

The Effect of Azithromycin on Acute and Chronic Inflammation in an *in vivo* Experimental Model

A report submitted to the University of Adelaide in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the Degree of Doctor of Clinical Dentistry (Periodontology)

AH du Bois



Table of Figures	5
Table of Tables	8
Declaration	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Chapter 1. Literature review	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Pharmacology and background.....	2
1.2.1 Pharmacology of azithromycin.....	2
1.2.2 Antimicrobial action of azithromycin.....	5
1.2.3 Spectrum of activity.....	6
1.2.4 Adverse events.....	7
1.2.5 Cardiotoxicity	8
1.2.6 Ototoxicity	8
1.3 Azithromycin and its antimicrobial and immune modulatory functions: A brief overview.....	9
1.3.1 The effect of azithromycin on biofilms and pathogens	11
1.3.2 Biofilm	11
1.3.3 Quorum sensing	12
1.3.4 Bacterial adhesion.....	13
1.3.5 Bacterial mobility	14
1.3.6 Bacterial toxins	14
1.4 Immune modulatory functions of azithromycin	15
1.4.1 Effect on cytokines	15
1.4.2 Cytokine regulation by Nuclear Factor- κ B (NF κ B) and Activator Protein-1 (AP1).....	20
1.4.3 Azithromycin, macrophages and phagocytosis	23
1.4.4 Azithromycin and polymorphonuclear leukocytes	26
1.4.4.1 Adhesion molecules.....	28
1.4.4.2 Oxidative burst.....	30
1.4.4.3 Apoptosis	32
1.4.4.4 Degranulation.....	34
1.4.4.5 Reaction to chemo attractants	34
1.4.5 Effect of azithromycin on adaptive immunity	35
1.5 Azithromycin and periodontal disease.....	36
1.6 Azithromycin and gingival enlargement.....	42
1.7 Conclusion	45
1.8 Aim of study	46

1.9 Hypothesis	46
1.20 References.....	47
Chapter 2. The effect of azithromycin on acute and chronic inflammation in an <i>in vivo</i> experimental model.....	65
2.1 Abstract.....	65
2.2 Introduction.....	66
2.3 Materials and Methods.....	68
2.3.1 Preparation of non-viable bacteria.....	68
2.3.1.1 Heat killed Porphyromonas gingivalis (HKPG).....	68
2.3.1.2 Heat killed Mycobacterium tuberculosis (HKTB).....	68
2.3.2 Animals.....	69
2.3.3 Experimental groups and treatment procedures.....	69
2.3.3.1 Acute inflammation: Pre-operative azithromycin treated Groups (1-3).....	69
2.3.3.2 Chronic inflammation: Post-operative azithromycin treated Groups (4-6).....	69
2.3.4 Sponge implantation procedure	70
2.3.5 Post-operative monitoring.....	70
2.3.6 Retrieval surgery.....	71
2.3.7 Histological preparation and analysis	71
2.3.8 Macrophage immunohistochemistry.....	72
2.3.9 Biochemical assays	73
2.3.9.1 C-reactive protein	73
2.3.9.2 Hydroxyproline (HP) assay	73
2.3.10 Assay.....	74
2.3.11 Statistical analysis.....	74
2.4 Results.....	75
2.4.1 The effect of azithromycin on acute inflammation.....	75
2.4.1.1 Animal weight monitoring.....	75
2.4.1.2 Sponge wet and dry weights	76
2.4.2 Biochemical analysis	76
2.4.2.1 C-reactive protein levels	76
2.4.2.2 Hydroxyproline (HP) levels.....	78
2.4.3 Histological analysis	79
2.4.3.1 Qualitative assessment.....	79
2.4.3.2 Quantitative assessment.....	85
2.4.3.3 Neutrophil counts.....	85
2.4.3.4 Lymphocyte counts.....	86

2.4.3.5 Immunohistochemistry	88
2.4.4 The effect of azithromycin administered at day 25 post operatively on chronic inflammation.....	92
2.4.4.1 Animal weight monitoring.....	92
2.4.4.2 Sponge wet and dry weights	92
2.4.5 Biochemical analysis	93
2.4.5.1 C-reactive protein levels	93
2.4.5.2 Hydroxyproline	94
2.4.6 Histological analysis	96
2.4.6.1 Qualitative assessment.....	96
2.4.6.2 Combined inflammation and infiltration score.....	98
2.4.6.3 Lymphocyte counts.....	99
2.5 Discussion.....	100
2.5.1 Inflammatory cell response.....	100
2.5.2 C-reactive protein	103
2.5.3 Hydroxyproline	103
2.5.4 Immunohistochemistry	105
2.6 Limitations of study	105
2.7 Significance of study	105
2.8 Conclusion	106
2.9 References.....	107

Table of Figures

Figure 1. Demonstrating the molecular structure of macrolides and azides (Adapted from Culic et al., 2001). Note the presence of nitrogen in the macrolide ring.....	3
Figure 2. Schematic representation of immune modulatory function of azithromycin (adapted from Altenburg et al. 2010)	10
Figure 3. Possible intracellular mechanisms through which azithromycin acts (Yamauchi et al., 2009)	22
Figure 4. Neutrophil chemotaxis and diapedesis (Ley et al., 2007).	28
Figure 5a. Rat weights for pre-operatively treated azithromycin groups	75
Figure 5b. Rat weights for untreated groups	75
Figure 6a. CRP levels for pre-operatively azithromycin treated animals with PBS sponge implants compared to untreated animals	77
Figure 6b. CRP levels for pre-operatively azithromycin treated animals with HKTB sponge implants compared to untreated animals	77
Figure 6c. CRP levels for pre-operatively azithromycin treated animals with HKPG sponge implants compared to untreated animal	77
Figure 7a. Hydroxyproline levels in PBS sponge implant groups.....	78
Figure 7b. Hydroxyproline levels HKTB sponge implant groups.....	79
Figure 7c. Hydroxyproline levels HKPG sponge implant groups	79
Figure 8. Histological appearance (x10 magnification) of representative sponges obtained from the different groups at all time points stained with H&E illustrating differences between animals that had received azithromycin prior to sponge implantation compared to animals that had not received azithromycin. Connective tissue and inflammatory cell infiltration can be seen commencing in the periphery and progressing towards the centre over time.	82
Figure 9a. Combined inflammation and infiltration score in the PBS sponge implanted animals that had received azithromycin compared to those that had not received azithromycin	84
Figure 9b. Combined inflammation and infiltration score for the HKTB sponge implanted animals that had received azithromycin compared to those that had not received azithromycin	84
Figure 9c. Combined inflammation and infiltration score for the HKPG sponge implanted animals that had received azithromycin compared to those that had not received azithromycin	84
Figure 10a. Neutrophil counts for rats with PBS sponge implants.....	85
Figure 10b. Neutrophil counts for rats with HKTB sponges.....	86
Figure 10c. Neutrophil counts for rats with HKPGsponges	86

Figure 11a. Lymphocyte counts between pre-operatively treated animals and untreated animals in the PBS sponge implant group	87
Figure 11b. Lymphocyte counts between pre-operatively treated animals and untreated animals in the HKTb sponge implant group	87
Figure 11c. Lymphocyte counts between pre-operatively treated animals and untreated animals in the HKPG sponge implant group	87
Figure 12a. CD 68 positive cell scores of rats with PBS sponge implants sacrificed at days 21 and 35 after sponge implantation for animals treated with azithromycin pre-operatively compared to animals that did not receive the drug	88
Figure 12b. CD 68 positive cell scores of rats with HKTb sponge implants sacrificed at days 21 and 35 after sponge implantation for animals treated with azithromycin pre-operatively compared to animals that did not receive the drug	89
Figure 12c. CD 68 positive cell scores of rats with HKPG sponge implants sacrificed at days 21 and 35 after sponge implantation for animals treated with azithromycin pre-operatively compared to animals that did not receive the drug	89
Figure 13a. CD 163 positive cell scores of rats with PBS sponge implants sacrificed at days 21 and 35 after sponge implantation for animals treated with azithromycin pre-operatively compared to animals that did not receive the drug	89
Figure 13b. CD 163 positive cell scores of rats with HKTb sponge implants sacrificed at days 21 and 35 after sponge implantation for animals treated with azithromycin pre-operatively compared to animals that did not receive the drug	90
Figure 13c. CD 163 positive cell scores of rats with HKPG sponge implants sacrificed at days 21 and 35 after sponge implantation for animals treated with azithromycin pre-operatively compared to animals that did not receive the drug.	90
Figure 14a. CD 68 staining of PBS sponge retrieved at day 35 after pre-operative azithromycin administration (PBS Pre-operatively Treated Sponge Day 35 (Score=0)).....	90
Figure 14b. CD 68 staining of PBS sponge retrieved at day 35 after pre-operative azithromycin administration (PBS Pre-operatively Treated Sponge Day 35 (Score=1)).....	91
Figure 14c. CD 68 staining of HKPG sponge retrieved at day 21 after no pre-operative azithromycin administration (HKPG Untreated Day 21 (Score= 2))	91
Figure 14d. CD 68 staining of HKTb sponge retrieved at day 35 after pre-operative azithromycin administration (HKTb Pre-operatively Treated Day 35 (Score =3))	91
Figure 14e. CD 68 staining of HKTb sponge retrieved at day 35 after pre-operative azithromycin administration (HKTb Pre-operatively Treated Day 35 (Score=4))	92

Figure 15a. CRP levels obtained from rats with PBS sponge implants sacrificed at days 35 and 49 after sponge implantation for animals treated with azithromycin at day 25 compared to animals that did not receive the drug	93
Figure 15b. CRP levels obtained from rats with HKTB sponge implants sacrificed at days 35 and 49 after sponge implantation for animals treated with azithromycin at day 25 compared to animals that did not receive the drug	94
Figure 15c. CRP levels obtained from rats with HKPG sponge implants sacrificed at days 35 and 49 after sponge implantation for animals treated with azithromycin at day 25 compared to animals that did not receive the drug	94
Figure 16a. Showing HP levels between animals with PBS sponge implants treated with azithromycin at day 25 compared to their respective untreated controls, retrieved at the day 35 and 49 time points	95
Figure 16b. Showing HP levels between animals with HKTB sponge implants treated with azithromycin at day 25 compared to their respective untreated controls, retrieved at the day 35 and 49 time points	95
Figure 16c. Showing HP levels between animals with HKPG sponge implants treated with azithromycin at day 25 compared to their respective untreated controls, retrieved at the day 35 and 49 time points	96
Figure 17. Representative histological sections for each time point in the PBS, HKTB and HKPG groups comparing animals that received azithromycin at 25 days post sponge implantation compared to untreated animals	97
Figure 18a. Combined Inflammation and infiltration score for animals with PBS sponge implants treated at day 25 with azithromycin compared to untreated animals.....	98
Figure 18b. Combined Inflammation and infiltration score for animals with HKTB sponge implants treated at day 25 with azithromycin compared to untreated animals.....	98
Figure 18c. Combined Inflammation and infiltration scores for animals with HKPG sponge implants treated at day 25 with azithromycin compared to untreated animals.....	99
Figure 19a. Lymphocyte counts for animals with PBS sponge implants treated at day 25 with azithromycin compared to untreated animals	99
Figure 19b. Lymphocyte counts for animals with HKTB sponge implants treated at day 25 with azithromycin compared to untreated animals	100
Figure 19c. Lymphocyte counts for animals with HKPG sponge implants treated at day 25 with azithromycin compared to untreated animals	100

Table of Tables

Table 1. List of common pathogens susceptible to azithromycin	6
Table 2. The effect of azithromycin on cytokines, chemokines and acute phase proteins	16
Table 3. Estimated prevalence of gingival enlargement in relation to medication (Clementini et al., 2008).	43
Table 4. Mean wet and dry sponge weights at different time points for the different groups.	76
Table 5. Mean wet and dry weights of sponges retrieved from animals in the PBS, HKTB or HKPG groups that did or did not receive azithromycin at day 25.....	93

Declaration

I, Alexandre Du Bois, declare that this work to the best of my knowledge and belief contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. It contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or tertiary institution.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis to be made available to the University Library, for loan or photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968, as well for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Declared by: _____ Witnessed by: _____

A Du Bois

Date: _____

Date: _____

Acknowledgements

I would like to use this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude towards all the people that were involved with this research project, as it is only due to their help and support that the completion of this task was possible.

First and foremost, I would like to thank Professor PM Bartold for giving me the opportunity to embark on this project. His insight, interest and knowledge have been inspirational, to say the least, only surpassed by his humbleness. I am privileged to have had him as my supervisor and mentor.

Furthermore, I would like to thank Mr Victor Marino. He has been inspiring in his tireless effort in helping me with all aspects surrounding this project. His selfless generosity and sacrifices, both in time and effort have deeply moved me. I will surely miss his advice and help, but especially his friendship and camaraderie that I have enjoyed throughout this project. Thank you Victor.

A special thanks also to Ms Ceilidh Marchant for not only helping me with the ELISA assays but also histological analysis. Thank you for always having your door open, sharing your advice and always being prepared to help.

I would also like to thank Marjory Quinn for her indefatigable effort in the preparation of the many histological samples used in this study. Her experience, knowledge, expertise and skill have been invaluable to this project.

Thank you to Dr Robert Hirsch for his input and honesty and sharing his invaluable experience in evaluation of the histological sections. Your help is much appreciated.

Further, I would like to thank Dr Kencana Dharmapatni for all her help and time. Her insight and enthusiasm for this project have been inspirational and it has been a great having her input. However, I would like to thank her most for the patience and kindness towards me.

Thank you also to Michelle Lorimer, who helped in the statistical analysis of the data, all the staff at the IMVS who helped with housing and caring for the animals used for this study, as well as Associate Professor David Haynes and Syatirah Abdhulla in regards to donating and teaching me culturing techniques for the preparation of the bacteria used in this

experiment. Furthermore, I would like to thank Lynn Waterhouse, Dr Gafahr Sarvestani and Dale Caville for the help I received in the capturing of images for this thesis.

Many thanks also to my class mate and friend, Dr Siobhan Gannon for her help and encouragement and my other colleagues Dr Emma Megson, Dr Tina Choo, Dr Geoff Harvey, Dr Kere Kobayashi, Dr Arlene Khaw, Dr Catherine Doyle, Dr Brian Chee and Dr Boram Park for their friendship.

Finally, although by no means least, I would like to thank my family for their continuing support and faith in me. I especially want to thank my beautiful wife, Dr Christine du Bois, for her unconditional love, friendship and endless patience and support as she has always been there for me. I could not ask for a better companion and friend in life.