



**Factors influencing airborne pollutant concentrations within  
and emissions from Australian piggery buildings**

Submitted by

**Thomas Banhazi**

This thesis is submitted to The University of Adelaide as a requirement for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Animal Science

School of Agriculture and Wine

Roseworthy Campus, The University of Adelaide

June 2006

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	II
LIST OF FIGURES.....	V
LIST OF TABLES .....	VII
ABSTRACT .....	IX
DECLARATION.....	XI
DEDICATION .....	XII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	XIII
ABBREVIATIONS.....	XV
<b>CHAPTER 1 LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.2 CONCERNS ASSOCIATED WITH AIRBORNE POLLUTANTS .....	2
1.2.1 Emission.....	2
1.2.2 Impact on human health and welfare.....	3
1.2.3 Animal health, production and welfare effects.....	5
1.2.4 Other considerations.....	6
1.3 INDOOR CONCENTRATIONS AND MAXIMUM LIMITS .....	6
1.4 FACTORS AFFECTING AIRBORNE POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS.....	7
1.4.1 Daily and seasonal variation .....	8
1.4.2 Building type and management.....	8
1.4.3 Stocking density and weight of pigs .....	9
1.4.4 Humidity and temperature .....	9
1.4.5 Ventilation.....	9
1.4.6 Hygiene.....	10
1.4.7 Feeding practices and effluent disposal issues .....	11
1.5 GAPS IN CURRENT KNOWLEDGE .....	11
1.6 SUMMARY.....	12
<b>CHAPTER 2 DEVELOPMENT OF INSTRUMENTATION KIT AND DESIGN OF A NATIONAL SURVEY .....</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION .....	13
2.2 DESIGN OF THE INSTRUMENTATION KIT .....	13
2.2.1 Particle measurements.....	14
2.2.2 Endotoxin measurements .....	15
2.2.3 Bacteria measurement .....	16
2.2.4 Temperature and humidity measurements .....	16
2.2.5 Gas concentration measurements .....	17
2.2.6 Air velocity measurement.....	18
2.2.7 Ventilation estimation.....	18
2.3 DESIGN OF FIELD SURVEY .....	18
2.3.1 Sample data.....	22
2.3.2 Data storage and statistical analysis .....	23
2.3.3 Labour requirement of the system.....	26
2.4 ASSESSMENT OF METHODOLOGY USED .....	27
2.4.1 Assessment of the instrumentation kit .....	27
2.4.2 Assessment of survey design .....	29
2.5 SUMMARY.....	30
<b>CHAPTER 3 MODELS DESCRIBING AIRBORNE BACTERIA AND RESPIRABLE ENDOTOXIN CONCENTRATIONS IN AUSTRALIAN PIGGERY BUILDINGS .....</b>	<b>32</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION .....	32
3.2 MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	32
3.3 RESULTS .....	33
3.4 DISCUSSION .....	37
3.4.1 Building effects .....	37
3.4.2 Hygiene effects.....	39
3.4.3 Effect of humidity.....	39
3.4.4 Other effects.....	40

3.5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	41
3.6	SUMMARY .....	41
<b>CHAPTER 4 MODELS DESCRIBING INHALABLE AND RESPIRABLE PARTICLE CONCENTRATIONS IN AUSTRALIAN PIGGERY BUILDINGS.....</b>		<b>43</b>
4.1	INTRODUCTION .....	43
4.2	MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	44
4.3	RESULTS .....	44
4.4	DISCUSSION .....	51
4.4.1	<i>Effects of building and farm size.....</i>	<i>52</i>
4.4.2	<i>Effects of seasons and airflow.....</i>	<i>52</i>
4.4.3	<i>Effect of humidity and temperature.....</i>	<i>53</i>
4.4.4	<i>Effects of pen cleanliness interaction with building classification .....</i>	<i>55</i>
4.4.5	<i>Other considerations.....</i>	<i>55</i>
4.5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	56
4.6	SUMMARY .....	57
<b>CHAPTER 5 MODELS DESCRIBING AMMONIA AND CARBON DIOXIDE CONCENTRATIONS IN AUSTRALIAN PIGGERY BUILDINGS.....</b>		<b>58</b>
5.1	INTRODUCTION .....	58
5.2	MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	59
5.3	RESULTS .....	59
5.4	DISCUSSION .....	66
5.4.1	<i>Effect of pig management by seasons and surface hygiene.....</i>	<i>67</i>
5.4.2	<i>Effect of shed size by season .....</i>	<i>67</i>
5.4.3	<i>Effects of seasons and ridge vent control.....</i>	<i>68</i>
5.4.4	<i>The effects of building types and ventilation inlet size.....</i>	<i>68</i>
5.5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	69
5.6	SUMMARY .....	69
<b>CHAPTER 6 MODELS DESCRIBING AIR TEMPERATURE AND RELATIVE HUMIDITY IN AUSTRALIAN PIGGERY BUILDINGS.....</b>		<b>71</b>
6.1	INTRODUCTION .....	71
6.2	MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	72
6.3	RESULTS .....	73
6.3.1	<i>Models developed with and without the external air temperature and humidity measurements</i>	<i>75</i>
6.4	DISCUSSION .....	81
6.4.1	<i>Mean temperature and humidity values.....</i>	<i>81</i>
6.4.2	<i>Identification of external environment, insulation and building.....</i>	<i>82</i>
6.4.3	<i>General considerations.....</i>	<i>84</i>
6.5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	86
6.6	SUMMARY .....	87
<b>CHAPTER 7 MODELS DESCRIBING VENTILATION RATES IN AUSTRALIAN PIGGERY BUILDINGS 88</b>		
7.1	INTRODUCTION .....	88
7.2	MATERIAL AND METHODS .....	89
7.3	RESULTS .....	90
7.4	DISCUSSION .....	98
7.4.1	<i>General considerations.....</i>	<i>98</i>
7.4.2	<i>Ventilation rates.....</i>	<i>99</i>
7.4.3	<i>Shed size – height, length and width.....</i>	<i>100</i>
7.4.4	<i>Vertical height of ventilation opening.....</i>	<i>101</i>
7.4.5	<i>Stocking density .....</i>	<i>101</i>
7.4.6	<i>General comments .....</i>	<i>101</i>
7.5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	102
7.6	SUMMARY .....	103
<b>CHAPTER 8 DEVELOPMENT OF A COMBINED EMISSION AND INTERNAL CONCENTRATION MODEL FOR KEY AIRBORNE POLLUTANTS FOUND IN AUSTRALIAN PIGGERY BUILDINGS .....</b>		<b>104</b>
8.1	INTRODUCTION .....	104
8.2	MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	105

8.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	108
8.3.1	<i>Construction of combined predictive model</i> .....	109
8.3.2	<i>Identification of emission factors</i> .....	111
8.3.3	<i>Identified emission factors</i> .....	122
8.4	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	124
8.5	SUMMARY .....	126
<b>CHAPTER 9 DEVELOPMENT OF A DELIVERY SYSTEM FOR THE STUDY RESULTS</b>		
<b>(BASE-Q) 127</b>		
9.1	INTRODUCTION .....	127
9.2	HARDWARE DEVELOPMENT .....	127
9.2.1	<i>BASE-Q box 1 – gas, temperature and humidity measurement</i> .....	128
9.2.2	<i>BASE-Q box 2 –particle concentration measurement</i> .....	130
9.2.3	<i>Measurements not included in the BASE-Q system</i> .....	131
9.3	SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT.....	134
9.3.1	<i>The BASE-Q software</i> .....	134
9.3.2	<i>Pocket BASE-Q software (PBQ)</i> .....	135
9.4	MAJOR TASKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE BASE-Q SYSTEM .....	136
9.4.1	<i>Pre-farm activities</i> .....	137
9.4.2	<i>On farm activities</i> .....	138
9.4.3	<i>Post farm activities</i> .....	138
9.5	ESTABLISHMENT OF THE NATIONAL ASSESSMENT SYSTEM.....	140
9.6	SUMMARY .....	141
<b>CHAPTER 10 THESIS DISCUSSION..... 143</b>		
10.1	INTRODUCTION .....	143
10.2	OVERALL DESIGN OF THE STUDY.....	143
10.2.1	<i>Development of the EMK and subsequent extension package (BASE-Q)</i> .....	144
10.2.2	<i>Design of the field survey of airborne pollutants and model development</i> .....	145
10.3	DISCUSSION OF KEY STUDY RESULTS .....	146
10.3.1	<i>General comments</i> .....	146
10.3.2	<i>Concentrations and emissions measured</i> .....	147
10.3.3	<i>Factors affecting concentrations and emissions</i> .....	148
10.3.4	<i>Experiments to evaluate reduction techniques</i> .....	157
10.4	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	158
10.5	FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS.....	159
10.6	SUMMARY .....	161
<b>REFERENCES ..... 162</b>		
<b>APPENDIX A..... 181</b>		
<b>APPENDIX B..... 184</b>		
<b>APPENDIX C..... 187</b>		

## ABSTRACT

Different airborne pollutants, such as ammonia, airborne bacteria, respirable endotoxins, inhalable and respirable particles can be found in high concentrations in the airspace of piggery buildings. It has been suspected that these airborne pollutants can interfere with animal health, production efficiency and welfare, can increase occupational health and safety risk for farm workers, hasten the damage of building components and jeopardise the environmental sustainability of livestock operations. As a first step in the investigation of these potential problems, a literature review was undertaken with two major aims in mind. First to systematically review problems associated with high airborne pollutant concentrations, which then facilitated the prediction of the likely benefits associated with reducing the concentrations of different airborne pollutants. The second aim of the literature review was to catalogue previous work, which correlated the concentrations of airborne pollutants with management and housing factors. This facilitated the development of solid understanding of the likely risk factors predisposing certain piggery buildings to higher airborne pollutant concentrations. During this review however, it was realised that almost all previous work used simple correlation matrixes to establish relationship between the concentration of airborne pollutants and different housing and management related risk factors, without an attempt to properly model the effects of all the possible risk factors. Therefore, a study was designed with the main objective to use a multifactorial statistical modelling approach to actually take into consideration all likely main effects, covariates and interactions simultaneously to identify the statistically important risk factors and explain the variation observed in the concentrations and emissions of key airborne pollutants. The development of the models resulted in two major benefits. First, after the identification of statistically significant factors, their better management could lead to improvement in air quality inside and outside of piggery buildings. Second, by combining the sub-models developed for individual airborne pollutants, an easy-to-use prediction tool was developed, which could reduce the need for expensive air quality measurements on site.

As a first step in the study, an 'environmental measurement kit' was developed which was used in 160 individual piggery buildings over two years to collect air and environmental quality related information as part of the data collection phase of the study. The information collected in four states of Australia was sent to a central location and

analysed using a general linear modelling approach. Altogether seventeen models were developed to explain the variation observed in the concentrations and emissions of all key airborne pollutants (such as ammonia, carbon dioxide, airborne bacteria, respirable endotoxins, inhalable and respirable particles) as well as environmental parameters, such as air temperature, relative humidity and ventilation rates. After the identification of statistically important factors for individual pollutants, these risk factors were combined into a “universal” internal concentration, ventilation and emission prediction model. The combined model predicts the likely internal concentrations, ventilation and emission rates based on engineering and management features of the piggery buildings without the need to conduct expensive measurements.

However, it was recognised that the computations to calculate these internal concentrations, ventilation and emission rates had to be automated, in a form of dedicated software, as the complexity of these models would not allow manual calculations. It was also recognised that in some instances measurements would be still appropriate, indeed necessary under individual farm circumstances when predictions have to be backed by real measurements. Therefore, as a delivery mechanism for project results, a packaged hardware and software kit was developed. This assessment kit (called BASE-Q system) is essentially the simplified, user-friendly version of the scientific measurement kit and data management system used during the data collection phase of the study. The BASE-Q kit enables the users to pre-screen the buildings using the Pocket PC software (containing the “combined” model developed during the study) that will predict the internal concentrations and emission rates of all important airborne pollutants. Furthermore, if the predictions were not satisfactory for the users, the robust hardware components of the BASE-Q kit would enable them to conduct air quality measurement accurately and cost effectively, without the need for high levels of technical knowledge. The PC based BASE-Q software stores and processes the acquired information, making the whole system very labour efficient and user friendly. By developing these hardware tools and associated softwares, the scientific results of the main study will be efficiently extended to the farming community.