

Investigation of the Effect of Rapid and Slow External pH Increases on *Enterococcus faecalis* Biofilm Grown on Dentine

A report submitted to the University of Adelaide in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the Degree of Doctor of Clinical Dentistry (Endodontics)

September 2011

**Dr Mark Stenhouse
BDS (Otago), FRACDS**



Table of Contents

Abstract	4
Declaration	5
Acknowledgements	6
1.1 Introduction	7
1.2 Microbiological Basis of Endodontic Disease	8
1.2.1 Microbiological Identification- Culturing vs Molecular Techniques	11
1.2.2 Microbiology of the Root Canal	13
1.2.2.1 Primary Infections	14
1.2.2.2 Persistent Infections	16
1.2.3 Endodontic Therapy	19
1.3 <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	22
1.3.1 <i>E. faecalis</i> in the Oral Cavity	23
1.3.2 <i>E. faecalis</i> in Primary Root Canal Infections	25
1.3.3 <i>E. faecalis</i> in Persistent Root Canal Infections	26
1.3.4 Why is <i>E. faecalis</i> so prevalent in root filled teeth?	28
1.3.5 <i>E. faecalis</i> Virulence Factors	30
1.3.5.1 Aggregation Substance	30
1.3.5.2 Surface Adhesins	31
1.3.5.3 Gelatinase	31
1.3.5.4 Cytolysin.....	32
1.3.5.5 Lipoteichoic Acid	32
1.4 Biofilm	34
1.4.1 Biofilm Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Resistance	36
1.4.1.1 Diffusion Barrier	36
1.4.1.2 Antimicrobial Deactivation	37
1.4.1.3 Slow rate of Bacterial Growth.....	37
1.4.1.4 Expression of Resistant Phenotypes	38
1.4.1.5 Quorum Sensing.....	38
1.4.1.6 Genetic Transfer	39
1.4.2 Evidence of Biofilms in Endodontology	39
1.5 Calcium Hydroxide	42
1.5.1 Efficacy of Calcium Hydroxide	44
1.5.2 Challenges to Calcium Hydroxide	52
1.5.2.1 Dentine Tubules.....	52
1.5.2.2 Diffusion	52
1.5.2.3 Buffering.....	54
1.5.2.4 Time	55
1.6 Survival Mechanisms of <i>E. faecalis</i> Biofilm Against Calcium Hydroxide	57
1.6.1 Innate Resistance to High pH.....	57
1.6.2 Starvation Resistance.....	59
1.6.3 Tubule invasion	59
1.6.4 Biofilm.....	59
1.6.5 Viable But Non-Culturable (VBNC)	60
1.7 Justification for this Study	61
1.8 Aim	62

2. Methods and Materials.....	63
2.1 Test Organism	63
2.2 Dentine Disc Preparation	63
2.3 Dentine Disc Serial Transfer Biofilm Growth Model.....	64
2.4 pH Adjusted Buffer Solutions Used for Alkaline Test Agents.....	65
2.5 Planktonic Growth Curves	65
2.6 Flow Cell Biofilm Growth Model	66
2.7 Alkaline pH Exposure	68
2.8 Biofilm Examination and Measurement.....	70
2.8.1 Viability Plating.....	71
2.8.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	72
2.8.3 Confocal Laser Microscopy (CLSM)	72
2.9 Statistical Analysis.....	74
3. Results	75
3.1 Dentine Discs.....	75
3.2 Dentine Disc Serial Transfer Biofilm Growth Model.....	76
3.3 Planktonic Growth Curves	78
3.4 Flow Cell Biofilm Growth Model	81
3.4.1 Control Flow Cell- Biofilm Grown in Unbuffered THB.....	81
3.4.2 Flow Cell 1- Rapid Increase in pH to 11.5	85
3.4.3 Flow Cell 2- Slow Increase to pH 11.5.....	89
3.4.4 Flow Cell 3- Rapid Increase in pH to 12.5	92
3.4.5 Flow Cell 4- Slow Increase in pH to 12.5	96
3.5 Comparison of Bacterial Viabilities Between Different Flow Cell Protocols	100
3.5.1 Comparison of Rapid versus Slow Increases in pH.....	100
3.5.2 Comparison of Rapid versus Slow Increase to pH 12.5 when 3 Discs Were Used per Sample	102
3.5.3 pH 11.5 vs pH 12.5.....	103
4. Discussion.....	104
4.1 Dentine Disc Serial Transfer Biofilm Growth Model.....	104
4.2 Flow Cell Biofilm Growth Model	104
4.3 Bacterial Reduction.....	105
4.4 Bacterial Survival.....	106
4.5 Rapid versus Slow Increase in pH	108
4.6 pH 11.5 vs pH 12.5	111
4.7 SEM Imaging.....	112
4.8 Confocal Laser Microscopy	113
4.9 Limitations of Study	115
4.10 Directions for Future Research	117
4.11 Conclusion.....	118
Appendix	120
Flow Cell Viability Counts and Protein Assays	120
Bibliography.....	122

Abstract

Objectives: Calcium hydroxide is a common endodontic medicament that produces a localized rise in hydroxyl ion concentration. *Enterococcus faecalis* has shown some resistance to calcium hydroxide. The aim of this study was to compare the survival of an *E. faecalis* biofilm that had been grown on dentine when exposed to rapid or slow increases in external pH.

Method: A flow cell apparatus was used to grow single species *E. faecalis* biofilm on dentine discs. Following four weeks growth in Todd Hewitt Broth (THB), flow cells were exposed to either a rapid or slow increase to pH 11.5 or 12.5 using pH buffered growth medium. After four days exposure to pH 11.5 or 12.5, the flow cells were dismantled and the dentine discs were sonicated in saline solution to dislodge the attached biofilm. Viability of *E. faecalis* was established by serial dilution and plating onto THB agar plates. Viability was then normalised to total protein as determined by protein assay. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and confocal laser microscopy (CLSM) was also carried out to qualitatively observe the effects of the different rates of pH increase.

Results: A significant difference in viability between a slow or rapid increase in pH has not been shown by this study. pH 12.5 solutions were more effective at killing bacteria than pH 11.5 but even at this high pH some *E. faecalis* still survived. Exposure to high pH drastically reduced the numbers of bacteria observed on the dentine discs by SEM and CLSM although some did persist.

Conclusion: Based on the results of this study, *E. faecalis* located at sites within the root canal where a slower rise in pH is likely following application of a high pH medicament such as calcium hydroxide, do not seem any more likely to undergo an adaptive response that will increase their resistance and survival than the same bacteria in locations where the pH rise will be rapid. The demonstrated survival of *E. faecalis* in a high pH environment similar to that experienced clinically may help explain the problems associated with current treatment protocols when retreatting root filled teeth.

Declaration

I, Mark Stenhouse, declare that this work to the best of my knowledge and belief contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. It contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or tertiary institution.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis to be made available to the University Library, for loan or photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968, as well for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Declared by: _____ Witnessed by: _____

Mark Stenhouse

Date: _____

Date: _____

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Associate Professor Peter Cathro for being so generous with his time and wisdom in support of this project and during my time in this DClinDent program. His vision, sense of calm and guidance has been invaluable in helping me to keep seeing the forest for the trees.

Without Dr Peter Zilm's contribution, this study would not have been possible. His knowledge of microbiology and expertise in scientific method have been invaluable to this work. Dr Zilm's ability to explain complex ideas in straightforward terms has been a godsend.

I would also like to acknowledge the assistance of the staff at the Adelaide Microscopy Centre and especially Ms Lyn Waterhouse for her patience, time and expertise.

Thank you to Professor Geoffrey Heithersay for being so generous with his wealth of clinical and scientific knowledge and experience. I have been very fortunate and grateful for his ongoing involvement in the endodontic program.

The support and friendship of my fellow DClinDent candidates, Dr Barbara Plutzer, Dr Aaron Seet, Dr Jonathon Christo and Dr Mohammed El Kishawi, has made the last three years a pleasure which I will always remember fondly.

To my wife Belinda, I cannot thank you enough for your patience, support and encouragement, even through my "stroppy" times.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge the financial assistance of the Australian Dental Research Foundation and Australian Society of Endodontology.