

Extensions to the Probabilistic Multi-Hypothesis Tracker for Tracking, Navigation and SLAM

Brian Cheung

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
The University of Adelaide
Adelaide, South Australia

February 2012

UNCLASSIFIED AND APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Contributions	3
1.2	Thesis Overview	3
2	Background	7
2.1	Data Association	7
2.1.1	Nearest Neighbour	8
2.1.2	Probabilistic Data Association Filter	8
2.1.3	Probabilistic Multi-Hypothesis Tracker	9
2.2	State Estimation	10
2.2.1	Problem Definition	11
2.2.2	Target Dynamic Models	11
2.2.3	Measurement Model	14
2.2.4	Kalman Filter	15
2.2.5	Extended Kalman Filter	16
2.2.6	Unscented Kalman Filter	17
2.2.7	Particle Filter	19
2.3	Probabilistic Multi-Hypothesis Tracker	21
2.3.1	Problem Definition	21
2.3.2	PMHT for multi-target tracking	22
3	PMHT with time uncertainty	27
3.1	Introduction	27
3.2	Problem Formulation	28
3.3	PMHT for tracking with timing uncertainty	29

3.4	Performance Analysis	34
3.5	Comparison of PMHT-t with other Methods	41
3.5.1	PMHT-t Solution	44
3.5.2	Sliding Window PMHT-t	46
3.5.3	Alternative Algorithms	48
3.5.4	Performance Analysis	49
3.6	Conclusion	51
4	PMHT Path Planning	53
4.1	Introduction	53
4.1.1	Background	54
4.1.2	Proposed Approach	56
4.2	Problem Formulation	57
4.3	Path planning problem	59
4.4	PMHT for multiple platform path planning	61
4.5	Simulation Results	65
4.6	Tradeoff between smoothness and proximity	71
4.7	Locale Density Dependence	73
4.8	Genetic Algorithm Solution to the Travelling Salesmen Problem	77
4.8.1	GA-TSP with PMHT Smoother	79
4.8.2	Path Planning Comparison	79
4.9	Sliding batch PMHT Path Planning	88
4.10	PMHT-pp for Indoor Environments	91
4.10.1	PMHT-pp-pf Indoor Strategies	91
4.10.2	PMHT-pp-pf Indoor Results	92
4.11	PMHT-pp with Non-Homogeneous Locales	98
4.11.1	Non-Homogeneous Locales	98
4.11.2	PMHT-pp with Priority as a Continuous Density	99
4.11.3	Simulation Example	102
4.12	Conclusion	105
5	PMHT-c for SLAM	107
5.1	Introduction	107

5.2	Problem Formulation	110
5.3	SLAM formulation	111
5.4	The PMHT with Classification in SLAM	114
5.5	Performance Analysis	117
5.5.1	Simulated results	117
5.5.2	Victoria Park Data	123
5.6	Conclusions	127
6	Conclusion	129
6.1	Tracking with Time Uncertainty	129
6.2	Multiple Platform Path Planning	130
6.3	SLAM with classifications	131
6.4	Future Work	132
A	Source Code Listing	133
A.1	PMHT-t Source Code	133
A.2	PMHT-pp Source Code	136
A.3	PMHT-c SLAM Source Code	141

List of Figures

3.1	Time error pmf	35
3.2	PMHT-t Scenario 1 Tracking Results	37
3.3	PMHT-t Scenario 2 Tracking Results	39
3.4	PMHT-t Scenario 2 with Clutter Tracking Results	42
4.1	Locale Example Map	58
4.2	PMHT-pp assigned trajectories evolution for 4 platforms	67
4.3	Assorted PMHT-pp Planned Trajectories	68
4.4	Converged estimates of Π^k	70
4.5	Converged estimates of Π^τ	70
4.6	PMHT-pp Tradeoff using ratio between Q and R	72
4.7	PMHT-pp with varied grid of locales	74
4.8	PMHT-pp with varied random locales	76
4.9	GA-TSP with a grid of locales	78
4.10	Initial priors for PMHT-pp smoothing	80
4.11	GA-TSP with PMHT-pp smoothed output	81
4.12	Results for 4 platforms and grid of locales	83
4.13	Results for 3 platforms and random locales	84
4.14	Results for 4 platforms and random locales	85
4.15	Locale distribution between platforms	87
4.16	Trajectories separated for the four platforms after 8 iterations	89
4.17	Trajectories at each iteration	90
4.18	PMHT-pp-pf with grid of locales	94
4.19	Comparison between PMHT-pp and PMHT-pp-pf	95
4.20	PMHT-pp-pf with 4 walls	96

4.21	PMHT-pp-pf in an indoor environment	97
4.22	PMHT-pp with Priority Map Comparison	104
5.1	Example platform trajectory with random landmarks.	119
5.2	Percentage of divergent tracks comparison	120
5.3	RMS position estimation error comparison	121
5.4	Percentage of divergent tracks with PMHT comparison	122
5.5	RMS position estimation error with PMHT comparison	122
5.6	Divergent tracks with different misclassified measurements	123
5.7	Divergent tracks with mismatched misclassified measurements	124
5.8	Histogram of tree widths	125
5.9	PMHT-c estimated trajectory.	126

List of Tables

3.1	PMHT-t Scenario 1 Monte Carlo RMS results	38
3.2	PMHT-t Scenario 2 Monte Carlo RMS results	40
3.3	PMHT-t Scenario 2 with Clutter Monte Carlo RMS results	43
3.4	Monte Carlo Position RMS Comparison results	50
3.5	Monte Carlo Timing Error Mean RMS Comparison results	51
3.6	Monte Carlo Timing Error Precision RMS Comparison results	51
4.1	PMHT-pp Monte Carlo results for grid of locales	75
4.2	PMHT-pp Monte Carlo results for random locales	75
4.3	Path Planning Monte Carlo Comparison results	86

Abstract

Multi-target tracking is a problem that involves estimating target states from noisy data whilst simultaneously deciding which measurement was produced by each target. The Probabilistic Multi-Hypothesis Tracker (PMHT) is an algorithm that solves the multi-target tracking problem. This thesis presents extensions to the PMHT to address problems that may arise in the use of real sensors and considers multi-target tracking techniques for use in other applications such as autonomous vehicles.

It is generally assumed that a sensor collects a set of noisy position measurements at known times. In some situations, the time information may not be reliable and cause filtering issues. This thesis derives an extension to the PMHT that introduces an assignment index that identifies the true time at which a measurement was collected. This extension of the PMHT allows for tracking on measurements with time errors, such as time delays. A further extension allows the PMHT algorithm to simultaneously estimate the time error parameters whilst tracking targets.

The above extension is applied to the problem of planning paths for multiple platforms to explore an unknown area. Given a set of locales to be visited and the platform initial positions, the path planning problem has the same mathematical form as a multi-target tracking problem, with locales as measurements and the platforms as targets. The extended PMHT algorithm uses hypothesised time-stamps to associate locales to platforms and times simultaneously.

Autonomous vehicles are expected to use information from their sensors to navigate and map their environment. Simultaneous localisation and mapping (SLAM) is the name given to this task and is essentially a multi-target tracking problem. This thesis proposes the use of PMHT and landmark classification information received with measurements to improve the performance of SLAM.

Declaration

I, Brian Cheung certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give my consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below*) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signature

Date

Acknowledgements

There are many people who have helped me along the way and to whom I would like to thank you.

To my supervisor at the Defence Science and Technology Organisation, Samuel Davey. Thanks for your open door and guidance every time I was lost. Thanks for being open to my ideas and stepping through ideas with me.

Thanks to Neil Gordon, the head of Tracking and Sensor Fusion group in ISRD. For encouraging me to start a PhD at the beginning and for pushing me to hurry up and finish at the end!

To Professor Doug Gray, of the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering at the University of Adelaide, for your constructive guidance, demanding a high standard of research and for making me think like a scientific researcher rather than an engineer.

The Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance Division of DSTO for allowing me the opportunity to undertake these studies and the computing resources to undergo the work. The School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering at the University of Adelaide for their hospitality and learning environment.

To my wife, Lang for her love, support and understanding throughout my studies. For keeping me well nourished, encouraged when down and for listening to my presentations even when they made no sense to her, I thank you!

Abbreviations

CPU	Central Processing Unit
DSTO	Defence Science and Technology Organisation
EIF	Extended Information Filter
EKF	Extended Kalman Filter
EM	Expectation Maximisation
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GA-TSP	Genetic Algorithm for Travelling Salesmen Problem
ISRD	Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance Division
JPDA	Joint Probabilistic Data Association
KF	Kalman Filtering
LNN	Local Nearest Neighbour
NN-JPDA	Nearest Neighbour - Joint Probabilistic Data Association
pdf	probability density function
PF	Particle Filtering
PHD	Probability Hypothesis Density

pmf	probability mass function
PDA	Probabilistic Data Association
PMHT	Probabilistic Multi-Hypothesis Tracker
PMHT-c	Probabilistic Multi-Hypothesis Tracker with Classification measurements
PMHT-t	Probabilistic Multi-Hypothesis Tracker with Time measurements
PMHT-pp	Probabilistic Multi-Hypothesis Tracker with Path Planning
PMHT-pp-pf	Probabilistic Multi-Hypothesis Tracker Path Planner with Particle Filtering
POMDP	Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes
RMS	Root Mean Square
SLAM	Simultaneous Localisation and Mapping
TSP	Travelling Salesman Problem
UKF	Unscented Kalman Filter

Symbols

$\{\cdot\}^T$	Matrix transpose operator.
C	The confusion matrix, gives the probability of observing a particular class given the true class.
c_{ij}	An element of the confusion matrix, $C = \{c_{ij}\}$.
F	State transition matrix.
F_t	State transition matrix at scan t .
g_t	Measurement noise at time t .
H_t	Measurement matrix at scan t .
h_t	Nonlinear measurement matrix at scan t .
K	The set of all assignment indices over the data batch.
k_n	The assignment index for the n th measurement. Indicates which model is the true source for that measurement.
k_{nt}	The assignment index for the n th measurement at scan t . Indicates which model is the true source for that measurement.
k_{ntp}	The assignment index for the n th measurement at scan t from sensor p . Indicates which landmark is the true source for that measurement.
M	Total number of targets.

m	A target index, indicating target m .
N	Total number of measurements.
N_s	Total number of sample or particle points.
N_t	Total number of measurements at scan t .
N_{tp}	Total number of measurements from sensor p at scan t .
n	A measurement index, indicating measurement n
P	Total number of platforms.
P_d	The probability that a target is detected.
$P_{t t}$	The covariance of the state estimate at scan t .
$P_{t t-1}$	The covariance of the predicted state at scan t .
P_0	The covariance of the assumed distribution of the initial target state for the linear Gaussian case.
p	A platform index, indicating platform p in SLAM.
$Q(\cdot \cdot^{(i)})$	The EM auxiliary function that is maximised to obtain the iterative parameter estimates. It is a function of the true parameters and their estimates from the previous EM iteration.
Q	The process noise covariance
Q_C	The part of the auxiliary function dependent on the confusion matrix. This is maximised to find the confusion matrix estimate.
Q_t	The process noise covariance at scan t
Q_X	The part of the auxiliary function dependent on the target states, X
Q_{XY}	The part of the auxiliary function that couples the landmark and sensor states.
Q_{Π}	The part of the auxiliary function dependent on the assignment prior, Π .

Q_{Π}^k	The part of the auxiliary function dependent on the positional assignment prior, Π^k .
Q_{Π}^{τ}	The part of the auxiliary function dependent on the time stamp assignment prior, Π^{τ} .
Q_{τ}	The part of the auxiliary function dependent on the time stamp, τ .
q_x	The unit time variance of noise in x units.
q_y	The unit time variance of noise in y units.
R_t	The measurement covariance matrix at scan t .
\hat{R}_t^m	The synthetic sensor measurement covariance matrix for model m at scan t .
r	A measurement index for measurements at a particular scan.
S_t	The innovation covariance matrix at scan t . Represents the expected measurement scatter given the current state estimate and its covariance.
T	Total number of scans in the batch.
t	A time index, indicating scan number t .
u_t	Process noise at time t .
v	Probability of a correct measurement time being available.
v_t	Measurement innovation at scan t .
W_t	The Kalman Gain at scan t .
w_t	Measurement noise at time t .
w_t^i	The weight that a particular sample point i represents the state at time t .
w_{tm}	An assignment weight functions. The posterior probability function of a particular assignment to target m at scan t given the current estimated parameters.

w_{ntm}	An assignment weight. The posterior probability of a particular assignment between measurement n to target m at scan t given the current estimated parameters.
X	A set of all of the states of all models over the entire batch.
X^m	A set of all of the states of model m over the entire batch.
x_t	The state at scan t .
x_t^m	The state of model m at scan t .
x_t^i	Particle i to represent the state at scan t .
$\hat{x}_{t t-1}$	The predicted state at scan t .
\hat{x}_t^m	The state estimate for model m at scan t .
Y^p	A set of all of the states of platform p over all scans.
y_t^p	The state of platform p at scan t .
Z	A set of all of the measurements for the entire batch.
$Z^{(x)}$	A set of all of the positional measurements for the entire batch.
$Z^{(k)}$	A set of all of the classification measurements for the entire batch.
Z	A set of all of the measurements for the entire batch.
z_n	The n th measurement.
z_t	The measurement at scan t .
z_{ntp}^x	The n th positional measurement at scan t produced by sensor p .
z_{ntp}^k	The n th classification measurement at scan t produced by sensor p .
z_n	The n th measurement received by the sensor or the n th locale in the set of locales.

z_{nt}	The n th measurement at scan t .
z_n^x	The position of measurement n .
z_n^τ	The time stamp of measurement n .
\tilde{z}_t^m	The synthetic measurement for target m at scan t .
\hat{z}_t	The predicted measurement at scan t .
χ_t^i	Sample point i to represent the state at time t .
Δ	Pixel size of a uniform grid of locales.
Δt	Time difference between measurement updates.
η_n	Priority of locale n .
λ	Unknown parameter to estimate the inverse variance of the time stamp error.
μ	Unknown parameter to estimate the mean time stamp error.
$\phi_0^p(y_0^p)$	The prior probability density function for the state of sensor p .
$\phi_t^p(y_t^p y_{t-1}^p)$	The evolution probability density function for sensor p at scan t .
Π	The set of all assignment priors for the batch.
Π^k	The set of all positional assignment priors for the batch.
Π^τ	The set of all time stamp assignment priors for the batch.
π_t^m	The assignment prior for model m at scan t .
π_{nm}^k	The assignment prior for measurement n to model m .
π_{nt}^τ	The assignment prior for measurement n at scan t .
$\psi_0(x_0)$	The prior probability density function for the state.
$\psi_0^m(x_0^m)$	The prior probability density function for the state of model m .

$\psi_t(x_t x_{t-1})$	The evolution probability density function for the target at scan t .
$\psi_t^m(x_t^m x_{t-1}^m)$	The evolution probability density function for model m at scan t .
ρ	Intensity of locales.
τ	The set of all time assignment indices over the data batch.
τ_n	The true collection time of measurement n .
θ^m	Associated class of each landmark measurement.
$\zeta(z_t \mathbf{x}_t)$	The measurement probability density at scan t .
$\zeta(z_{nt} \mathbf{x}_t^m)$	The measurement probability density for model m at scan t .

Publications

1. B Cheung, S J Davey, and D A Gray, *Combining PMHT with classifications to perform SLAM*, Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Information Fusion (Seattle, US), July 2009.
2. B Cheung, S J Davey, and D A Gray, *PMHT for Multiple Platform Path Exploration Planning*, Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Intelligent Sensors, Sensor Networks and Information Processing (Melbourne, Australia), December 2009.
3. B Cheung, S J Davey, and D A Gray, *PMHT for Tracking with Timing Uncertainty*, Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Information Fusion (Edinburgh, UK), July 2010.
4. B Cheung, S J Davey, and D A Gray, *Comparison of the PMHT Path Planning Algorithm with the Genetic Algorithm for Multiple Platforms*, Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Information Fusion (Edinburgh, UK), July 2010.
5. B Cheung, S J Davey, and D A Gray, *PMHT Particle Filter for Indoor Multiple Platform Path Exploration Planning*, Proceedings of the 7th Defence Applications of Signal Processing (Coolumb, Australia), July 2011.
6. B Cheung, S J Davey, and D A Gray, *PMHT Path Planning in a non-Homogeneous Environment*, Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Intelligent Sensors, Sensor Networks and Information Processing, December 2011.
7. B Cheung, S J Davey, and D A Gray, *PMHT for Multiple Platform Path Planning*, Journal paper, DSTO peer reviewed and to be submitted to IEEE Robotics, 2011.

8. B Cheung, S J Davey, and D A Gray, *PMHT with Time Uncertainty*, Journal paper, DSTO peer reviewed and to be submitted to IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 2011.