# The University of Adelaide School of Economics



## **NEW IMMIGRANTS**

IN THE

### **AUSTRALIAN LABOUR MARKET**

A Comprehensive Analysis of Employment, Entry Wages, Wage Mobility and Occupational Transition

Thesis Presented for Examination and Admission to the Degree of Master of Economics by Research

Benjamin Safari

Student ID: 1065724

May 2004

#### Table of Content

ABSTRACT	5
STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY	6
AUTHORISATION FOR LOAN AND PHOTOCOPYING	6
CH 1: INTRODUCTION	7
1.1. Background	9
CH 2: THEORETICAL AND EMPIRICAL BACKGROUND (LITERATURE REVIEW)	11
2.1. LABOUR SUPPLY, OCCUPATIONS, WAGES AND WAGE MOBILITY: THEORY AND EVIDENCE  2.1.1. Foundations: The Human Capital Theory and Earnings Function  2.1.2. Wage mobility  2.1.3. Occupations and the ANU3 Index: An Alternative Measure of Labour Market Success  2.2. ECONOMIC THEORIES OF IMMIGRATION AND SOME EVIDENCE  2.2.1. The migration decision  2.2.2. Pre-migration characteristics: The question of migrants' self-selection  2.2.3. Post-migration behaviour: Investment and Work.  2.2.4. Brief digression: The impact of immigrants on natives and on the host country's economy.  2.2.5. Immigrants labour force strategies and outcomes.	11 17 19 20 20 21 22 26
CH 3: INTRODUCTION TO THE LSIA, ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK AND METHODOLOGY	29
3.1. THE LONGITUDINAL SURVEY OF IMMIGRANTS TO AUSTRALIA (LSIA) IN BRIEF  3.2. ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK  3.3. MODELLING LABOUR FORCE PARTICIPATION, EMPLOYMENT, EARNINGS AND OCCUPATIONAL ATTAINMENT  3.3.1. Labour Force Participation and Employment  3.3.2. Wages and Wage Mobility  3.3.3. Occupations and Occupational Mobility	30 36 <i>36</i> <i>38</i>
CH 4:DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	45
4.1. BACKGROUND  4.2. DEMOGRAPHIC STATISTICS  4.3. LABOUR FORCE TRANSITION PROBABILITY TABLES  4.3.1. Type 1 Respondents  4.3.2. Type 2 Respondents  4.3.3. Type 3 Respondents	45 51 52 55
CH 5: IMMIGRANT'S LABOUR FORCE BEHAVIOUR, EMPLOYMENT STRATEGIES AND EARNINGS OUTCOMES	
5.1. IMMIGRANTS' ENTRY WAGES AND WAGE MOBILITY: A TEST FOR BECKER AND MINCER  5.1.1. Entry Wages: Does Pre-migration Human Capital Matter?  5.1.3. Wage Mobility: Does the entry wage 'randomness' settle in the medium term?  5.2. IMMIGRANTS' WAGE AT WAVE 3: IS FOOT IN THE DOOR BETTER THAN PICK AND CHOOSE?  5.3. IMMIGRANT'S OCCUPATIONAL TRANSITION: ASSIMILATION REVISITED.  5.3.1. Occupations and Wages  5.3.2. Going up, going down, or going nowhere? The Direction of Assimilation	61 62 68 73 78
CH 6: CONCLUSIONS AND CAVEATS	92
BIBLIOGRAPHY	94

#### **ABSTRACT**

The Longitudinal Survey of Immigrants to Australia, Cohort 1 (LSIA-C1) includes information on labour force status and occupations twelve months prior to immigration, as well as labour force status, wages, hours worked, use of qualifications and occupations of respondents around six, eighteen and forty two months after migration (among other information).

Using data from the LSIA dataset, I analyse the labour force experience of immigrants who arrived in Australia between September 1993 and August 1995, within the theoretical framework of the Human Capital Theory. I subdivide the respondents in three groups: those who gain employment within six months of arrival (Type 1), those who are employed three and a half years after arrival following a spell of unemployment (Type 2), and those who remain non-employed after three and a half years of settlement (Type 3).

Following a descriptive analysis of labour force transition probabilities and other demographic characteristics for all Types, Type 1 and Type 2 hourly entry wages are analysed and compared. In addition, Type 1 wage growth over the three and a half year period of settlement is studied. Finally, occupational transition probabilities of Type 1 and 2 are carefully investigated.

This analysis reveals a general 'randomness' in the entry wages and some wage mobility for Type 1 immigrants. However, even three and a half years after Type 1 immigrants start work, a large proportion of their wages distribution still remains random. This is found to be mainly due to three causes: (1) Pre-migration qualifications have virtually no relationship with entry jobs or entry wages; (2) there is hardly any job mobility over the period of the survey: Most immigrants stay in their first jobs and occupations and generally do not move back towards their pre-migration occupations; (3) the apparent weekly wage growth of immigrants is found to come mainly from an increased number of hours worked, while the hourly wage growth appears to come from regular on-the-job pay increases, rather than from occupational adjustment.

While four years of settlement is a relatively small timeframe in the life of an immigrant, these results are worrying as they reject the now well established theory and empirical findings of immigrants' upward labour market adjustment post-migration. Further research is needed to better understand the root causes of this lack of immigrant job and occupational adjustment and what can be done about it.