

Genetic control of grain quality in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown under a range of environmental conditions

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Adelaide

By

Lancelot Maphosa

School of Agriculture, Food and Wine

Faculty of Sciences

The University of Adelaide

January, 2013

List of Abbreviations

AFLP	: Amplified fragment length polymorphism
CIM	: Composite interval mapping
DArT	: Diversity array technology TM
DH	: Doubled haploid
GMP	: Gluetenin macropolymer
GSP	: Grain softness protein
<i>Ha</i> locus	: Hardness locus
HMW-GS	: High molecular weight-glutenin subunits
LMW-GS	: Low molecular weight-glutenin subunits
LOD	: Logarithm of the odds
MAGIC	: Multiparent advanced generation inter cross
MAS	: Marker assisted selection
MVWGAIM	: Multivariate whole genome average interval mapping
NaCl	: Sodium chloride
NAM	: Nested association mapping
NIR	: Near infrared reflectance
PCR	: Polymerase chain reaction
PSI	: Particle size index
QTL(s)	: Quantitative trait locus/loci
RAPD	: Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA
RIL	: Recombinant inbred line
RFLP	: Restriction fragment length polymorphism

SDS : Sodium dodecyl sulphate

SE-HPLC : Size-exclusion high-performance liquid chromatography

SIM : Simple interval mapping

SNP : Single nucleotide polymorphism

SSR : Simple sequence repeats

TPP : Total polymeric protein

UPP : SDS-unextractable polymeric protein in total polymeric protein

WGAIM : Whole genome average interval mapping

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations	i
Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures.....	xi
List of Appendices.....	xiii
Abstract.....	xiv
Declaration.....	xv
Acknowledgements	xvi
Chapter 1 General Introduction.....	1
Chapter 2 Literature Review	3
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Wheat yield	3
2.3 Wheat quality parameters	4
2.3.1 Grain shape	5
2.3.2 Grain hardness	5
2.3.3 Milling yield	7
2.3.4 Flour colour	8
2.3.5 Protein quantity	9
2.3.6 Protein composition and dough properties	9
2.3.7 Baking tests	12
2.4 Abiotic stresses	13
2.4.1 Heat stress	13
2.4.2 Drought stress	14
2.5 Genetic mapping and molecular markers	15
2.5.1 Quantitative trait loci (QTLs)	17
2.6 Aims of the thesis research.....	19

Chapter 3 Construction of genetic linkage maps using random and non-random samples of recombinant inbred lines from a cross between two cultivars of bread wheat	21
3.1 Introduction	21
3.2 Materials and Methods	22
3.2.1 Population development	22
3.2.2 Subsets of lines	23
3.2.3 Field trials	25
3.2.3.1 Moderate drought experiment at Roseworthy	25
3.2.3.2 Heat experiments at Yanco	25
3.2.3.3 Drought experiment at Ciudad Obregon	25
3.2.3.4 Heat and drought experiments at Urrbrae	26
3.2.4 DNA extraction and genotyping	29
3.2.4.1 DArT genotyping	29
3.2.4.2 SSR genotyping	29
3.2.4.3 Gene-based marker genotyping	29
3.2.4.4 SNP genotyping	30
3.2.5 Linkage map construction	30
3.2.6 Statistical and genetic analysis	30
3.3 Results	31
3.3.1 Zadoks score and days to heading	31
3.3.2 Frequency of alleles at the phenology loci	33
3.3.3 Allele combinations at phenology loci	33
3.3.4 Linkage maps constructed	34
3.3.5 QTLs for Zadoks score and time from sowing to heading	36
3.4 Discussion	42
Chapter 4 Genetic control of grain yield and grain characteristics in a wheat population grown under a range of environmental conditions	45

4.1 Introduction	45
4.2 Materials and Methods	47
4.2.1 Plant material and field experiments	47
4.2.2 Harvesting and grain cleaning	49
4.2.3 Trait measurements	49
4.2.4 Statistical and genetic analysis	52
4.3 Results	52
4.3.1 Performance of RILs and parents	52
4.3.1.1 Correlation among traits	58
4.3.2 QTLs detected	60
4.4 Discussion	70
Chapter 5 Genetic control of processing and bread making quality in a recombinant inbred line population grown under drought and heat conditions	73
5.1 Introduction	73
5.2 Materials and Methods	74
5.2.1 Plant material	74
5.2.2 Field trials	75
5.2.3 Quality analysis	77
5.2.4 Statistical and genetic analysis	78
5.3 Results	78
5.3.1 Summary of results	78
5.3.2 QTLs detected	81
5.4 Discussion	85
Chapter 6 Genetic control of processing quality in a bread wheat mapping population grown in water-limited environments	88
Abstract	92
6.1 Introduction	93
6.2 Material and Methods	94

6.2.1 Plant material	94
6.2.2 Field experiments	95
6.2.3 Grain characteristics	96
6.2.4 Flour extraction	97
6.2.5 Colour parameters	97
6.2.6 Dough properties	97
6.2.7 Baking quality	97
6.2.8 Marker genotyping	98
6.2.9 Genetic analysis	98
6.3 Results	99
6.3.1 Phenotypic variation	99
6.3.2 Quantitative trait loci	102
6.4 Discussion	107
Chapter 7 Effect of post-anthesis heat stress on bread wheat and the impact of <i>Gpc-B1</i> introgression segment from wild emmer wheat	111
Abstract	114
7.1 Introduction	115
7.2 Materials and Methods	116
7.2.1 Plant materials	116
7.2.2 DNA extraction and SNP genotyping	116
7.2.3 Glasshouse experiment	117
7.2.4 Size-exclusion high-performance liquid chromatography (SE-HPLC)	118
7.2.5 Total protein measurement	119
7.2.6 Statistical analysis	119
7.3 Results	120
7.3.1 SNP genotypes of the backcross derivatives	120
7.3.2 Comparison of the paired line	120

7.3.3 Effects of the heat treatment	121
7.4 Discussion	123
Chapter 8 General Discussion	127
References	137
Appendices	162

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Description of the environments in which the experiments were conducted showing location, year in which experiment was conducted, the type of subset analysed and the genetic analysis performed. Plant development was measured using the Zadoks system in two environments and heading date was recorded in the other environments.	28
Table 3.2 Mean Zadoks score and number of days from sowing to heading for Gladius, Drysdale and the Gladius/Drysdale recombinant inbred lines in the environments in which the experiments were conducted.....	32
Table 3.3 Frequencies of alleles from Gladius and Drysdale in two sets of Gladius/Drysdale recombinant inbred lines at four phenology loci and p-values from chi-square tests for goodness-of-fit to a 1:1 ratio.....	33
Table 3.4 Comparison of days to heading between recombinant inbred lines in the random and mid-maturity subsets for 16 allele combinations at four phenology loci and p-values from one-way analysis of variance.	34
Table 3.5 The distribution of marker types, the numbers of markers, linkage groups and the lengths of the linkage groups in the random and mid-maturity genetic maps.	35
Table 3.6 Summary of QTLs detected for the Zadoks score and days from sowing to heading (ear emergence time) for the random subset of Gladius/Drysdale mapping population. If the additive effect is positive, the Drysdale allele is associated with higher Zadoks score (accelerated development) and delayed heading (longer time to ear emergence).	37
Table 4.1 Description of the environments in which the experiments were conducted, the lines tested and traits measured in each environment.	48
Table 4.2 Definition of the parameters estimated using the SeedCount digital imaging analysis system.....	51
Table 4.3 Mean grain dimensions for Gladius and Drysdale and the mean, minima, maxima and standard deviations for the same characteristics for 205 Gladius/Drysdale recombinant inbred lines (RILs) and (in parentheses) for a subset of 60 RILs for grain harvested from experiments in six environments.	54

Table 4.4 Mean grain yield, characteristics and % flour extraction for Gladius and Drysdale and the mean, minima, maxima and standard deviations for the same characteristics for 205 Gladius/Drysdale recombinant inbred lines (RILs) and (in parentheses) for a subset of 60 RILs for grain harvested from experiments in different environments.	56
Table 4.5 Significant ($p < 0.001$) phenotypic correlation coefficients among the multi-environment means for grain morphology, yield, grain characteristic traits and flour extraction for a set of 60 Gladius/Drysdale recombinant inbred lines.....	59
Table 4.6 QTLs detected for yield, flour extraction, grain shape and characteristics in the Gladius/Drysdale mapping population grown in field experiments. Positive and negative additive effects indicate that the Drysdale allele increases or decreases the trait value, respectively. For QTLs for which the position estimate coincided exactly with the position of a marker locus, the name of that marker is shown. For QTLs for which the position estimate fell within intervals between marker loci, the names of the flanking markers are shown. QTL position numbers correspond to numbers shown in Figures 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4.....	63
Table 5.1 Alleles carried by Gladius and Drysdale at high molecular weight glutenin, low molecular weight glutenin, puroindoline, serpin and phenology loci.....	75
Table 5.2 Description of the environments in which the experiments were conducted showing location, year in which experiment was conducted and the traits measured.....	76
Table 5.3 Mean values for flour, dough and loaf characteristics for Gladius and Drysdale and means, minima, maxima and standard deviations for the same characteristics in a set of Gladius/Drysdale population of recombinant inbred lines, all grown in heat experiments in New South Wales.....	79
Table 5.4 Mean values for flour and dough characteristics for Gladius and Drysdale and means, minima, maxima and standard deviations for the same characteristics in a set of Gladius/Drysdale population of recombinant inbred lines, all grown in drought experiments in South Australia.	80
Table 5.5 QTLs detected for flour, dough and loaf traits in the Gladius/Drysdale mapping population grown in field experiments. Positive additive effects indicate that the Drysdale allele increases the trait value, while negative effects indicate that the Gladius allele increases the trait value. For QTLs for which the position estimate coincided exactly with the position	

of a marker locus, the name of that marker is shown. For QTLs for which the position estimate fell within intervals between marker loci, the names of the flanking markers are shown. QTL position numbers correspond to numbers shown in Figures 5.1 and 5.2..... 82

Table 6.1 Alleles carried by RAC875 and Kukri at high molecular weight glutenin, low molecular weight glutenin, puroindoline, serpin and phenology loci..... 95

Table 6.2 Mean values for grain, flour and loaf characteristics for RAC875 and Kukri and means, minima, maxima and standard deviations (s.d.) for the same characteristics in a set of RAC875/Kukri population of doubled haploid lines, all grown at two locations (Piednippie and Streaky Bay) in South Australia..... 100

Table 6.3 Significant ($p < 0.001$) phenotypic correlation coefficients between traits for the RAC875/Kukri doubled haploid population in the experimental sites Streaky Bay (below the diagonal) and Piednippie (above the diagonal). 101

Table 6.4 Summary of QTLs detected for grain, flour and loaf traits in the RAC875/Kukri mapping population, with estimated additive effects of the RAC875 allele (within or across locations depending on whether or not statistically significant QTL-by-environment interaction was detected)..... 103

Table 7.1 Adjusted mean values for days to anthesis, grain number per spike, senescence (days from anthesis to complete peduncle yellowing), grain weight, percentage flour protein and percentage unextractable polymeric protein (% UPP) in four pairs of wheat lines in control and heat treatments, and p -values for comparisons of lines within pairs and the effect of post-anthesis exposure to heat for three days for each wheat line. P -values displayed in bold font are less than the Bonferroni-corrected significance levels of 0.006 (0.05/8) for days to anthesis and 0.0031 (0.05/16) for other traits, indicating statistical significance of the corresponding comparisons.. 122

List of Figures

- Figure 3.1** Frequency distributions of heading dates for a ‘random subset’ of 205 Gladius/Drysdale recombinant inbred lines (above) and 360 “additional lines” (below), grown in irrigated trials at Urrbrae, South Australia in 2010. The hatched pattern designates the ‘mid-maturity subset’ of 134 lines (46 from the random subset and 88 from the additional lines)..... 24
- Figure 3.2** Zadoks score and heading date (ear emergence time) QTLs detected on chromosome 2B. The map on the left is the relevant portion of the linkage map for the random subset, the one in the middle is the relevant portion of the linkage map for the common subset (which serves as the link between the two maps) and the one on the right is the relevant portion of the linkage map for the mid-maturity subset..... 38
- Figure 3.3** Zadoks score and heading date (ear emergence time) QTLs detected on chromosome 2D. The map on the left is for the random subset, the one in the middle is the relevant portion of the linkage map for the common subset (which serves as the link between the two maps) and the one on the right is the relevant portion of the linkage map for the mid-maturity subset. 39
- Figure 3.4** Heading date (ear emergence time) QTL detected on chromosome 5A. The map on the left is for the random subset, the one in the middle is the relevant portion of the linkage map for the common subset (which serves as the link between the two maps) and the one on the right is the relevant portion of the linkage map for the mid-maturity subset. 40
- Figure 3.5** Heading date (ear emergence time) QTL detected on chromosome 5D. The map on the left is for the random subset, the one in the middle is the relevant portion of the linkage map for the common subset (which serves as the link between the two maps) and the one on the right is the relevant portion of the linkage map for the mid-maturity subset. 41
- Figure 4.1** Indented tray holding grains for measuring grain dimensions using a SeedCount digital image system. 50
- Figure 4.2** Locations (Q1 to Q11) on chromosomes of homeologous groups 1 and 2 of wheat at which QTLs were detected for one or more traits, as listed in Table 4.6. The scale on the left indicates genetic distances in cM. 67

Figure 4.3 Locations (Q12 to Q28) on chromosomes of homeologous groups 3 and 4 of wheat at which QTLs were detected for one or more traits, as listed in Table 4.6. The scale on the left indicates genetic distances in cM. 68

Figure. 4.4 Locations (Q29 to Q36) on chromosomes of homeologous groups 5, 6 and 7 of wheat at which QTLs were detected for one or more traits, as listed in Table 4.6. The scale on the left indicates genetic distances in cM. 69

Figure 5.1 Locations (Q1-Q8) on chromosomes of homeologous groups 1 and 2 of wheat at which QTLs were detected for one or more traits, as listed in Table 5.5. The scale on the left indicates genetic distances in cM. 83

Figure 5.2 Locations (Q9-Q17) on chromosomes of homeologous groups 1 and 2 of wheat at which QTLs were detected for one or more traits, as listed in Table 5.5. The scale on the left indicates genetic distances in cM..... 84

Figure 6.1 Locations (Q1 to Q13) on chromosomes of homeologous groups 1, 2 and 3 of wheat at which QTLs were detected for one or more traits, as listed in Table 6.4. The scale on the left indicates genetic distances in cM. 105

Figure 6.2 Locations (Q14 to Q24) on chromosomes of homeologous groups 4, 5, 6 and 7 of wheat at which QTLs were detected for one or more traits, as listed in Table 6.4. The scale on the left indicates genetic distances in cM. 106

List of Appendices

- Appendix 1.** Genetic linkage map generated for the random subset. The scale on the left indicates genetic distances in cM..... 162
- Appendix 2.** Genetic linkage map generated for the mid-maturity subset. The scale on the left indicates genetic distances in cM..... 166
- Appendix 3.** Significant ($p < 0.001$) within environment phenotypic correlation coefficients between traits for the 60 subset of the Gladius/Drysdale recombinant inbred line population 173
- Appendix 4.** Size-exclusion-HPLC separation of SDS-extractable polymeric proteins. The area to the left of the vertical line (14.5 minutes) defined the amount of polymeric SDS-extractable protein..... 175
- Appendix 5.** Size-exclusion HPLC separation of SDS-unextractable polymeric proteins. The area to the left of the vertical line (15.5 minutes) defined the amount of SDS-unextractable polymeric protein. 175
- Appendix 6.** Chromosome 6B showing the introgressed *Gpc-B1* segment in RS4-11-10 (between *wsnp_Ex_rep_c67887_66598188* and *wsnp_Ex_c12674_20142989*) and WB4-1-6 (between *wsnp_Ex_c8011_13584847* and *wsnp_BE591931B_Ta_2_2*) which are RAC1262A and Wyalkatchem backcross derivatives respectively. The regions between the shown markers contain polymorphic markers. The horizontal lines on the chromosome represent positions of the markers and marker names were excluded for clearer presentation. The scale on the left indicates genetic distances in cM. 176
- Appendix 7.** Polymorphic loci in the SNP consensus map. B for Burnside (non-Wyalkatchem) and S for Somerset (non-RAC1262A) show the regions donated by these donor parents in the rest of the genome (excluding 6B). The horizontal lines on the chromosomes represent positions of the markers and marker names were excluded for clearer presentation. The scale on the left indicates genetic distances in cM. 177

Abstract

Abiotic stresses including high temperatures and moisture deficit are detrimental to bread wheat production. Under abiotic stresses, characteristics such as yield, growth rate, gene expression and quality are affected and responses might involve interaction of many genes. Most studies on the impact of abiotic stresses such as high temperatures and moisture deficit have concentrated on effects on yield and agronomic traits with less work being done on grain quality. This project focussed on the end-use quality of wheat grain produced under a range of field production conditions including high temperatures and water shortages, using two mapping populations, Gladius/Drysdale and RAC875/Kukri. Gladius, Drysdale and two pairs of backcross derivatives having Wyalkatchem and RAC1262A as recurrent parents were also studied under normal and heat stress conditions in a glasshouse experiment. Of the backcross derivatives, one line of each pair has a *Gpc-B1* (high grain protein content) gene introgression and the other does not. Field trials were conducted in Australia and Mexico and the glasshouse experiment was conducted in Australia. For the glasshouse experiment, Gladius showed more heat tolerance with no significant decrease in grain weight compared to Drysdale. The backcross derivatives with the introgression segment had higher grain protein content, percentage unextractable polymeric protein and accelerated senescence than ones without the segment. Grain weight and senescence were severely affected by heat stress. Quality analysis of field grown material involved sequential assessment of grain, flour, dough and baked product characteristics. Stress conditions increased protein content, decreased yield, grain thickness, width and increased dough development time compared to the control. The exposure to heat stress resulted in an increase in loaf volume compared to the control experiment. Genetic linkage maps were constructed for the Gladius/Drysdale population and used for quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis. Quantitative trait loci analysis detected several genomic regions associated with quality traits under a range of conditions including drought and heat stress in both populations. Some of the traits were associated with known phenology and quality genes, some QTLs detected have been reported in other studies but some QTLs were novel and had not been detected elsewhere. The novel QTLs detected under conditions involving heat and drought stress present opportunities for selection of lines that are able to maintain quality under these adverse conditions.

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signature.....

Date.....

Acknowledgements

I acknowledge the immense contributions of my principal supervisor, Professor Diane Mather and co-supervisor Professor Peter Langridge during my studies. Professor Mather motivated my scholarship application. She was patient with me, helped me improve my writing skills and always encouraged me to take ownership of the project and critically analyse the results and this has made me a better scientist. Professor Langridge encouraged me to be optimistic, think broadly and always look at alternative ways of solving any problem that arose in the course of the project. He further established collaborations with the New South Wales Department of Primary Industries (NSWDPI) and this enabled me to use their facilities for part of my study. I also acknowledge the contributions of my external advisor, Associate Professor Daryl Mares and my PhD mentor Dr. Nick Collins for discussions on how best I can improve the research. I am also grateful to the post-graduate co-ordinators, I had in the course of my study: the late Professor Otto Schmidt, Associate Professor Gurjeet Gill, Associate Professor Ken Chalmers and Dr. Matt Denton for their advice.

I am also grateful to the University of Adelaide and Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics (ACPFPG) for the research scholarship, Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC) and Royal Australian Chemical Institute (RACI) for travel awards which allowed me to attend an international conference, and BioFirst program of NSWDPI for partly funding the research.

In NSWDPI, Mrs Helen Taylor and Ms Denise Fleming ran the quality laboratory and I appreciate their help and the discussions we had in the course of the study. Mr Paul Eckermann, Dr. Julian Taylor, Dr. Chris Lisle and Mr Greg Lott helped me a lot with the statistical analysis. I am grateful to all members of the molecular marker laboratory for their help and support in the past three and half years. I am grateful to Dr. Monica Ogierman (ACPFPG Education Manager), Mrs Ruth Harris (ACPFPG English tutor) and to the Integrated Bridging Program (IBP) team of the University of Adelaide for the help with presentation skills. I would also like to thank Dr. Howard Eagles for providing allele information and protocols for gene based markers; Dr. Marie Appelbee for training me in the use of the HPLC and Associate Professor Daryl Mares for training in the use of the SeedCount digital imaging analysis scanner ; Dr. Matthew Reynolds, Dr. Hayden Kuchel, Mr Dion Bennett, Dr. James Edwards, Dr. Boris Parent and Dr. Livinus Emeberi for help with the managing the different field experiments.

Lastly but not least, I would like to thank my family for their support and encouragement.