

09ENS  
Y221



Masters Thesis

OUT-OF-PLANE STRENGTHENING OF UNREINFORCED MASONRY WALLS  
USING FRP TECHNIQUES

BY

QI YANG

B.E., Civil/Structural

School of Civil and Environmental Engineering

The University of Adelaide

May 2007

**ABSTRACT**

Unreinforced masonry (URM) structures comprise a significant proportion of the building stock in many countries worldwide, however they do not behave well under out-of-plane loading, such as that experienced during seismic events. Consequently, many existing masonry structures require some form of retrofit to comply with existing codes. Moreover, retrofit solutions of historical structures must also consider the impact on aesthetics. Hence, research is being directed to developing quick and efficient retrofitting techniques with negligible aesthetic impact.

As part of ongoing research at The University of Adelaide on the out-of-plane behaviour of URM walls, this study was carried out to develop and test innovative fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) strengthening techniques for retrofitting of masonry walls in order to sustain out-of-plane bending. Both externally bonded (EB) and near-surface mounted (NSM) techniques were applied. Twenty-seven push-pull tests were conducted to study the FRP-to-masonry bond behaviour with the variables including: masonry surface preparation; bonding agent of masonry bed joints; location of FRP strips; FRP materials; and, geometric properties. An FRP-to-masonry bond model was developed by modifying an existing FRP-to-concrete bond strength model. Four severely damaged URM full-scale walls (with window openings), previously tested under reversed-cyclic loading, were repaired with either glass FRP (GFRP) or carbon FRP (CFRP) EB vertical strips (3 walls) and CFRP NSM vertical strips (1 wall) and tested under two-way monotonic out-of-plane bending to quantify the increase in strength and ductility relative to the original and residual capacities of the URM walls. Air bags were used to apply lateral pressure onto the FRP strengthened URM wall specimens to simulate out-of-plane load induced by earthquakes. Based on these tests, a lower bound mechanics based analysis approach was developed to predict the failure mode and out-of-plane capacity of URM walls repaired with

adhesively bonded vertical strips.

It is anticipated that the results of this study will lead to the development of FRP strengthening techniques for URM structures in practical use, upgrading existing masonry buildings for seismic loading and also to extend their service life. The implementation of this innovative technique could have a significant economic impact in addition to the cultural and social impacts on conservation of the architectural heritage.

---

**CONTENTS**

DECLARATION .....	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	II
ABSTRACT.....	III
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VIII
LIST OF TABLES.....	XI
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1.    BACKGOURND .....	1
1.2.    SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES .....	2
1.3.    THESIS OUTLINE.....	3
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1.    INTRODUCTION .....	5
2.2.    BACKGROUND OF URM STRUCTURES.....	5
2.3.    CONVENTIONAL METHODS FOR URM STRENGTHENING.....	7
2.4.    FIBRE REINFORCED POLYMER (FRP).....	8
2.5.    APPLICATION OF FRP .....	9
2.5.1. <i>FRP strengthening RC Beams</i> .....	9
2.5.2. <i>Application of FRP to URM Walls</i> .....	12
2.6.    SUMMARY .....	17
<b>3. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM – BOND TESTS.....</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1.    INTRODUCTION .....	19
3.2.    BOND TEST PROGRAM .....	20
3.2.1. <i>Test Method</i> .....	20
3.2.2. <i>Material Properties</i> .....	21
3.2.3. <i>Specimen Design, Fabrication and Instrumentation</i> .....	28
3.2.4. <i>Test Set-up and Loading Procedure</i> .....	36
3.3.    TEST RESULTS – EB GFRP .....	38

---

3.3.1.	<i>Test Summary</i> .....	38
3.3.2.	<i>Test Observation and Failure Modes</i> .....	39
3.3.3.	<i>Effects on Bond Strength</i> .....	43
3.4.	TEST RESULTS –NSM CFRP .....	46
3.4.1.	<i>Summary of NSM Test</i> .....	46
3.4.2.	<i>Test Observations and Failure Modes</i> .....	48
3.4.3.	<i>Effects on NSM Bond Strength</i> .....	51
3.5.	PUSH-PULL TEST RESULTS ANALYSIS.....	55
3.5.1.	<i>Push-pull Test Data Processing</i> .....	55
3.5.2.	<i>Load Transfer Mechanism</i> .....	58
3.6.	SUMMARY .....	65
<b>4.</b>	<b>THEORETICAL MODELLING: BOND TESTS</b> .....	<b>67</b>
4.1.	INTRODUCTION .....	67
4.2.	THEORETICAL MODELLING.....	67
4.2.1.	<i>Chen and Teng's Bond Strength Model</i> .....	68
4.2.2.	<i>Seracino et al's Generic Model</i> .....	70
4.2.3.	<i>Prediction and Comparison of Theoretical Models</i> .....	72
4.2.4.	<i>Modification of GFRP EB Masonry Model</i> .....	78
4.4.	CONCLUSIONS.....	79
<b>5.</b>	<b>EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM – FULL-SCALE WALLS</b> .....	<b>81</b>
5.1.	INTRODUCTION .....	81
5.2.	FULL-SCALE WALL TEST PROGRAM.....	82
5.2.1.	<i>Masonry Wall Specimens</i> .....	82
5.2.2.	<i>Design for FRP Strengthening Schemes for Damaged Masonry Walls</i> .....	86
5.2.3.	<i>FRP Retrofitted Masonry Wall Test Set-up</i> .....	90
5.2.3.	<i>Instrumentations</i> .....	99
5.3.	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OF FULL-SCALE WALL TESTS.....	103
5.3.1.	<i>Overall Behaviour of FRP Retrofitted Masonry Wall</i> .....	103
5.3.2.	<i>Individual Behaviour of FRP Retrofitted Masonry Wall</i> .....	105

---

5.4.	CONCLUSIONS.....	121
<b>6.</b>	<b>THEORETICAL MODELLING: RETROFITTED WALLS .....</b>	<b>124</b>
6.1.	INTRODUCTION .....	124
6.2.	LATERAL RESISTANCE OF THE WALL UNDER BIAIXAL BEDNING.....	124
6.3.	ONE-WAY VERTICAL BENDING CAPACITY .....	125
6.4.	RIGID BODY DEFLECTION ANALYSIS.....	129
6.4.1.	<i>Observed Rigid Body Behaviour .....</i>	<i>129</i>
6.4.2.	<i>Wall Displacement at the FRP-Diagonal Crack Intersections .....</i>	<i>130</i>
6.4.3.	<i>Modelling of Wall Displacements.....</i>	<i>133</i>
6.4.4.	<i>Prediction for FRP Slip Crossing Crack Openings .....</i>	<i>137</i>
6.4.5.	<i>Prediction and Comparison for Wall Displacements.....</i>	<i>139</i>
6.5.	CONCLUSIONS.....	142
<b>7.</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>144</b>
7.1.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....	144
7.2.	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH.....	146
	NOTATIONS.....	148
	REFERENCES.....	151
	<b>APPENDIX A: FRP RETROFITTED URM WALL TESTS DATABASE.....</b>	<b>157</b>
	<b>APPENDIX B: GFRP MATERIAL TEST RESULTS .....</b>	<b>163</b>
	<b>APPENDIX C: FRP TO MASONRY BOND TEST RESULTS.....</b>	<b>165</b>
	<b>APPENDIX D: DESIGN FOR FRP RETROFITTED WALL TESTS.....</b>	<b>192</b>
	<b>APPENDIX E: FRP RETROFITTED WALL TEST RESULTS .....</b>	<b>194</b>