

#### THE APPLICATION OF TIKHONOV REGULARISED INVERSE FILTERING TO DIGITAL COMMUNICATION THROUGH MULTI-CHANNEL ACOUSTIC SYSTEMS

Pierre M. Dumuid

School of Mechanical Engineering The University of Adelaide South Australia 5005

Submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, 26th August 2011. Accepted subject to minor amendments, 22th November 2011. Submitted with minor amendments, 3rd February 2012.

### Abstract

Communication between underwater vessels such as submarines is difficult to achieve over long distances using radio waves because of their high rate of absorption by water. Using underwater acoustic wave propagation for digital communication has the potential to overcome this limitation. In the last 30 years, there have been numerous papers published on the design of communication systems for shallow underwater acoustic environments. Shallow underwater acoustic environments have been described as extremely difficult media in which to achieve high data rates. The major performance limitations arise from losses due to geometrical spreading and absorption, ambient noise, Doppler spread and reverberation from surface and seafloor reflections (multi-path), with the latter being the primary limitation. The reverberation from multi-path in particular has been found to be very problematic when using the general communication systems that have been developed for radio wave communication systems.

In the early 1990s, the principal means of combating multi-path in the shallow underwater environment was to use non-coherent modulation techniques. Coherent techniques were found to be challenging due to the difficulty of obtaining a phase-lock and also that the environment was subject to fading. Designs have since been presented that addressed both of these problems by using a complex receiver design that involved a joint update of the phase-lock loop and the taps of the decision feedback filter (DFE). In recent years a technique known as time-reversal has been investigated for use in underwater acoustic communication systems. A major benefit of using the time-reversal filter in underwater acoustic communication systems is that it can provide a fast and simple method to provide a receiver design of low complexity.

A technique that can be related to time-reversal and possibly used in underwater acoustics is Tikhonov regularised inverse filtering. The Tikhonov regularised inverse filter is a fast method of obtaining a stable inverse filter design by calculating the filter in the frequency domain using the fast Fourier transform, and was originally developed for use in audio reproduction systems. Previous research has shown that the Tikhonov regularised inverse filter design outperformed time-reversal when using a Dirac impulse transmission within a simulated underwater environment. This thesis aims to extend the previous work by examining the implementation of Tikhonov regularised inverse filtering with communication signals. In addressing this goal, two topics have been examined: the influence of the sensitivities in the filter designs, and an examination of various design implementations for Tikhonov regularised inverse filtering and similar filtering techniques.

#### The influence of transducer sensitivities on the Tikhonov regularised inverse filter

During the implementation of the Tikhonov regularised inverse filter it was observed that both the Tikhonov regularised inverse filter and the timereversal filter were influenced by the sensitivity of the transducers to the acoustic signals, which is determined by the transducer design and the amplifying stages. Unlike single channel systems, setting the sensitivities of the transducers to their maximum value for multi-channel systems does not always maximise the coherence between the input and output of the entire system consisting of the inverse filter, the sensitivities and the electro-acoustic system where the channel is the electro-acoustic transfer function between the transmitter and receiver. The influence the sensitivities have on the performance of the multi-channel Tikhonov regularised inverse filters and the timereversal filter was examined by performing a mathematical examination of the system. An algorithm was developed that adjusted gains to compensate for the decrease in performance that results from the poor sensitivities. To test the algorithm, a system with an inappropriate set of sensitivities was examined. The performance improvement of the communication system was examined using the generated gains to scale the signal. The algorithm was found to reduce the signal degradation and cross-talk. If the gains were used in the digital domain (after the analog to digital and before the digital to analog converters) then the quality of the signal was improved at the expense of the signal level.

During this examination it was found that the time-reversal filter is equivalent to the Tikhonov regularised inverse filter with infinite regularisation.

### Variations of the Tikhonov regularised inverse filter and performance comparisons

In this thesis, various design structures for the implementation of the Tikhonov inverse filter were proposed and implemented in an experimental digital communication system that operated through an acoustic environment in air. It was shown that the Tikhonov inverse filter and related filter design structures could be classified or implemented according to three different classifications. The Tikhonov inverse filter was implemented according to each of these classifications and then compared against each other, as well as against two other filter designs discussed in the literature: time-reversal filtering, and the two-sided filter developed by Stojanovic [2005]. Due to the number of parameters that could be varied, it was difficult to identify the influence each parameter had on the results independently of the other parameters. A simulation was developed based on a model of the experiment to assist in identifying the influences of each parameter. The parameters examined included the number of transmitter elements, carrier frequency, data rate, and the value of the regularisation parameter.

When the communication system consisted of a signal receiver, the Stojanovic two-sided filter generally outperformed the Tikhonov regularised inverse filter designs when communicating. However, at higher data rates, the Stojanovic two-sided filter required the addition of a regularisation parameter to allow it to continue to operate. However, given an appropriately selected regularisation parameter, the difference between the performance of the Tikhonov filter and the Stojanovic two-sided filter was minimal.

When performing multi-channel communications, the full MIMO implementation of the Tikhonov regularised inverse filter design was shown to have the best performance. For the environment considered, the Tikhonov regularised inverse filter was the only design that was able to eliminate all symbol errors.

## Statement of originality

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Pierre Dumuid and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed in Section 1.3) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Pierre M. Dumuid

### Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge a number of people without whom this thesis would have never been finished. I firstly wish to acknowledge God who has given me a love and peace I have felt in my life. I am also very thankful to my parents, Bernard and Anthea Dumuid who have encouraged me with my technical interest, and comforted me with emotional, moral, and financial support. I am also very thankful to my sister, Sarah who has been a great sibling, and put up with my moodiness as a house-mate, and helping me to grow into the person I have become.

I wish to also thank my supervisors, Ben Cazzolato, and Anthony Zander, who have had to read though revision after revision of my work, attempting to decipher incomprehensible sentences. Barbara Brougham also provided professional editorial advice in regards to language, completeness and consistency of this work.

Finally, I wish to thank my wife, Kylie and her parents Deb and Barry Foreman who let me use their holiday house to work on my thesis. As well as proof reading my thesis, Kylie has been a great support and encouragement to me as I finished writing up this thesis.

## Contents

A	bstra	$\mathbf{ct}$			i
St	aten	nent of	original	ity	$\mathbf{v}$
A	cknov	wledge	ements		vii
С	onter	nts			viii
$\mathbf{Li}$	st of	Figur	es		xiii
1	<b>Intr</b> 1.1 1.2 1.3	oduct Aim o Thesis Publis	ion f this rese s overview shed mate	earch	<b>1</b> 2 3 4
2	<b>Bac</b> 2.1	kgroun Under 2.1.1 2.1.2 2.1.3	nd Theor water aco Sound a The way Sound p 2.1.3.1 2.1.3.2 2.1.3.3	y bustics	<b>5</b> 5 7 8 8 11
	2.2	Digita 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.2.4 2.2.5	l commun Introduc Coding Modulat 2.2.3.1 2.2.3.2 Commun Receiver 2.2.5.1 2.2.5.2	nication theory	14 14 15 17 17 20 20 24 24
				filter	26

			2.2.5.3	Channel compensation	27
			2.2.5.4	Channel compensation for time-invariant chan-	27
			2.2.5.5	Adaptive equalisers – Channel compensation for time-variant channels	21
~	<b>-</b> • ·		<b>D</b> 1		~~
3		rature	Review	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	33
	3.1 2.0	Digita	l underwa	ater acoustic communication	33 90
	3.2	Chann	iei compe	nsation	30 20
		3.2.1	1  ime-re	Further developments of time reversal	-30 50
		2 9 9	3.2.1.1 Inviona	Further developments of time-reversal	52 54
		3. <i>2</i> .2	Company	intering	04 60
	3.3	Chann	el compe	nsation techniques used in acoustic communic-	00
		ation s	systems		63
		3.3.1	Passive	time-reversal in underwater acoustic commu-	
		3.3.2	nication Active ti	ime-reversal in underwater acoustic communic-	63
			ation .		67
		3.3.3	Other ti	me-reversal investigations in underwater acous-	
			tic comr	nunication	68
		3.3.4	Inverse f	filtering in underwater acoustic communication	70
	3.4	Conclu	usion and	Gap Statement	71
4	Infl	uences	of amp	lifier sensitivities on Tikhonov inverse	=0
	filte	ring	, <b>.</b>		73
	4.1	Introd	uction .		13
	4.2	1 neor	у т	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	74
		4.2.1	Introduc		14
		4.2.2	Innuence	e of transducer sensitivities on the performance	75
			or the 1	A s "s was les man au sing sout au?"	75 75
			4.2.2.1 4.2.2.2	Influence of transducer sensitivities on the	10
				total system	76
			4.2.2.3	Examination of the transfer matrix singular values	77
		4.2.3	Calculat	tion of desirable transducer sensitivities	78
			4.2.3.1	Sensitivities for an "equally responsive system"	78
			4.2.3.2	Sensitivities to reduce the condition number	
				of the system $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$	79
		4.2.4	Impleme	entations of preconditioning in digital systems .	81
	4.3	An exa	ample and	alysis	82
	4.4	Conclu	usion		91

<b>5</b>	$\mathbf{Exp}$	erime	nt and Simulation	95
	5.1	Overv	iew	95
	5.2	Invers	e filtering performed in a sound channel	95
		5.2.1	Introduction	95
		5.2.2	Experiment configuration	96
		5.2.3	Characteristics of the system components	97
			5.2.3.1 Speaker amplifier characterisation	99
			5.2.3.2 Speaker characterisation	99
			5.2.3.3 Microphone amplifier characterisation	101
			5.2.3.4 Microphone characterisation	102
			5.2.3.5 Concluding remarks on system component char-	
			acterisation	103
		5.2.4	The computer program code	104
		5.2.5	Experimental procedure	105
		5.2.6	Results from experiment	109
		5.2.7	Conclusion from the experiment	114
	5.3	Comp	uter simulations	115
		5.3.1	Introduction	115
		5.3.2	Implementation	116
			5.3.2.1 The Condor system	116
			5.3.2.2 MATLAB scripts that interact with Condor .	116
		5.3.3	The executing computer script	117
			5.3.3.1 Overview	117
			5.3.3.2 Generation of the communication signal	118
			5.3.3.3 Generation of the inverse filters	119
			5.3.3.4 Testing of the inverse filter	119
		5.3.4	Conclusions	121
6	Per	formar	nce of Tikhonov regularised inverse filter design	
	$\operatorname{stru}$	ictures	i	123
	6.1	Introd	uction	123
	6.2	The fi	lter designs	124
		6.2.1	Design classifications	124
		6.2.2	The Tikhonov regularised inverse filter	126
		6.2.3	Regularisation of Stojanovic's two-sided filter for no	
			inter-symbol interference	128
	6.3	Perfor	mance comparisons	129
		6.3.1	Procedure	129
		6.3.2	Sensitivity to noise	131
	6.4	Result	з	133
	6.5	Conch	usion	141

7 Conclusions and Future Work

157

7.1	Conclu	usions	157
	7.1.1	Influence of amplifier gain on Tikhonov inverse filter	157
	712	Implementation of Tikhonov inverse filtering for a com-	197
	1.1.2	munication system	158
7.2	Recom	mendations for future work	160
	7.2.1	Methods for adapting the regularisation parameter	160
	7.2.2	Adaptive channel estimates update using the symbol	
		errors	161
	7.2.3	Using the DORT technique to focus on each receiver .	161
	7.2.4	Variable range focusing	162
	7.2.5	Automatic channel MIMO reduction	162
	1.2.0	Using the adjoint operator to eliminate cross-talk	162
Refere	nces		165
Appen	dices		177
A Pro	oram (	developed for the experiment and simulation	179
A.1	The d	Space development system	179
A.2	Code ι	used for the experiment using inverse filter designs in an	
	air-acc	pustic channel	180
	A.2.1	DuctExperimentDSPACEProgram.c	180
		A.2.1.1 Program listing	180
		A.2.1.2 Program description	186
	A.2.2	DuctExperimentCreateTransmissionSignal.m	189
		A.2.2.1 Program listing	189
	A.2.3	DuctExperimentPlayAndPostprocess.m	192
		A.2.3.1 Program listing	192
	A.2.4	Helper Scripts	201
		A.2.4.1 DuctExperimentRunAdaptiveTests.m	201
		A.2.4.2 GetDS1104VariableDescriptions.m	204
		A.2.4.3 GetFakeIRFs.m $\ldots$	205
		A.2.4.4 GetIRFSD51104.III $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	200
		A.2.4.5 PlotScatter.III $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	208
		A.2.4.0 RunDS1104ClikSpk.in $\dots \dots \dots$	200
Δι	Code	A.2.4.7 RunDon Rundo	$210 \\ 212$
п.9	A 3 1	The Condor submitter scripts	212
	11.0.1	A 3.1.1 DuctSimulationManager m	212
		A 3.1.2 DuctSimulationSubmit JobsAndFetchResults m	212
		A.3.1.3 DuctSimulationResultViewer m	218
	A.3.2	Functions for the Condor submitter script	226

		A.3.2.1	SubmitCondorJob.m
	A.3.3	The Con	dor job script $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 229$
		A.3.3.1	The Condor job executor: CondorJobExecutor.bat229
		A.3.3.2	The main Condor job: CondorJobMainScript.m230
		A.3.3.3	CondorJobCreateModulatedSignal.m 231
		A.3.3.4	CondorJobRunFilterTests.m
A.4	Thesis	MATLA	B library
	A.4.1	Baseban	dToPassband.m
	A.4.2	BitSeque	enceToBlockValues.m
	A.4.3	BitSeque	enceToComplexSequence.m
	A.4.4	CentralF	PeakSignalTrim.m
	A.4.5	Complex	SequenceToSignal.m
	A.4.6	CreateIn	verseFilter.m
	A.4.7	Detector	Adaptive $MSE.m251$
	A.4.8	Detector	AdaptiveRLS.m
	A.4.9	Detector	AdaptiveZF.m $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 254$
	A.4.10	Detector	NonAdaptive.m $\dots \dots \dots$
	A.4.11	FindSigr	nalFirstPeak.m $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 255$
	A.4.12	GetCons	tellationValues.m
	A.4.13	GreyDec	codeMap.m
	A.4.14	GreyEnd	codeMap.m
	A.4.15	MatrixC	onvolve.m $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 257$
	A.4.16	Passban	dToBaseband.m $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 257$
	A.4.17	RaisedC	osineFrequencySpectrum.m
	A.4.18	Resampl	eIRFs.m
	A.4.19	SignalPh	naseEstimatorPassbandToBaseband.m $\ldots$ . 259

#### **B** Figure Attributions

# List of Figures

2.1	Attenuation coefficient of sound in sea-water from the formula given in Equation 2.2.	6
2.2	Experimental measurements of transmission loss (in dB) with re- spect to range for a shallow environment. The depth of the source and receiver depth are denoted by $S$ and $R$ on sub-figure (a). [Et- ter 1006, Fig. 5.5.5 follows:	7
2.3	The change in angle and wavelength for a ray propagating between	1
2.4	two media (Adapted from Etter [1996, Fig. 3.6])	9
	[1987, Fig.2.15])	10
2.5	Examples of ray tracing for a number of profiles [Jensen et al., 2000 Figs 1 11 1 14]	11
2.6	Sound speed profile and selected modes of the Pekeris sound-speed profile. The dashed lines are lossy modes that decay with range.	11
2.7	[Jensen et al., 2000, Fig. 5.8]	13
2.8	5.10]	13
	lar [2001, Fig. 1.2])	15
2.9	Fourier representation demonstrating base-band to pass-band con- version.	18
2.10	Fourier representation demonstrating the pass-band to base-band	10
2.11	Structures for the hetero-dyne operation to (a) convert from base-	19
0.10	band to pass-band, and (b) convert from pass-band to base-band	19
2.12 2.13	Examples of base-band and pass-band signals for PAM, PSK, and PAM-PSK. The top plot shows the base-band with the solid and dashed lines representing the real and imaginary components re-	21
	band signals, for $f_c = 500$ Hz	22

2.14	Two signals, $s_1(t)$ and $g(t)$ , that may be used to generate the base-band signal.	22
2.15	Impulse response of the ideal spectral shaping filter	24
2.16	Impulse and frequency response of the raised co-sine spectral shaping filter	24
2.17	Example of base-band and pass-band signals as per the PAM- PSK example shown in Figure 2.13 using a raised co-sine spectral shaping filter with $\beta = 0.2$ truncated at $\pm 6T$ . The crosses and circles indicate the sampling instances.	25
2.18	Schematic of a QAM receiver [Proakis, 2001, Fig. 6.1-4]	26
2.19	Adaptive filtering structure	29
2.20	Schematic for the decision-feedback MSE equaliser [Proakis, 2001, Fig 11.2–1]	30
3.1	Phase conjugation holography [Fink, 1992, Fig. 10]	37
3.2	Beam steering as performed by a time-reversal mirror [Jackson and Dowling, 1991, Fig. 3]	39
3.3	Sound intensity for frequencies ranging from 445 Hz to 465 Hz for a simulation of a 140m deep shallow water environment with source and receiver depth of 40 m and 50 m respectively. The curves have been displaced by 2 dB increments for each curve. [Song et al., 1998, Fig 5]	42
3.4	Sound intensity for range and depth for a time-reversal having an original focal point at a range of 6.2 km and depth of 70 m with (a) no frequency shift, (b) a frequency shift of -20 Hz, and (c) a frequency +20 Hz. [Song et al., 1998, Fig 3]	43
3.5	Mirror images resulting from a wave-guide [Roux et al., 1997, Fig. 6]	45
3.6	Iterative time-reversal with pulse excitation. [Prada et al., 1991]	46
3.7	Inter-element impulse response. [Prada et al., 1995]	47
3.8	Sound intensity for phase conjugate (single frequency) mirror from a simulation for a probe source located at a depth of 40 m and range of 6.3 km in a shallow underwater acoustic environment. [Kuperman et al., 1998, Fig. 4b]	52
3.9	Room configurations for the application of inverse filtering	57
3.10	Generic inverse filter system schematic [Kirkeby et al., 1998]. $\therefore$	58
3.11	Improved focusing obtained through the use of time-reversal in conjunction with amplitude compensation [Thomas and Fink, 1996].	61

3.12	Two methods of using time-reversal in acoustic communication. (a) Active time-reversal consists of the target emitting a signal that is recorded at an array. The time-reverse of the recorded signals at the array are then used as filters to transmit sound to the target. (b) Passive time-reversal consists of a source emitting an initial pulse, during which time the array records the response. After some time, the source transmits data and the array uses the time-reverse of the records to filter the received signals	64
4.1	Diagonal preconditioning systems: (a) no diagonal precondition- ing; (b) digital preconditioning; (c) analog preconditioning; and (d) scaled version of the Tikhonov inverse filter.	82
4.2	The impulse responses $c_{rs}(n)$ of the system (replica of Kirkeby et al. [1998, Fig. 3]), showing the response amplitudes versus sample, n. In this figure, the sub-figure at row $i$ , column $j$ corresponds to the IRF of the channel between transmitter $j$ and receiver $i$	83
4.3	The impulse responses $c(n)$ . In these figures, the subplot at row <i>i</i> , column <i>j</i> corresponds to the IRF of the channel between	
	transmitter $j$ and receiver $i$	85
4.4	The singular values of $\mathbf{C}(\omega)$	85
4.5	Optimal values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with respect to frequency, calculated using the preconditioning algorithm. $-x_1, \dots, x_2, \dots, x_3$ ,	86
4.6	The singular values of the $\mathbf{H}_{}(x)$ for $\kappa = 0.008$ with	00
4.0	regularisation $  -$ without regularisation $  -$ singular value	
	limit, $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}}$ .	87
4.7	Influence of regularisation of singular values $\sigma_{\rm IE} = \frac{1}{2}$	
	$- \sigma_{\rm TIF} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm C}^2}{(\sigma_{\rm C}^2 + 0.008)\sigma_{\rm C}}  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots $	89
4.8	The impulse responses of the filters for $\kappa = 0.008$ . The unit on the	
	x-axis is samples. In these figures, the subplot at row $i$ , column $j$	
	corresponds to the IRF of the filter between virtual source $j$ and	
	transmitter $i$ . These impulse responses have been normalised such	00
4.0	that the largest peak value of each inter is $\pm 1, \ldots, 0.008$ . The	90
4.9	The impulse responses of the complete system for $k = 0.008$ . The unit on the <i>r</i> -axis is samples. In this figure, the subplot at row <i>i</i>	
	column $i$ corresponds to the IRF of the entire system between	
	virtual source $j$ and receiver $i$ .	92
4.10	The frequency responses of the complete system for $\kappa = 0.008$ .	
	The unit on the abscissa is kHz, and the unit on the ordinate is dB.	
	In these figures, the subplot at row $i$ , column $j$ corresponds to the EPE of the entire system between virtual course $i$ and transmitter $i$	0.5
	Find on the entire system between virtual source $j$ and transmitter $i$ .	90

5.1	Experiment schematic
5.2	Images of the waveguide equipment
5.3	Measured bode plot for speaker amplifiers
5.4	Equipment setup used to characterise the speakers
5.5	Magnitude, phase and coherence measurements for the speakers.
	The magnitude of the response for each speaker has been norm-
	alised such that the average between $8 \mathrm{kHz}$ and $13 \mathrm{kHz}$ is $1. \ldots 101$
5.6	Measured bode plot showing the magnitude and phase for a mi-
	crophone amplifier. $\dots \dots \dots$
5.7	Equipment setup used to characterise the microphones 103
5.8	Magnitude, phase and coherence measurements for the micro-
	phones. The results have been normalised such that the average
	magnitude of each microphone measurement between $5\mathrm{kHz}$ and
	$10 \mathrm{kHz}$ is 1
5.9	Average frequency response between six transmitters and two re-
	ceivers from one of the experiments conducted
5.10	Scatter plot of the sampled signal at the target receiver and non-
	target receiver. The filters examined are the Stojanovic two-sided
	filter design [Stoj. 2-S], time-reversal [T.R.], Tikhonov inverse fil-
	tering using full [T.I.F. (full)], channel [T.I.F. (channel)], and path
	[T.I.F. (path)] structures
5.11	Scatter plots of the filtered target receiver signal after applying
	the different adaptive filtering algorithms to each of the signals
	received by the inverse filter designs. The adaptive filters are no
	filtering [none], the zero-forcing equaliser [ZF], the mean-square
	error equaliser [MSE], the fractionally-spaced mean square er-
	icer [PLS] and the fractionally spaced recursive least square error equal-
	acualizar [PLS FS]
5 19	The history of the symbol error after each iteration of the various
0.12	adaptive filter algorithms. The values shown on the abscissas are
	the step-sizes used for each iteration of the equalisers, and the
	ordinate value is the symbol error after the iteration. The step
	size was kept constant for each iteration of the RLS equalisers 113
5.13	The magnitude of the filter tap after each sample of the adaptive
0.10	filters for the Tikhonov inverse filter using the full structure 114
5.14	Schematic of the Condor distributed computing system. The pool
	of execution computers contained around 200 computers 116
5.15	Schematic of the program execution
5.16	Schematic of the simulation executed on each computer.
	Whilst the schematic and the computer code show the implementation of
	fractional sampling, and RLS adaptive equalisers, these were turned off dur-
	ing the main simulations due to computation limitations

6.1	Schematic of filter connections
6.2	Schematic of filter design classifications. Solid lines denote trans- mission paths considered when developing filters. Dashed paths are additional paths which contribute to cross talk
6.3	Scatter of standard deviation versus symbol error for all filter designs. The light curve shows the expected average for a Gaussian distributed symbol spread
6.4	Average frequency responses between all 6 transmitters and re- ceivers 1 and 2. The vertical dashed lines show the region in which the simulations occurred, and the horizontal dashed line indicates the chosen operational level
6.5	Transmitter power for the parameter ranges presented in Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top row of pixels above the dashed line
6.6	Power at the target receiver for the parameter ranges presented in Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top row of pixels above the dashed line
6.7	Average amplitude of sampled signal prior to compensation of the phase / amplitude for the parameter ranges presented in Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top row of pixels above the dashed line
6.8	Ratio of the receiver power to the cross-talk power for the para- meter ranges presented in Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presen- ted in the plots in the top row of pixels above the dashed line. 145
6.9	Symbol error without any adaptive filters for the parameter ranges presented in Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top row of pixels above the dashed line
6.10	Estimate of symbol error derived from the standard deviation for the parameter ranges presented in Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top row of pixels above the dashed line
6.11	Increase in standard deviation required to achieve an error rate of 1 in 400 for the parameter ranges presented in Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top row of pixels above the dashed line
6.12	Channel noise required to achieve a standard deviation that results in an error rate of 1 in 400 for the parameter ranges presented in Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top row of pixels above the dashed line

6.13	Estimate of symbol error derived from the standard deviation with	
	the addition of cross-talk for the parameter ranges presented in	
	Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top	
	row of pixels above the dashed line	150
6.14	Increase in standard deviation required to achieve an error rate of	
	1 in 400 after the addition of cross-talk for the parameter ranges	
	presented in Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the	
	plots in the top row of pixels above the dashed line	151
6.15	Channel noise required to achieve a standard deviation that res-	
	ults in an error rate of 1 in 400 after the addition of cross-talk for	
	the parameter ranges presented in Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are	
	presented in the plots in the top row of pixels above the dashed	
	line	152
6.16	Symbol Error using LMS adaptive equaliser and no training se-	
	quence for the parameter ranges presented in Table 6.1. Results	
	for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top row of pixels above	
	the dashed line	153
6.17	Symbol error using LMS adaptive equaliser and a training se-	
	quence of 40 symbols for the parameter ranges presented in Table 6.1	L.
	Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top row of pixels	
	above the dashed line	154
6.18	Symbol error using the zero-forcing adaptive equaliser and no	
	training sequence for the parameter ranges presented in Table 6.1.	
	Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top row of pixels	
	above the dashed line	155
6.19	Symbol error using the zero-forcing adaptive equaliser and a train-	
	ing sequence of 40 symbols for the parameter ranges presented in	
	Table 6.1. Results for $\kappa = 0$ are presented in the plots in the top	
	row of pixels above the dashed line	156