

**THE EFFECT OF PALLIDOTOMY ON  
MOVEMENT IN SEVERE PARKINSON'S  
DISEASE**

*A thesis submitted for the degree of*

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

*in*

The Department of Medicine

University of Adelaide

Adelaide, South Australia

*by*

Thomas Kimber MB.,BS (Hons.)

July 2008

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	12
<b>DECLARATION</b> .....	14
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	15
<b>LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS</b> .....	16
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	19
<b>AIMS AND GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b> .....	20
<b>CHAPTER 1. Literature Review</b>	
<b>1.1 Parkinson’s Disease</b> .....	21
<i>1.1.1 Background to the disease</i> .....	21
<i>1.1.2 Evolution of the disease and development of motor                 fluctuations</i> .....	22
<i>1.1.3 Pathology of Parkinson’s disease</i> .....	26
<b>1.2 Physiology of volitional limb movement</b> .....	26
<i>1.2.1 Afferent and efferent connections of the globus pallidus:                 the cortico-striato-pallido-thalamocortical motor loop</i> .....	26
1.2.1.1 Corticostriate projections.....	26
1.2.1.2 Striatopallidal projections.....	30
1.2.1.3 Efferent pallidal projections.....	31
1.2.1.4 Thalamocortical projections.....	32
<i>1.2.2 Anatomy of the pallidum and its efferent pathways</i> .....	33

	<b>page</b>
1.2.3 <i>Somatotopic organisation of the sensorimotor pallidum.....</i>	35
1.2.4 <i>Relation between pallidal discharge and movement.....</i>	36
1.2.5 <i>Role of the supplementary motor area in the control of normal movement.....</i>	38
<b>1.3 Physiology of blinking and ocular motor control.....</b>	<b>41</b>
1.3.1 <i>The oculomotor circuit.....</i>	41
1.3.1.1 <i>Anatomy.....</i>	41
1.3.1.2 <i>Neuronal functional specificity within the oculomotor circuit.....</i>	42
1.3.2 <i>Neurophysiology of blinking.....</i>	42
1.3.2.1 <i>Homology between saccadic eye movements and blinking.....</i>	42
1.3.2.2 <i>Central dopaminergic activity and the blink rate.....</i>	43
<b>1.4 Pathophysiology of Parkinson's disease.....</b>	<b>44</b>
1.4.1 <i>Pallidal dysfunction in Parkinson's disease.....</i>	44
1.4.1.1 <i>Pallidal dysfunction in animal models of Parkinson's disease.....</i>	44
1.4.1.2 <i>Pallidal dysfunction in humans with idiopathic Parkinson's disease.....</i>	45
1.4.2 <i>Cerebral cortical dysfunction in Parkinson's disease.....</i>	46
1.4.2.1 <i>Mesial frontal cortical dysfunction in Parkinson's disease.....</i>	46

	<b>page</b>
1.4.2.2 Lateral premotor and parietal circuits in Parkinson's disease.....	48
<b>1.5 Bradykinesia in Parkinson's disease.....</b>	<b>49</b>
1.5.1 Background.....	49
1.5.2 Reduced movement speed in bradykinesia.....	49
1.5.2.1 Simple movements.....	49
1.5.2.2 Complex movements.....	51
1.5.3 Reduced movement amplitude in bradykinesia.....	53
1.5.4 Summary.....	54
<b>1.6 Pathophysiology of Drug-induced Dyskinesias in Parkinson's disease.....</b>	<b>55</b>
1.6.1 Pathological basis of dyskinesias in idiopathic Parkinson's disease.	55
1.6.2 Pathophysiology of dyskinesias: animal models.....	56
<b>1.7 Pallidotomy in the management of Parkinson's disease.....</b>	<b>57</b>
1.7.1 Historical perspective.....	57
1.7.2 Reasons for the resurgence of pallidotomy in the management of Parkinson's disease.....	58
1.7.3 Pallidotomy in the modern era: effects on clinical motor dysfunction.....	59
1.7.3.1 Effects of pallidotomy on "off" state motor function.....	59
1.7.3.1.1 Limb bradykinesia/akinesia.....	59
1.7.3.1.2 Tremor.....	60
1.7.3.1.3 Postural instability/gait dysfunction.....	60

	<b>page</b>
1.7.3.2	Effects of pallidotomy on “on” state motor function..... 61
1.7.3.2.1	<i>Drug-induced dyskinesias</i> ..... 61
1.7.3.2.2	<i>Limb bradykinesia/akinesia</i> ..... 61
1.7.3.2.3	<i>Tremor</i> ..... 62
1.7.3.2.4	<i>Postural instability/gait dysfunction</i> ..... 62
1.7.3.3	Effects of pallidotomy on activities of daily living..... 62
1.7.3.4	Clinical effects of pallidotomy: summary..... 63
1.7.4	<i>Potential mechanisms of improvement in motor function after pallidotomy</i> ..... 64
1.7.5	<i>Measurement of lesion location and size</i> ..... 66
1.7.5.1	Potential effects of lesion location on the results of pallidotomy..... 66
1.7.5.2	Methods of measuring lesion location and size..... 69
1.7.5.2.1	Pre-operative and intra-operative targeting..... 69
1.7.5.2.2	Post-operative measurement of lesion location and size..... 70

## **Chapter 2. Methods**

<b>2.1 Subjects</b> .....	77
2.1.1 <i>PD patients</i> .....	77
2.1.2 <i>Control subjects</i> .....	77
<b>2.2 Clinical assessments</b>	
2.2.1 <i>Definition of “off” and “on” states</i> .....	77
2.2.2 <i>Clinical rating scales</i> .....	78

	<b>page</b>
<b>2.3 Timed motor tasks</b> .....	79
<b>2.4 Kinematic assessment of voluntary upper limb movement</b> .....	79
<i>2.4.1 Rapid finger movements</i> .....	80
<i>2.4.2 Complex upper limb movement (“pick up” task)</i> .....	81
<b>2.5 Assessment of blink rate</b> .....	82
<b>2.6 Bereitschaftspotentials</b> .....	82
<b>2.7 Measurement of pallidal lesion size and location</b> .....	84
<b>2.8 Surgical procedure</b> .....	85
<b>2.9 Statistical analyses</b> .....	85
<b>Chapter 3. Clinical results of pallidotomy</b> .....	86
<b>3.1 Aims</b> .....	86
<b>3.2 Patients</b> .....	86
<b>3.3 Methods</b> .....	87
<i>3.3.1 Time of assessments</i> .....	87
<i>3.3.2 Methods of assessment</i> .....	87
<i>3.3.3 Statistical analysis</i> .....	88
<b>3.4 Results</b> .....	88
<i>3.4.1 Dyskinesias/other motor fluctuations</i> .....	88
<i>3.4.2 Akinesia/bradykinesia</i> .....	89
<i>3.4.3 Activities of daily living</i> .....	91
<i>3.4.4 Other clinical outcomes</i> .....	91
<i>3.4.5 Adverse events</i> .....	92







	<b>page</b>
6.2.1.4 Statistical analysis.....	135
6.2.2 <i>Experiment 2. Effect of pallidotomy on the “off” state blink rate in</i>	
<i>PD patients</i> .....	136
6.2.2.1 Subjects.....	136
6.2.2.2 Assessment of blink rate.....	136
6.2.2.3 Clinical assessment.....	136
6.2.2.4 Statistical analysis.....	136
<b>6.3 Results</b> .....	<b>137</b>
6.3.1 <i>Experiment 1. Comparison of blink rates in PD patients and control subjects,</i>	
<i>and response of the blink rate to levodopa in PD</i>	
<i>patients</i> .....	137
6.3.1.1 Baseline blink rates.....	137
6.3.1.2 Effect of levodopa on blink rate in the PD patients.....	137
6.3.2 <i>Experiment 2. Effect of pallidotomy on the “off” state blink rate</i>	
<i>in PD patients</i> .....	138
6.3.2.1 Blink rate.....	138
6.3.2.2 Clinical assessment.....	138
<b>6.4 Discussion</b> .....	<b>144</b>
6.4.1 <i>Experiment 1. Blink rates in patients with advanced PD and their response to</i>	
<i>levodopa</i> .....	144
6.4.2 <i>Experiment 2. The effect of pallidotomy on the blink rate in</i>	
<i>advanced PD</i> .....	145



	<b>page</b>
<b>8.5 Conclusions</b> .....	182
<b>Chapter 9. Concluding remarks</b> .....	184
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....	195
<b>REPRINTS OF PUBLISHED PAPERS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS THESIS</b> .....	228

## ABSTRACT

The effects of ablative and non-ablative pallidal surgery in Parkinson's disease (PD) have been the subject of interest for many years. The techniques used have undergone dramatic change over this time. This study examines the effects of pallidal lesions on the clinical signs and the physiology of motor control in PD.

A method for the kinematic analysis of rapid repetitive finger tapping (an "internally generated" movement) and a two stage sequential arm movement made in response to an auditory stimulus (an "externally generated" movement) was devised and validated in control subjects and in patients with PD. Consecutive patients undergoing pallidotomy for severe PD were studied pre-operatively and at 2-4 weeks, 3 months and 6 months post-operatively. In addition to kinematic assessments, clinical assessments of movement were performed using standardised rating scales. The spontaneous blink rate was measured pre- and post-operatively. In some patients, Bereitschaftspotentials (pre-movement cortical potentials) were recorded pre- and post-operatively, as patients performed a self-paced voluntary arm movement. The location of the pallidotomy lesions was established from post-operative CT head scans.

The most dramatic clinical effect of pallidotomy was on drug-induced dyskinesias. Significant clinical improvements were also seen in limb bradykinesia, particularly on the side contralateral to the lesion. Kinematic analysis showed no improvement in rapid finger tapping after pallidotomy. Nor was there any improvement in the spontaneous blink rate or in the early component of the Bereitschaftspotential. However, both the speed and inter-onset latency of the two stage sequential arm movement improved post-operatively.

It is concluded that the improvement in bradykinesia after pallidotomy is not due to an improvement in function of pallido-thalamo-mesial frontal circuits, which are particularly involved in the performance of internally generated movements. Rather, the abolition of pallidal activity by pallidotomy may allow more laterally placed motor circuits greater use of sensory cues to facilitate movement.

Lesions almost always involved the posteroventral portion of the medial segment of the internal pallidum, the conventional site for pallidotomy in PD. However, many lesions also involved the ansa lenticularis, the lateral segment of the internal pallidum and the external pallidum. The potential relevance of these observations on an understanding of the pathogenesis of bradykinesia and dyskinesia in PD is discussed.

## **DECLARATION**

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holders of those works.

Thomas E Kimber

July 2008

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I sincerely thank my supervisor, Professor Philip Thompson, for his invaluable support in the performance and analysis of this work. Without his inspiration and academic guidance, this work would not have been possible. Philip first inspired my interest in clinical research and he continues to inspire me as a clinician.

I also thank Professor Brian Brophy, who was the neurosurgeon for all of the patients described in this thesis. He was unfailingly supportive of this work, allowing me access to his patients before, during and after their surgery.

I would also like to acknowledge the patients who participated in this work. I pay tribute to their courage in the face of a debilitating illness. I would also like to acknowledge the kindness of those who acted as control subjects, who also gave generously of their time.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife, Katrina Bochner, who has been unfailingly supportive throughout the long gestation of this thesis.

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

	<b>page</b>
<b>Fig 1.1</b> Evolution of drug-induced dyskinesias in Parkinson's disease.....	25
<b>Fig 1.2</b> Basal ganglia connections subserving motor control in the healthy state.....	28
<b>Fig 1.3</b> Basal ganglia connections subserving motor control in idiopathic Parkinson's disease.....	29
<b>Fig 1.4</b> Diagrammatic representation of the origin and course of pallidal efferent fibres....	34
<b>Fig 3.1</b> Mean UPDRS dyskinesia scores before and after pallidotomy.....	92
<b>Fig 3.2</b> Mean UPDRS scores for motor examination, limb bradykinesia and axial mobility before and after pallidotomy.....	93
<b>Fig 3.3</b> Mean Purdue pegboard scores in the "off" and "on" motor states before and after pallidotomy.....	94
<b>Fig 3.4</b> Mean UPDRS and Schwab and England activities of Daily Living scores before and after pallidotomy.....	95
<b>Fig 4.1</b> Position traces of the index finger during a tapping movement performed by a control subject, a patient with Parkinson's disease in the "off" motor state and by the same patient in the "on" motor state.....	104
<b>Fig 4.2</b> Mean amplitude of repetitive tapping movements of the index finger in normal subjects and in patients with PD of varying degrees of severity.....	105
<b>Fig 4.3</b> Position traces of movement at the second metacarpophalangeal and elbow joints during the "pick up" task in a normal subject and a PD subject in both the "off" and "on" states.....	106



	<b>page</b>
<b>Fig 4.4</b> Reaction time, inter-onset latency and peak elbow speed during the “pick up” task in control subjects and PD patients.....	107
<b>Fig 4.5</b> Reaction time, inter-onset latency and peak elbow speed during the “pick up” task in PD patients before and after levodopa administration.....	110
<b>Fig 5.1</b> Position traces of the index finger during a tapping movement in a normal subject, a patient with PD in the “off” state pre-operatively, and in the same patient in the “off” state post-operatively.....	123
<b>Fig 5.2</b> Mean amplitude of repetitive tapping movements of the index finger ipsilateral and contralateral to pallidotomy. Results are shown pre-operatively and at various intervals post-operatively. ....	124
<b>Fig 5.3</b> Mean tapping intervals during repetitive tapping movements of the index finger ipsilateral and contralateral to pallidotomy. Results are shown pre-operatively and at various intervals post-operatively. ....	125
<b>Fig 5.4</b> Position traces of movement at the second metacarpophalangeal and elbow joints during the “pick up” task in a normal subject, a PD patient in the “off” motor state pre-operatively, and in the same patient in the “off” state post-operatively.....	126
<b>Fig 5.5</b> Mean auditory reaction time, inter-onset latency and peak elbow speed during the “pick up” task, for the arm ipsilateral and contralateral to pallidotomy. Results are shown pre-operatively and at various intervals post-operatively. ....	127
<b>Fig 6.1</b> Baseline blink rates in PD patients with low and high “off” state blink rates, and in control subjects.....	139
<b>Fig 6.2</b> Blink rates before and after levodopa in patients with low and high baseline blink rates.....	140
<b>Fig 6.3</b> Spontaneous blink rates in PD patients before pallidotomy, in the “off” and “on” states.....	141

	<b>page</b>
<b>Fig 6.4</b> Spontaneous blink rates in PD patients in the “off” state, before and after pallidotomy.....	142
<b>Fig 6.5</b> Mean UPDRS motor examination, bradykinesia, dyskinesia and Purdue pegboard scores in PD patients pre- and post-operatively.....	143
<b>Fig 7.1</b> Bereitschaftspotentials of 5 patients before and after pallidotomy and the grand average of these Bereitschaftspotentials.....	153-154
<b>Fig 8.1a-c</b> Pallidotomy lesions, calculated from day 1 post-operative CT scans, superimposed on sagittal sections taken from the Schaltenbrand and Wahren atlas.....	162-164
<b>Fig 8.2a-d</b> Pallidotomy lesions, calculated from day 1 post-operative CT scans, superimposed on coronal sections taken from the Schaltenbrand and Wahren atlas.....	165-168
<b>Fig 8.3</b> Motor function of the arm contralateral to pallidotomy pre- and post-operatively (elbow speed and inter-onset latency during the “pick up” task and UPDRS bradykinesia and dyskinesia scores).....	172

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1.1</b>	Changes in UPDRS motor score, bradykinesia and gait in published series (1992-2000) of the clinical effects of pallidotomy.....	75
<b>Table 3.1</b>	Characteristics of patients at the time of surgery.....	86
<b>Table 4.1</b>	Characteristics of the PD patients in experiment 1.....	100
<b>Table 4.2</b>	Characteristics of the PD patients in experiment 2.....	100
<b>Table 4.3</b>	Results of experiment 1, comparing PD patients in the “off” motor state with normal subjects in performance of the rapid finger tapping and “pick up” tasks.....	103
<b>Table 4.4</b>	Results of experiment 2, comparing PD patients before and approximately one after levodopa administration.....	109
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Characteristics of the patients at the time of surgery.....	117
<b>Table 5.2</b>	Results of clinical rating scales, Purdue pegboard tests and levodopa doses at baseline and at 2-4 weeks, 3 months and 6 months post-operatively.....	120
<b>Table 7.1</b>	Characteristics of the patients at the time of pallidotomy.....	150
<b>Table 7.2</b>	Early slope of the Bereitschaftspotential before and after pallidotomy in 3 scalp electrode locations (Cz, plus over the motor cortices ipsilateral and contralateral to the moving limb).....	152
<b>Table 8.1</b>	Lesions dimensions on CT scans performed 1 day post-operatively.....	161
<b>Table 8.2</b>	Lesion parameters in 3 patients in whom CT scans were performed both 1 day post-operatively and 10 months or more post-operatively.....	170