\mathbf{W}_m	...	Model weighting matrix
\mathbf{W}_{MT}	...	Data weighting matrix used to implement the MT data weighting
w	...	Gravity depth weighting function
w_y	...	Number of elements in the y -direction in the Occam regularisation grid
w_z	...	Number of elements in the z -direction in the Occam regularisation grid

X_{xx} X_{yy} X_{xy} X_{yx}	...	Real components of the impedance tensor
x	...	Spatial direction in Cartesian coordinates
Y_{xx} Y_{yy} Y_{xy} Y_{yx}	...	Imaginary components of the impedance tensor
y	...	Spatial direction in Cartesian coordinates
Z	...	Impedance tensor
Z_{xx} Z_{yy} Z_{xy} Z_{yx}	...	Components of the impedance tensor
z	...	Spatial direction in Cartesian coordinates
$\hat{\mathbf{z}}$...	Unit vector vertically downwards
z_0	...	Gravity depth weighting constant
z_1	...	Depth to the top of a rectangular prism
z_2	...	Depth to the bottom of a rectangular prism

List of Figures

1.1	A description of, and the key ideas contained in, the chapters of this thesis.	4
2.1	A schematic of the relationship between electric and magnetic fields for a) Faraday's Law and b) Ampere's Law.	10
2.2	Example of a horizontal conductivity boundary.	18
2.3	The configuration for calculating the potential at point \mathbf{P} of a 3D mass.	27
2.4	The gravitational response of a horizontal sheet at different depths of burial.	30
3.1	A schematic of an iterative search of the model space.	41
3.2	Configuration of the 2D grid system used by the Occam inversion.	53
3.3	Outline of the steps taken by the 2D MT Occam inversion.	58
4.1	Configuration of a 2D right angled prism.	65
4.2	Configuration of a horizontal sheet used to terminate rows on the right hand side of the grid.	67
4.3	Flow chart of the steps taken by the Occam gravity inversion.	69
4.4	Synthetic models used to test the Occam gravity inversion.	72
4.5	The regularisation grid used by all Occam gravity inversions.	74
4.6	The results from the Occam gravity inversion for the one box synthetic model.	75
4.7	The results from the Occam gravity inversion for the two box synthetic model.	76

4.8	The results from the Occam gravity inversion for the horizontal sheet synthetic model.	77
4.9	The results from the Occam gravity inversion for the horizon synthetic model.	78
4.10	The results from the Occam gravity inversion for the one box and horizontal sheet synthetic models for varying error levels.	81
4.11	The results from the Occam gravity inversion for the two box synthetic model with varying grid configurations.	83
4.12	The results from the Occam gravity inversion for the horizon synthetic model with varying grid configurations.	85
4.13	The results from the Occam gravity inversion with a depth weighting function.	87
4.14	The results from the Occam gravity inversion for the two box synthetic model with varying constraints.	90
5.1	Schematic representation of a sedimentary rock.	97
5.2	An example of a fluid density vs. depth profile for different salinities.	99
5.3	An example of the porosity-density relationship for varying matrix and fluid densities.	100
5.4	Schematic representation of a partially-saturated sedimentary rock.	101
5.5	Examples of the electric current flow paths in the pore fluid.	102
5.6	Variations in resistivity due to temperature, pressure and KCl concentration.	104
5.7	Archie's Law in graphical form with changing a -values, m_{cf} -values and fluid conductivities.	105
5.8	Examples of the porosity-, density- and conductivity-depth trends for sandstone.	110
5.9	An example of the explicit relationship between conductivity and density for sandstone.	111
6.1	A simplified flow chart of the general steps taken by the gravity and MT joint inversion.	114

6.2	A flow chart of the steps taken by the joint inversion.	123
6.3	a) The simple porosity model with the true ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 values indicated. b) The RMS_{JI} values, c) the RMS_{MT} values and d) the RMS_{GV} values, for different ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 combinations.	127
6.4	A schematic of the inverse problem.	131
7.1	The synthetic porosity models referred to as a) the block model, b) the fault model and c) the trough model.	137
7.2	The synthetic porosity models in Figure 7.1 converted to resistivity models using Archie's Law.	138
7.3	The synthetic porosity models in Figure 7.1 converted to density models using the porosity-density relationship.	140
7.4	The models produced from an MT inversion of the block model synthetic data.	141
7.5	The models produced from an MT inversion of the fault model synthetic data.	142
7.6	The models produced from an MT inversion of the trough model synthetic data.	143
7.7	The MT misfit map from models produced in Figure 7.4.	145
7.8	The MT misfit map from models produced in Figure 7.5.	146
7.9	The MT misfit map from models produced in Figure 7.6.	147
7.10	The Occam gravity inversion for the a) block, b) fault and c) trough models.	149
7.11	The joint inversion results for the a) block, b) fault and c) trough models.	151
7.12	The gravity data and model responses for the joint inversions of the synthetic data for the a) block, b) fault and c) trough models.	152
7.13	The MT misfit map from models produced in Figure 7.11.	153
7.14	Joint inversion results using the block model synthetic data with a fixed MT error level and varying gravity error levels.	157
7.15	Joint inversion results using the fault model synthetic data with a fixed MT error level and varying gravity error levels.	158

7.16	Joint inversion results using the trough model synthetic data with a fixed MT error level and varying gravity error levels.	159
7.17	Joint inversion results using the block model synthetic data with a fixed gravity error level and varying MT error levels.	161
7.18	Joint inversion results using the fault model synthetic data with a fixed gravity error level and varying MT error levels.	162
7.19	Joint inversion results using the trough model synthetic data with a fixed gravity error level and varying MT error levels.	163
7.20	The residual porosity models for the joint inversion of the fault model synthetic data with more gravity stations than MT stations.	166
7.21	The residual porosity models for the joint inversion of the fault model synthetic data with more MT stations than gravity stations.	168
7.22	a) Synthetic box model, b) synthetic layered model, c) two box model and d) basement model.	170
7.23	Joint inversion results for the box model synthetic data with 1% porosity contrast.	172
7.24	The porosity residual models from the MT and joint inversions of the box model with a 3% porosity contrast.	174
7.25	The porosity residual models from the MT and joint inversions of the box model with a 6% porosity contrast.	175
7.26	The porosity residual models from the MT and joint inversions of the box model with a 15% porosity contrast.	176
7.27	The porosity residual models from the MT and joint inversions of the layered model with a increasing and positive anomaly porosity distribution.	179
7.28	The porosity residual models from the MT and joint inversions of the layered model with a decreasing and negative anomaly porosity distribution.	180
7.29	The porosity residual models from the MT and joint inversions of the two box model with a 6% porosity contrast.	183

7.30	The porosity residual models from the MT and joint inversions of the two box model with a 15% porosity contrast.	184
8.1	The MT sensitivity distribution broken into its components.	190
8.2	The MT sensitivity distribution for the a) block model, b) fault model and c) trough model.	191
8.3	Data count distributions for the joint inversion of the fault model synthetic data.	193
8.4	The gravity sensitivity distribution for the block model.	194
8.5	a) The gravity Jacobian values and b) the density contrast needed by the block to produce a change in the gravity data greater than 0.06 mGals.	195
8.6	The models produced from an MT inversion of long period MT data for the a) block, b) fault and c) trough models.	197
8.7	The models produced from a joint inversion using long period MT data for the a) block, b) fault and c) trough models.	198
8.8	The MT sensitivity distribution for the a) block model, b) fault model and c) trough model.	199
8.9	The MT sensitivity distribution for the basement model with a contrast of 5%.	202
8.10	The MT sensitivity distribution for the basement model with a contrast of 20%.	203
8.11	The gravity data and model responses for the basement model.	204
8.12	Synthetic porosity models referred to as the a) surface model, b) basement model and c) composite model.	206
8.13	The models produced from a joint inversion, where the MT synthetic data were generated from the surface model and the gravity data were generated from the composite model.	207
8.14	The models produced from a joint inversion, where the MT synthetic data were generated from the basement model and the gravity data were generated from the composite model.	207

8.15	The porosity-density relationship for various values of a) matrix density, and b) fluid density.	209
8.16	Archie's Law showing bulk resistivity vs. porosity for various a) a -values, b) m_{cf} -values and d) fluid resistivities. c) Shows the fluid resistivity vs. depth.	210
8.17	The change in porosity for a joint inversion of the synthetic fault model data using incorrect fluid densities.	212
8.18	The change in porosity for a joint inversion of the synthetic fault model data using incorrect matrix densities.	213
8.19	The change in porosity for a joint inversion of the synthetic fault model data using incorrect a -values.	214
8.20	The change in porosity for a joint inversion of the synthetic fault model data using incorrect m_{cf} -values.	215
8.21	The change in porosity for a joint inversion of the synthetic fault model data using incorrect temperature gradients.	216
8.22	The models produced from a joint inversion of the synthetic fault model data and incorrect a -values.	219
8.23	The models produced from a joint inversion of the synthetic fault model data and incorrect m_{cf} -values.	220
8.24	The models produced from a joint inversion of the synthetic fault model data and incorrect temperature gradients.	222
8.25	The gravity sensitivity distribution plots with respect to petrophysical parameters for the fault model joint inversion.	223
8.26	The MT sensitivity distribution plots with respect to petrophysical parameters for the fault model joint inversion.	225
9.1	Location map of the Renmark Trough survey area.	236
9.2	Geological cross section of the Renmark Trough area.	237
9.3	Total field magnetic intensity map of the Renmark Trough area, with MT stations, drill holes and seismic lines superimposed.	239

9.4	The Bouguer gravity anomaly map of the Renmark Trough area. Also shown are the locations of MT stations, gravity stations, drill holes and profile lines for the joint inversion and gravity forward modelling.	240
9.5	The seismically-constrained gravity forward modelling along profile G1.	242
9.6	The seismically-constrained gravity forward modelling along profile G2.	243
9.7	Density contrast model produced from an Occam gravity inversion along the JI profile.	244
9.8	Phase tensor plot of the broadband MT data.	246
9.9	The resistivity models obtained from the MT inversions along the JI profile.	248
9.10	The observed MT data for stations along the JI profile and the model responses from the MT and joint inversions.	249
9.11	The porosity model obtained from a joint inversion along the JI profile.	252
9.12	The resistivity model obtained from the joint inversion along the JI profile.	253
9.13	The absolute density model from the joint inversion along the JI profile.	254
9.14	The observed gravity data are shown by blue dots and the joint inversion model response is shown by the red line.	254
9.15	The gravity sensitivity distribution plots with respect to petrophysical parameters for the Renmark Trough joint inversion.	256
9.16	The MT sensitivity distribution plots with respect to petrophysical parameters for the Renmark Trough joint inversion.	257
9.17	The MT sensitivity distribution model for the Renmark Trough joint inversion.	258

List of Tables

2.1	Summary of the main conductivity equations.	22
2.2	Summary of the density equations.	32
4.1	The synthetic response amplitudes and the error levels used to investigate the effects of errors on the Occam gravity inversion.	73
4.2	The optimal weighting factor values used in Li and Oldenburg's depth weighting function for the synthetic models.	86
5.1	The tortuosity factor and cementation factor values for different lithologies.	103
7.1	The density, resistivity and porosity values used the inversion of the one box model for the negative and positive porosity contrasts.	171
7.2	The density, resistivity and porosity values used in the inversion of the layered model for different porosity distributions.	177
7.3	The density, resistivity and porosity values used in the inversion of the two box model for different porosity distributions.	182
8.1	The density, resistivity and porosity values used in the inversion of the basement model for different porosity contrasts.	201
8.2	The MT and gravity synthetic data combinations for the surface, basement and composite models used in a joint inversion.	205

