

09 PH  
R 6582



**An investigation of a barley protein (SE/BTI-CMe) and  
its influence on beer haze stability**

by

Louise H. Robinson B.Sc. (Hons), Deakin University

A thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

School of Agriculture and Wine

Waite Campus

University of Adelaide

South Australia

November, 2004

## Table of Contents

<b>Title Page</b>	i
<b>Declaration</b>	ii
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	iii
<b>List of Abbreviations and Acronyms</b>	v
<b>Publications arising from this thesis</b>	viii
<b>Table of Contents</b>	1
<b>Abstract</b>	7
<b>Chapter 1 - Literature Review</b>	10
1.1 Introduction	10
1.2 The brewing process and its impact on the potential haze stability of beer	11
1.2.1 Raw materials	12
1.2.1.1 Malting	12
1.2.2 Brewing	13
1.2.3 Fermentation and Maturation	14
1.2.4 Filtration and Packaging	15
1.2.5 Storage and Transport	15
1.2.6 Beer Styles	16
1.3 Protein-polyphenol haze measurement	17
1.4 Haze Active (HA) proteins	18
1.4.1 Hordein	20
1.5 HA polyphenols	23
1.6 Protein:Polyphenol interactions in colloidal haze formation	25
1.6.1 A model for protein-polyphenol haze formation	27
1.6.2 Single-ended binding polyphenols	29

1.6.3 The effect of pH and ethanol concentration on haze formation	30
1.7 Removal of HA proteins and HA polyphenols: stabilisation for colloidal stability	30
1.7.1 Fining agents	31
1.7.2 Stabilising agents – Protein	32
1.7.2.1 Measuring HA protein levels	33
1.7.3 Stabilising agents – Polyphenol	34
1.7.4 Proanthocyanidin free lines	36
1.8 Conclusions	37
<b>Chapter 2 - Identification of haze active proteins in barley and malt</b>	<b>39</b>
2.1 Introduction	40
2.2 Materials and Methods	42
2.2.1 Plant material	42
2.2.2 Protein extraction, SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting	43
2.2.3 Genetic analysis of the SE trait	46
2.3 Results	47
2.3.1.1 Immunodetection of SE polymorphism	47
2.3.1.2 Effect of environment on expression of the SE trait	51
2.3.1.3 Sensitivity of SE immunoblot test to contamination	52
2.3.1.4 Examining the SE trait in a breeding program	53
2.3.2.1 Inheritance of the SE trait	57
2.3.2.2 Mapping of the SE trait	57
2.4 Discussion	60
2.5 Conclusions	66
<b>Chapter 3 – The influence of the SE –ve and SE +ve phenotypes on beer haze     stability</b>	<b>67</b>

3.1 Introduction	67
3.2 Materials and Methods	69
3.2.1 Malt Samples	69
3.2.2 Protein extraction, SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting	70
3.2.3 Small Scale Brewing Procedure (600 – 800 mL) –Experiment 1	70
3.2.3.1 Wort and Beer analysis	72
3.2.3.2 Haze Measurement – SSB	73
3.2.4 Pilot Brewing Trials (300 L) – Experiment 2	73
3.2.4.1 Wort and Beer analysis	75
3.2.5 Pilot Brewing Trials (100 L) – Experiment 3	76
3.2.5.1 Wort and Beer analysis	77
3.2.6 Haze stability analysis	78
3.3 Results	79
3.3.1 Brewing trials – Brewing with SE +ve and SE –ve malt varieties	79
3.3.1.1 Experiment 1 – Small Scale Brewing (SSB) Trials	79
3.3.1.2 Experiment 2 – 300 L Trials (University of Ballarat)	82
3.3.1.3 Experiment 3 – 100 L Trials (VTT Biotechnology)	87
3.4 Discussion	94
3.5 Conclusions	99
<b>Chapter 4 - The impact on beer haze stability and the SE protein of different     brewing conditions and filtration treatments</b>	<b>100</b>
4.1 Introduction	101
4.2 Materials and Methods	102
4.2.1 Malt and Beer samples	102
4.2.2 Protein extraction, SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting	102
4.2.3 Pilot Brewing Trials (10 L)	103

4.2.3.1 Wort and Beer analysis	104
4.2.4 Filtration Trial	104
4.2.5 Micro-filtration ( $V_{max}$ Filterability Test)	105
4.3 Results	106
4.3.1 Controlled Atmosphere Brewing	106
4.3.2 Filtration Trial	109
4.3.3 $V_{max}$ Filterability	120
4.4 Discussion	121
4.5 Conclusions	127
<b>Chapter 5 – The SE protein is a Barley Trypsin Inhibitor of the chloroform/ methanol type</b>	128
5.1 Introduction	129
5.2 Materials and Methods	131
5.2.1 Identification of the SE Protein	131
5.2.1.1 2-D Electrophoresis and <i>In Situ</i> Digestion	131
5.2.1.2 Reversed Phase-HPLC	133
5.2.1.3 Amino acid sequence analysis	133
5.2.2 DNA analysis - Cloning of BTI-CMe	134
5.2.2.1 Plant Material for DNA Extraction	134
5.2.2.2 DNA extractions	134
5.2.2.3 PCR Primer Design	135
5.2.2.4 PCR	136
5.2.2.5 DNA electrophoresis	136
5.2.2.6 Purification of PCR products	136
5.2.2.7 DNA Quantification	137
5.2.2.8 DNA Sequencing	137

5.2.2.9 DNA sequence analysis and PCR primer design for recombinant BTI-CMe protein expression	138
5.2.2.10 Ligation	139
5.2.2.11 Transformation of competent XL1-Blue cells with BTI-CMe	140
5.2.2.12 Miniprep of plasmid DNA	141
5.2.2.13 Orientation determination	141
5.2.2.14 Transformation of competent M15 cells (with the BTI-CMe plasmid)	142
5.2.2.15 Expression of BTI-CMe	142
5.2.2.15.1 Small-Scale Expression	142
5.2.2.15.2 Large-Scale Expression	144
5.2.2.16 Purification of BTI-CMe	144
5.2.2.17 Antibodies raised against the recombinant BTI-CMe protein	145
5.2.2.18 SDS-PAGE immunoblot analysis with the SE antiserum of known BTI-CMe1 and BTI-CMe3/3.1 variants for the presence or absence of the SE protein	146
5.3 Results	147
5.3.1 Protein Analysis	147
5.3.1.1 Identification of the SE protein	147
5.3.1.2 Amino acid sequence analysis	150
5.3.2 Cloning, expression and purification of BTI-CMe	152
5.3.2.1 DNA sequencing	152
5.3.2.2 DNA sequence analysis	153
5.3.2.3 Cloning of BTI-CMe1 and BTI-CMe3 variants	157
5.3.2.4 Expression of BTI-CMe1 and BTI-CMe3 variants	160
5.3.2.5 Purification of BTI-CMe1 and BTI-CMe3 variants	162

5.3.2.6 Polyclonal antibodies raised against the recombinant BTI-CMe protein	164
5.3.3 SDS-PAGE immunoblot analysis with the SE antiserum of known BTI-CMe1 and BTI-CMe3/3.1 variants for the presence or absence of the SE protein	165
5.4 Discussion	167
5.4.1 Identity of the SE protein	167
5.4.2 Antibody validation of SE phenotype identification	168
5.4.3 Barley Proteinase Inhibitors	169
5.5 Conclusions	171
<b>Chapter 6 - General Discussion</b>	173
6.1 The role of protease inhibitors during malting and brewing	173
6.2 How does the SE/BTI-CMe protein influence beer haze stability?	175
6.2.1 An SE/BTI-CMe model for haze formation	176
6.2.2 Mechanisms for the role of the SE/BTI-CMe protein in haze formation	180
6.3 Future Directions	183
6.4 Conclusions	186
<b>Appendices</b>	187
<b>Appendix A - Australian barley pedigree chart</b>	188
<b>Appendix B - Additional brewing data</b>	189
<b>Appendix C - Additional data on BTI-CMe</b>	202
<b>Appendix D - Buffers, Media and Solutions</b>	204
<b>References</b>	209
<b>Publications</b>	

## **Abstract**

In bright beers, the formation of haze is a serious quality problem, which places limitations on the storage life of the product. To the consumer haze often represents a sign of ageing or contamination of the product. In this study, SDS-PAGE immunoblot analysis using an antiserum that was raised against a silica eluent (SE) protein fraction (obtained from silica gel, used for the colloidal stabilisation of beer), detected a range of protein bands in barley, malt, beer and haze. A polymorphism was observed in which some barley varieties contained a MW ~12000 band (SE +ve) while in other varieties this band was absent (SE -ve). A survey of 219 Australian and international barley varieties, including a comprehensive selection of current and past malting varieties, identified 181 varieties as SE +ve, and 38 varieties as SE -ve. The genetic basis for the presence or absence of the SE protein was determined by interval mapping analysis which found that the MW ~12000 band mapped to the short arm of chromosome 3H.

Pilot brewing trials (100 L and 300 L) found that beer brewed from SE -ve malt varieties formed less haze in haze force testing trials (5 days at 55°C, 1 day at 0°C) and in natural ageing testing trials, than beer produced from SE +ve malt varieties. The interaction between the presence or absence of the SE protein and controlled atmosphere brewing was investigated by brewing under nitrogen, oxygen or air. Controlled atmosphere pilot brewing trials (10 L) indicated that both oxygen and nitrogen rich atmospheres produced beers with poorer colloidal stability compared to brewing under a normal atmosphere. Filtration trials showed that the haze stability of beer could be influenced by the filtration process. Filtration trials showed that the material used to filter the beer (cellulose sheets impregnated with DE) was capable of



removing some haze protein from the beer, thus improving the haze stability of SE +ve beers. The removal of the SE protein and other proteins during filtration from beer brewed with a SE +ve malt variety, along with a reduction in the level of total protein as measured by Commassie blue dye binding, resulted in improved haze stability.

The SE protein was characterised using comparative two-dimensional (2-D) gel electrophoresis immunoblots of barley seed extracts from both SE +ve and SE -ve varieties. The SE protein spot identified was excised and its partial sequence determined, after in-gel cleavage using trypsin and separation of the resulting fragments by reversed-phase HPLC. N-terminal sequence analysis of the tryptic peptides from SE +ve and SE -ve varieties identified the SE protein as the barley trypsin inhibitor CMe precursor (BTI-CMe). The mature BTI-CMe protein is 13.3 kDa and the functional gene is located on chromosome 3H, consistent with the information presented on the SE protein. Cloning of the BTI-CMe protein demonstrated that both SE -ve and SE +ve barley varieties contain a BTI-CMe protein family member that is similar but consistently different, primarily in the last 30 amino acid residues of their C-termini. Specific primers were designed to amplify the full-length BTI-CMe protein as well as a truncated protein (C-terminal region) in both BTI-CMe1 (SE +ve) and BTI-CMe3.1 (SE -ve) variants and four constructs were made. BTI-CMe was expressed in *E.coli*, purified and polyclonal antibodies raised to the recombinant protein. The recombinant BTI-CMe proteins proved to be poorly immunogenic and thus this experiment was not conclusive.

These results of this study suggest that the selection of SE -ve malt varieties for brewing combined with optimised stabilisation and filtration treatments, has the potential to improve beer haze stability. This would reduce the need or requirement for traditional colloidal stabilisation treatments, reducing brewery costs and environmental wastes.