

**IMPACTS OF AN ALTERED WATER AND SALINITY
REGIME ON THE CONDITION OF WETLANDS IN THE
UPPER SOUTH EAST OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA**

By

Abigail May Goodman

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences and School of Civil, Environmental and Mining
Engineering

A thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

April 2012



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Impacts of an altered water and salinity regime on the condition of wetlands in the Upper South East of South Australia	i
Table of Contents	i
List of Tables	v
List of Figures.....	vi
Abstract.....	viii
Declaration of originality	xi
Publications associated with this thesis.....	xii
Foreward.....	xiv
Acknowledgements	xv
1 Literature Review	1
1.1 Importance of hydrology on the structure of aquatic macrophyte communities	1
1.2 Secondary Salinisation.....	2
1.3 Importance of salinity on the structure of aquatic macrophyte communities	4
1.3.1 <i>Physiological and Growth Response</i>	5
1.3.2 <i>Morphological and community salinity effects</i>	7
1.3.3 <i>Seed bank response</i>	8
2 Introduction.....	11
2.1 Study Area – Upper South East of South Australia.....	11
2.2 Salinity in the USE.....	12
2.3 Upper South East Dryland Salinity & Flood Management Program (USED&FMP)	14
2.4 Restoring Environmental Flows to the Upper South East Wetlands (REFLOWS)	14
2.5 The Drainage System.....	15
2.6 Wetland Management	16
2.7 Aims.....	17
2.7.1 <i>Aim 1: Evidence of recent salinity change on the flora of South East wetlands</i>	17
2.7.2 <i>Aim 2: Predicting probability of occurrence of wetland plants</i>	18
2.7.3 <i>Aim 3: Effects of evapoconcentration</i>	18

2.7.4	<i>Aim 4: Effects of a pulsed salinity regime</i>	19
2.7.5	<i>Aim 5: Effects of inundation and salinity on the germination from the seed bank</i>	19
3	Evidence of salt accumulation in wetlands and change in species composition	20
3.1	Introduction	20
3.2	Materials and Methods	22
3.2.1	<i>Species Composition</i>	22
3.2.2	<i>Development of Conceptual Model</i>	23
3.2.3	<i>Evidence to support the Conceptual Model - Discharge at gauging stations</i>	23
3.2.4	<i>Evidence to support the Conceptual Model - Surface and groundwater salinities</i>	24
3.3	Results	24
3.3.1	<i>Comparing Species Composition</i>	24
3.3.2	<i>The Conceptual Model</i>	30
3.3.3	<i>Evidence to support the Conceptual Model - Comparing hydrology pre- and post-2000</i>	34
3.3.4	<i>Evidence to support the Conceptual Model - Comparing surface water and groundwater salinities</i>	35
3.4	Discussion	39
3.4.1	<i>Change in species composition</i>	39
3.4.2	<i>Changes in hydrology and comparison of surface water and groundwater salinities</i>	40
4	Predicting probability of occurrence of wetland plants under elevated salinity regimes	42
4.1	Introduction	42
4.2	Materials and Methods	44
4.2.1	<i>Wetland Surveys</i>	44
4.2.2	<i>Probability of Occurrence Curves</i>	44
4.3	Results	45
4.4	Discussion	50
5	Investigating the effects of seasonal evapo-concentration on three aquatic macrophytes	55

5.1	Introduction.....	55
5.2	Materials and Methods.....	56
5.2.1	<i>Species and soil description and collection</i>	56
5.2.2	<i>Experimental design</i>	57
5.2.3	<i>Statistical analysis</i>	59
5.3	Results.....	59
5.3.1	<i>Survival</i>	59
5.3.2	<i>Baumea arthrophylla</i>	64
5.3.3	<i>Bolboschoenus medianus</i>	64
5.3.4	<i>Soil Salinity</i>	65
5.4	Discussion	68
5.4.1	<i>Depth-Salinity Regime</i>	68
5.4.2	<i>Salinity Treatment</i>	69
5.4.3	<i>Wetland Soil and Salinity Interaction</i>	70
5.4.4	<i>Soil Salinity</i>	70
6	The response of freshwater plants to salinity pulses.....	72
6.1	Introduction.....	72
6.2	Materials and Methods.....	74
6.2.1	<i>Species description and collection</i>	74
6.2.2	<i>Experimental design</i>	74
6.2.3	<i>Growth analysis during exposure and recovery phase</i>	76
6.2.4	<i>Statistical analysis</i>	77
6.3	Results.....	78
6.3.1	<i>Triglochin procerum</i>	78
6.3.2	<i>Myriophyllum simulans</i>	80
6.3.3	<i>Cotula coronopifolia</i>	81
6.3.4	<i>Baumea arthrophylla</i>	83
6.3.5	<i>Survival and biomass allocation</i>	83
6.4	Discussion	85
6.4.1	<i>Survival</i>	85
6.4.2	<i>Above Ground Biomass</i>	85
6.4.3	<i>Below Ground Biomass</i>	85
6.4.4	<i>Total Biomass</i>	86
6.4.5	<i>Relative Growth Rate</i>	87

6.4.6	<i>Management implications</i>	88
7	The effect of inundation and salinity on the germination of seed banks from wetlands in South Australia	90
7.1	Introduction.....	90
7.2	Materials and Methods.....	91
7.2.1	<i>Site description and soil collection</i>	91
7.2.2	<i>Experimental design</i>	92
7.2.3	<i>Statistical analysis</i>	93
7.3	Results.....	93
7.3.1	<i>Seedling Emergence</i>	93
7.3.2	<i>Two-way PERMANOVA</i>	94
7.3.3	<i>Ordination</i>	95
7.4	Discussion.....	96
8	General Discussion and Conclusions	99
8.1	Knowledge Gaps.....	101
8.2	Conclusions.....	103
	Appendix I. Copy of publication of work from Chapter 6	105
	Appendix II. Copy of publication of work from Chapter 7	114
	References	119

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1: Summary of survey data for pre-2000 and post-2000 comparison.	23
Table 3-2: Species recorded in pre- and post-2000 surveys	28
Table 3-3: Conductivity readings of the surface water of wetlands and unconfined aquifer from neighbouring Obswell bores recorded in the 09/10 season.	38
Table 4-1: Summary of wetland salinity and diversity where “Total No. Species” is the total number of species recorded across all quadrats in each wetland over both survey periods.	46
Table 5-1: Analysis of soil from Big Telowie and Snuggery wetlands.....	57
Table 5-2: <i>F</i> and <i>p</i> values for the three-way ANOVA (salinity regime×soil type×lifestage) for <i>Triglochin procerum</i> and the two-way ANOVA (salinity regime×soil type) for the dry weights and measures <i>Baumea arthropphylla</i> and <i>Bolboshoenus medianus</i>	63
Table 5-3: Results of one-way ANOVA comparing 0-5cm sample to the 5-10cm sample for each salinity treatment	68
Table 7-1: Summary of site characteristics for Rocky Swamp, Hanson Scrub and Bunbury CP.....	92

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Map of the South East region showing: the USEDS&FMP deep drains (burgundy), the West Avenue complex (red); the Marcollat complex (light blue); the Bakers Range complex (green); and the wetlands sampled in the November 2007, November 2009 and January 2010.....	13
Figure 3-1: NMS Ordination showing the positions of four wetland watercourses/complexes in the South East: Bakers Range watercourse; Bool and Hacks lagoons; Marcollat watercourse; and West Avenue watercourse, in relation to their floristic compositions pre-2000 (open triangles) and post 2000 (closed triangles).	27
Figure 3-2: Conceptual diagrams illustrating the interaction between the water in the unconfined aquifer and surface water when a wetland is: a) filling; b) drying; and c) completely dry.....	33
Figure 3-3: Discharge recorded at gauging stations at: a) Rowney Rd on the Marcollat Water Course, b) Mosquito Creek and c) Callendale Regulator, upstream from the Bakers Range water course	36
Figure 3-4: Map of the South East region displaying the conductivity ($\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$) of the groundwater (small circles) recorded between August and October 2009 and wetlands (large circles) recorded in November 2009.	37
Figure 3-5: Correlation of difference in salinity between surface water in wetlands and the surrounding groundwater and distance north (yellow circles) and average annual rainfall and distance north (blue circles) with the dashed lines indicating the 95% confidence intervals.	39
Figure 4-1: Number of species recorded in a single quadrat for wetlands of different conductivities in (a) November and December 2010; and (b) January 2011. .	47
Figure 4-2: 2-D NMS ordination (stress equals 16.5) comparing the plant community of nine wetlands surveyed in November/December 2010 (black triangles) and January 2011 (grey triangles) with the conductivity vector displayed in red. .	48
Figure 4-3: Curves predicting the probability of occurrence vs log conductivity for macrophyte species where dashed lines are the 95% confidence intervals and the different colour dots represent different wetlands and the modelled occurrence of the species at those wetlands.....	49
Figure 5-1: Salinity and water depth profile over the course of the experiment where black, dark grey, light grey and white represent 1500, 6250, 12500 and 18750 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ respectively and the squares and continuous line represent Big Telowie treatments (BT) and the triangles and broken line represent Snuggery treatments (S).	60
Figure 5-3: Final (a) dry weights of morphological features, (b) number of leaves and (c) total length of leaves for <i>Baumea arthropphylla</i> exposed to starting salinities of 1500 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (black); 6250 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (dark grey); 12500 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (light grey); and 18750 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (white) in soil from two wetlands; Big Telowie (BT) and Snuggery (S) (Table 5-2).	66
Figure 5-4: Final (a) dry weights of morphological features, (b) number of leaves and (c) total length of leaves for <i>Bolboschoenus medianus</i> exposed to starting salinities of 1500 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (black); 6250 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (dark grey); 12500 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (light grey); and 18750 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (white) in soil from two wetlands; Big Telowie (BT) and Snuggery (S) (Table 5-2).....	67

Figure 6-1: Total dry mass (g dry mass) to leaf length (cm) relationship for (a) *T. procerum* and total dry mass (g dry mass) to stem length (cm) relationship for (b) *M. simulans*, (c) *C. coronopifolia* and (d) *B. arthropphylla*. 77

Figure 6-2: (a) RGR ($\text{g g}^{-1} \text{dry mass d}^{-1}$), (b) Total (g dry mass), (c) Leaves (g dry mass), (d) Below ground biomass (g dry mass), (e) Tubers (g dry mass) and (f) Roots (g dry mass), for *T. procerum* exposed to conductivities of $<1500 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (black bars), $6250 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (dark grey) and $12500 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (light grey) where 3 ex and 6 ex represent the three week and six week exposure periods and 3 ex re and 6 ex re represent the exposure periods plus a four week recovery period. SE bars are shown and where letters are present, they refer to significant differences within a time period, due to salinity effects, as determined by a one-way ANOVA..... 79

Figure 6-3: (a) Dry weight RGR ($\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$), (b) total dry weight (g), (c) dry weight of stems (g), and (d) dry weight of roots (g), for *M. simulans* exposed to conductivities of $<1500 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (black bars), $6250 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (dark grey) and $12500 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (light grey) where 3 ex and 6 ex represent the three week and six week exposure periods and 3 ex re and 6 ex re represent the exposure periods plus a four week recovery period. SE bars are shown and where letters are present, they refer to significant differences within a time period, due to salinity effects, as determined by a one-way ANOVA..... 81

Figure 6-4: (a) Dry weight RGR ($\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$), (b) total dry weight (g), (c) dry weight of stems (g), (d) dry weight of roots (g) and (e) dry weight of leaves (g), for *C. coronopifolia* exposed to conductivities of $<1500 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (black bars), $6250 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (dark grey) and $12500 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (light grey) where 3 ex and 6 ex represent the three week and six week exposure periods and 3 ex re and 6 ex re represent the exposure periods plus a four week recovery period. SE bars are shown and where letters are present, they refer to significant differences within a time period, due to salinity effects, as determined by a one-way ANOVA. 82

Figure 6-5: (a) Dry weight RGR ($\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$), (b) total dry weight (g), (c) dry weight of stems (g), (d) dry weight of roots (g) and (e) dry weight of leaves (g), for *B. arthropphylla* exposed to conductivities of $<1500 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (black bars), $6250 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (dark grey) and $12500 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ (light grey) where 3 ex and 6 ex represent the three week and six week exposure periods and 3 ex re and 6 ex re represent the exposure periods plus a four week recovery period. SE bars are shown and where letters are present, they refer to significant differences within a time period, due to salinity effects, as determined by a one-way ANOVA. 84

Figure 7-1: (a) Mean number of seedlings m^{-2} and (b) the number of species; that emerged from the three different wetland sediments under drained or flooded water regimes in each of the different salinity treatments ($\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$). Bars represent standard deviations..... 94

Figure 7-2: 2-D Ordination (stress =9.48) of samples (labelled triangles) in relation to the associated species (dots) with the vectors representing water regime ($r^2=0.5$) and salinity ($r^2=0.1$) displayed. 95

ABSTRACT

The Upper South East (USE) region of South Australia covers over 1M ha and is the largest area affected by dryland salinity in South Australia. In 1999, it was estimated that 40% of the region was affected by salinity. To mitigate the threat of flooding and secondary salinisation, an extensive network of drains has recently been constructed. Whilst these drains may have a positive effect on the agricultural land, the impacts they will have on the hundreds of wetlands in the region is as yet, unknown. It is likely that the hydrologic regimes the wetlands are exposed to will be highly modified and the quality of the water that supplies them will be greatly affected by high salinity levels.

This work examined the impact of these landscape scale changes on wetlands in the South East region of South Australia and investigated ways in which water from the drainage system might be used for ecological benefit in wetlands. The aims were to:

- determine whether there have been changes in species composition that can be linked to changes in the salinity and hydrology regimes experienced in the wetlands and to gain a better understanding of the processes and mechanisms that drive the change in species composition and cause salt to accumulate in wetlands via the development of a conceptual model;
- produce curves predicting the probability of occurrence in relation to salinity for species common in wetlands in the South East of South Australia;
- investigate the effects of an increase in salinity with decreasing water depth as a result of evapoconcentration on the growth and survival of three common freshwater macrophytes, and to determine the consequences of longterm exposure to elevated salinity conditions;
- assess the impact of a pulsed discharge of saline drainage water of varying concentrations and durations on key wetland species in an effort to determine how to make best use of the scarce water resources in the region and; and
- assess the combined effects of salinity and hydrology on the seed banks of wetlands that have experienced drought and elevated salinity conditions.

The results of vegetation surveys conducted pre-2000 and post-2000, indicate an overall change in species composition; species requiring fresh conditions are rarer or not recorded and are replaced by species preferring more saline conditions. This change is accompanied by a shift from fresher to saltier conditions and from wetter to drier conditions. Data from groundwater observation bores coupled with flow volumes in the local watercourses supports the process of salt accumulation in wetlands described in the conceptual model.

The curves predicting the probability of occurrence in relation to salinity display a wide range in tolerances across the 15 species for which they were constructed, and highlight the variance due to between wetland differences. These curves, used in combination with knowledge gained from other studies will enable salinity thresholds to be set for many of the common species found in the South East region. Employing these thresholds to drain operation will allow wetlands to be managed in a way that will promote the occurrence of target species.

The study on evapoconcentration effects showed that the percentage of biomass allocated to below ground structures was > 95 , > 90 , > 75 and $> 80\%$ for adult and juvenile *T. procerum*, and for *B. arthrophylla* and *B. medianus* respectively, across all salinity treatments suggesting that long term exposure to elevated salinity conditions results in a large investment in below ground biomass by all species. This study also indicated that the initial lifestage at time of exposure to the salinity regimes had a significant effect on the final dry weights of the *T. procerum* plants. The differences in the dry weights and leaf length and number were greatest between adults and juveniles in the lower salinity treatments (1500 and $6250 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$), with the adults having much larger weights and measures. At higher salinities (12500 and $18750 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$), there were no differences. Salinities refer to the salinity of the surface water, not soil salinity.

For the plants tested in the pulse salinity regime experiment, the immediate effect of high salinity environments on non-halophytic plants was not detectable after three to six weeks of exposure, but the short term impact of the pulse did affect the ability of submerged plants to recover.

The seed bank trial showed that the previous drought and salinity conditions experienced by a wetland did affect the seed bank however the water and salinity

regime imposed mitigated these impacts. The study provides evidence that extended periods of drought conditions may lead to a seed bank which has a reduced abundance of seeds and repeated exposure to high salinity changes the species composition of the seed bank and reduces the overall diversity.

Our knowledge of wetland plants, habitats, individual wetlands and their pattern in the landscape enables interpretation of how wetland plants have changed and will continue to change in the landscape. The challenge is to use, and build on this knowledge to predict what future wetland landscapes might look like under different management or development scenarios in the USE and to decide what is sustainable.

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Abigail May Goodman and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for period of time.

Abigail May Goodman

April 2012

PUBLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS THESIS

CHAPTER 6

Pg 68

The response of freshwater plants to salinity pulses

Abigail M. Goodman^a, George G. Ganf^a, Graeme C. Dandy^b, Holger R. Maier^b and Matthew S. Gibbs^b

^aSchool of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

^bSchool of Civil Environmental & Mining Engineering, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

Aquatic Botany (2010), 93: 59–67

Goodman, A.M. (Candidate)

Performed analysis on all samples, interpreted data, wrote manuscript and acted as corresponding author.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate

Signed*Date*.....

Ganf, G.G.

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed*Date*.....

Dandy, G.C

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed*Date*.....

Maier, H.R

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed*Date*.....

Gibbs, M.S

Supervised development of work and helped in manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed*Date*.....

**The effect of inundation and salinity on the germination of seed
banks from wetlands in South Australia**

Abigail M. Goodman^a, George G. Ganf^a, Holger R. Maier^b and Graeme C. Dandy^b

^aSchool of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

^bSchool of Civil Environmental & Mining Engineering, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

Aquatic Botany (2011) 94: 102–106

Goodman, A.M. (Candidate)

Performed analysis on all samples, interpreted data, wrote manuscript and acted as corresponding author.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate

Signed *Date*.....

Ganf, G.G.

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed *Date*.....

Maier, H.R

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed *Date*.....

Dandy, G.C

Supervised development of work, helped in data interpretation and manuscript evaluation.

I hereby certify that the statement of contribution is accurate and I give permission for the inclusion of the paper in the thesis

Signed *Date*.....

FOREWARD

This thesis has been prepared as a series of chapters in a format that will be suitable for future publication in scientific journals. To maintain the sense of individual chapters, this has inevitably led to some repetition between chapters.

Chapter 6: The response of freshwater plants to salinity pulses and *Chapter 7: The effect of inundation and salinity on the germination of seed banks from wetlands in South Australia*, have been published in the international journal of Aquatic Botany. In the interest of continuity of the thesis, these chapters have been included as part of the word document. In the publications, salinity was reported in mg L^{-1} but these have been converted to $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ for inclusion in the main body of the thesis. Copies of these publications have been added as Appendices I and II respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was conducted as part of an Australian Research Council Linkage project titled “Innovative Approach to the Optimal Management of Water Resources and Application to the Upper South East Region of South Australia”, in partnership with the Department for Water (formerly the Department for Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation). I would like to thank my supervisors Professor Holger Maier and Professor Graeme Dandy for their advice and guidance throughout my PhD and for the pun fun we had during my meetings. Dr Matt Gibbs provided lots of practical advice which I greatly appreciate. To my primary supervisor Assoc. Professor George Ganf, thank you for teaching me to think like a scientist and for always having the time and patience to sit down and talk things through.

I have had wonderful support from many people which has made my research achievable and also allowed me to pursue other opportunities. Thank you to Caragh Heenan, Claire Adams, Dae Heui Kim and Alex Bowman who helped make pond experiments fun. I was very fortunate to be able to work with interested and accommodating landholders who generously let me conduct field work on their properties and I would like to thank them for always making access to their wetlands easy. The fieldwork was a highlight of my research work and sharing time in the field with Erin Sautter was fantastic. Helen Brown, Julie Francis and Colin Rivers gave me their time and expertise which made lab work so much quicker. Dr Steve Delean generously gave of his time and knowledge to provide essential statistical advice which I greatly appreciate. Mark de Jong from the SEWCDB and Claire Harding from DfW provided invaluable insight, contacts and information.

I have really enjoyed being a postgrad and this is largely due to the wonderful people who shared this time with me, particularly Melanie Lancaster, Liz McTaggart, Angela Dunsford, Colin Bailey and Nicole Arbon. Thank you to my supportive family who always accepted “OK” as a response to their enquires about my PhD, helped celebrate the little milestones and spent a Christmas in the ponds. Finally, I would like to thank my fiancée Brad for providing constant understanding, encouragement and perspective and for sacrificing numerous weekends to help set up and monitor pond experiments or to negotiate high voltage electric fences in order to reach dry, thistle infested, fly struck wetlands in 36° heat whilst maintaining his sense of humour.