



THE PHYSIOLOGY OF SKIN AND WOOL FOLLICLES OF

FINEWOOL AND STRONGWOOL MERINOS.

by

Janelle Elizabeth Hocking Edwards, B. Ag. Sc. (Hons.)

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

The University of Adelaide.

Faculty of Agricultural and Natural Resources

Department of Animal Sciences,

Waite Agricultural Research Institute

March, 1993.

TITLE PAGE	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	vii
DECLARATION	ix
PUBLICATIONS	x
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xi
ABBREVIATIONS	xii
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Sheep used for the study of wool growth.....	2
1.2. Physiological factors affecting wool production per unit area of skin	6
1.2.1. Internal and external environment	6
1.2.2. Nutrition	7
1.2.3. Metabolism	10
1.2.4. Endocrine status	13
1.2.5. Blood flow	15
1.2.6. Summary	17
1.3. The skin, its follicles and fibre	17
1.3.1. Follicle development.....	19
1.3.2. Follicle function and wool growth.....	20
1.3.3. The fibre	21
1.3.4. Nutrition of the follicle	23
1.4. Skin characteristics associated with wool production.....	24
1.4.1. Fibre and follicle number	24
1.4.2. Follicle distribution and morphology.....	25
1.4.3. Selection for skin characteristics	25
1.5. Influence of the follicle on wool production	28
1.5.1. Follicle morphology	29
1.5.2. Follicle kinetics.....	31
1.5.3. Distribution of bulb and fibre cells.....	34
1.5.4. Genetic studies	37
1.5.5. Summary	38
1.6. Conclusion.....	38

CHAPTER 2. FOLLICLE AND FIBRE CHARACTERISTICS OF STRONGWOOL AND FINEWool
MERINOS

2.1. Introduction.....	41
2.2. Experimental Procedure	43
2.2.1. Experimental design.....	43
2.2.2. Sheep, nutrition and liveweight.....	44
2.2.3. Wool growth.....	44
2.2.4. Histology	47
2.2.4.1. <i>Follicle density</i>	47
2.2.4.2. <i>Volume of germinative tissue</i>	47
2.2.4.3. <i>Number and volume of bulb cells</i>	48
2.2.4.4. <i>Cell kinetics</i>	48
2.2.5. Cortical cells	50
2.2.6. Experimental design.....	51
2.2.7. Statistical analysis	52
2.3. Results.....	53
2.3.1. Wool growth, liveweight and feed intake	53
2.3.2. Skin and follicle characteristics	55
2.3.3. Cell proliferation in the follicle bulb	58
2.3.3.1. <i>Colchicine versus Bromodeoxyuridine</i>	58
2.3.3.2. <i>Cell kinetics</i>	61
2.3.4. Cortical cell production.....	62
2.3.5. Determinants of fibre production per follicle.....	64
2.3.6. Determinants of wool production per unit area of skin	65
2.3.7. Wool growth, liveweight and feed intake	66
2.3.8. Skin and follicle characteristics	67
2.3.9. Determinants of wool production	70
2.4. Discussion.....	70
2.4.1. Individual fibre production.....	71
2.4.2. Determinants of wool production per unit area of skin	76
2.4.3. Conclusions.....	77

CHAPTER 3. SKIN BLOOD FLOW OF FINEWOOL AND STRONGWOOL MERINOS

3.1. Introduction	79
3.2. Experimental Procedure	81
3.2.1. Design.....	81
3.2.1.1. <i>Experiment 3.1</i>	82
3.2.1.2. <i>Experiment 3.2</i>	82
3.2.1.3. <i>Experiment 3.3</i>	83
3.2.2. Materials and Methods	84
3.2.2.1. <i>Laser Doppler technique</i>	84
3.2.2.2. <i>General aseptic surgery procedure</i>	85
3.2.2.3. <i>Insertion of catheters</i>	85
3.2.2.4. <i>Microsphere administration</i>	85
3.2.3. Statistical analysis	86
3.3. Results	87
3.3.1. General	87
3.3.2. Blood flow variation within individual sheep (Experiments 3.1 & 3.2).....	88
3.3.3. Validation of the laser Doppler velocimeter method (Experiment 3.3).....	90
3.3.4. Blood flow in different strains of Merinos.....	91
3.3.5. Wool growth, follicle density and blood flow.....	92
3.4. Discussion	94
3.4.1. Conclusion.....	98

CHAPTER 4. CUTANEOUS MICROVASCULATURE OF FINEWOOL AND STRONGWOOL MERINOS

4.1. Introduction	99
4.2. Experimental procedure	100
4.2.1. Experimental design (Experiment 4)	100
4.2.2. Isolated cutaneous patch surgery	101
4.2.3. Microfil® technique.....	101
4.2.4. Statistical analysis	102

4.3. Results	102
4.3.1. Blood vessel distribution.....	102
4.3.2. Area of vascular tissue per unit area of skin.....	106
4.3.3. Wool growth correlates.....	109
4.4. Discussion	111

CHAPTER 5. *IN VITRO* UPTAKE OF RADIOLABELLED NUTRIENTS BY THE SKIN AND FOLLICLES OF FINEWOOL AND STRONGWOOL MERINOS

5.1. Introduction	115
5.2. Materials and experimental procedures	117
5.2.1. Materials.....	117
5.2.2. Design.....	118
5.2.2.1. <i>Trial 5a - Media trial</i>	119
5.2.2.2. <i>Trial 5b - Linearity trial</i>	119
5.2.2.3. <i>Trial 5c - Site of uptake</i>	119
5.2.3. Experiment 5. Differences in nutrient uptake rates between finewool and strongwool Merinos.....	120
5.2.4. Statistical analysis.....	121
5.3. Results	121
5.3.1. Trial 5a - Media trial.....	122
5.3.2. Trial 5b - Linearity trial.....	122
5.3.3. Trial 5c - Site of uptake trial.....	123
5.3.4. The uptake of glucose and cystine by skin and follicles from finewool and strongwool Merinos (Experiment 5).....	126
5.4. Discussion	128
5.4.1. Glucose uptake.....	128
5.4.2. Cystine uptake.....	130
5.4.3. The <i>in vitro</i> technique.....	131
5.4.4. Conclusions.....	132

CHAPTER 6. PRELIMINARY *IN VIVO* STUDY OF ^{35}S -CYSTINE AND ^3H -GLUCOSEINCORPORATION BY THE SKIN AND FOLLICLES FROM THE PLASMA OF
FINEWOOL AND STRONGWOOL MERINOS

6.1. Introduction	133
6.2. Materials and Methods	135
6.2.1. General	135
6.2.1.1. <i>Materials</i>	135
6.2.1.2. <i>Sheep</i>	136
6.2.1.3. <i>Skin sampling and analysis</i>	136
6.2.1.4. <i>Blood sampling and analysis</i>	136
6.2.1.5. <i>Isolated cutaneous patch</i>	137
6.2.1.6. <i>Infusate</i>	138
6.2.1.7. <i>Chemical analyses</i>	138
6.3. Examination of the isolated cutaneous patch technique	140
6.3.1. Experimental procedure	140
6.3.1.1. <i>Trial 6.1.</i>	140
6.3.1.2. <i>Trial 6.2.</i>	141
6.3.1.3. <i>Trial 6.3 and 6.4.</i>	141
6.3.1.4. <i>Trial 6.5.</i>	141
6.3.1.5. <i>Trial 6.6.</i>	142
6.3.1.6. <i>Statistical analysis</i>	142
6.3.2. Results and Discussion.....	142
6.3.2.1. <i>Infusion of ^3H-glucose</i>	142
6.3.2.2. <i>^{35}S-Cystine infusion</i>	145
6.3.2.3. <i>Isolated cutaneous patch technique</i>	145
6.3.3. Conclusion	147
6.4. Radiolabelled nutrient uptake	149
6.4.1. Experimental procedure	149
6.4.1.6. <i>Calculations, assumptions and</i> <i>statistical analysis</i>	150
6.4.2. Results	152
6.4.2.1. <i>Glucose flow and ^3H retained in the skin</i>	153
6.4.2.2. <i>Cystine flow and ^{35}S retained by the skin</i>	154
6.4.3. Discussion.....	159
GENERAL DISCUSSION	164
APPENDICES	174
BIBLIOGRAPHY	194

ABSTRACT

Wool production differences between sheep maintained under similar environmental conditions appear to reside in the functioning of individual follicles. The investigations presented in this thesis utilise the differing wool producing abilities of two strains of Merino, finewool and strongwool Merinos. The relationships between wool production (on both a unit area and individual follicle basis) and skin and follicle characteristics, blood flow and microvasculature of the skin and incorporation of ^3H -glucose and ^{35}S -cystine by the skin were examined.

The differences in the structure and function of wool follicles and their association with fibre production were examined in 6 finewool Merinos (Camden Park) and 6 strongwool Merinos (East Bungaree). The strongwool Merinos produced 2.4 times more wool per unit area of skin and 3.5 times the volume of fibre per follicle than the finewool Merinos, when both groups were maintained under similar environmental conditions. The finewool Merinos had a higher follicle density, but a lower average volume of germinative tissue in the follicle bulb and the skin than the strongwool Merinos. The rate of cell production in the follicle bulb was greater in the strongwool Merinos than the finewool Merinos, but the proportion of bulb cells entering the fibre was not significantly different between strains. The number and volume of cells in the bulb and the cell length and volume of the cortical cells, and tended to be greater in the strongwool Merinos than the finewool Merinos, but also were not statistically different between strains due to a high 'between-sheep, within-strain' variation. Wool production per unit area of skin was highly correlated with the total volume of germinative tissue in the skin ($r = 0.91$; $P < 0.01$). This relationship was true for the strongwool and finewool Merinos and also in two groups of sheep from the same genetic base with one group selected using a WOOLPLAN index and the other a randomly-bred flock. It was concluded a) that genotype may determine the volume of potential mitotically-active follicle tissue in the skin, and b) that wool production on both a follicle and unit area of skin basis is not controlled by a single character, but rather is the result of a cumulative effect of a number of characteristics.

The physiology of the skin associated with high levels of wool production was further examined. In particular, blood flow through the skin of the strongwool and finewool Merinos was investigated using a laser Doppler velocimeter. This method was highly correlated with estimates obtained using ^{57}Co -microspheres ($r = 0.92$; $P < 0.01$) although the absolute values estimated by the microsphere technique were significantly greater ($P < 0.001$). Strongwool Merinos had a significantly greater rate of blood flowing through the skin than finewool Merinos ($P < 0.011$) and this was associated both with wool production per unit area of skin ($r = 0.58$; $P < 0.02$) and with follicle density ($r = -0.44$; $P < 0.1$). It was concluded that a) blood flow has an important role in the level of wool produced both within and between strains of Merino, and b) the laser Doppler velocimeter is a useful tool for the study of blood flow in the skin of sheep.

The microvasculature of the skin was examined using an infusion of silicone rubber into the deep circumflex iliac artery within the abdominal flank region of eight Merinos. The area of vascular tissue per unit volume of skin was independent of blood flow, wool growth and follicle density, both within and between strains of Merinos. The limitations of the technique used to examine the microvasculature, and its effect on the results are discussed.

The uptake of ^3H -glucose and ^{35}S -cystine by the skin and follicles was examined both *in vitro* and *in vivo* to determine if the follicles of the strongwool Merinos were capable of utilising the large nutrient pool supplied by the high rates of blood flowing through the skin. The skin and follicles of strongwool Merinos incorporate similar amounts of ^3H -glucose and ^{35}S -cystine per unit weight of skin than finewool Merinos. It was also found that the amount of radioactivity retained by the skin generally was not dependant on the amount of radioactivity supplied to the skin and follicles.

In summary, this study determined that strongwool Merinos have higher levels of wool production than finewool Merinos due to the presence of a large amount of tissue capable of producing fibre. This characteristic is maintained by a high rate of blood flowing through the skin. Wool production and blood flow through the skin are not influenced by the underlying anatomy of the microvasculature, nor is wool production restricted by the ability of the follicles to utilise nutrients from an extracellular pool for fibre production. Finally, the implications of this study and the usefulness in, and effect on, programs for selection of superior wool-producing genotypes are discussed.