

**Operational interactions between marine mammals and
commercial fisheries in Australian and South Pacific
waters: characterisation and options for mitigation.**

Derek J Hamer

December 2012

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy



THE UNIVERSITY
of **ADELAIDE**

SCHOOL OF EARTH AND
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

For Kate, my love.

Freedom is the absence of choice.

Pol Pot

Table of Contents

Thesis abstract	10
Personal acknowledgements	13
Statement of originality	15
Associated publications	16
Statement of co-authorship	18

General introduction: operational interactions between marine mammals and commercial fisheries	19
1.1 Background	19
1.2 Context & definition	20
1.2.1 <i>Trophic & operational interactions: the distinction</i>	20
1.2.2 <i>By-catch & depredation: their nature & impact</i>	23
1.3 Past & present	28
1.3.1 <i>Mutual evolution of fish consumption</i>	28
1.3.2 <i>Sealing & whaling: exploitation, regulation & international protection</i>	29
1.3.3 <i>The situation today: the changing seascape & domestic legislation</i>	32
1.4 Mitigation strategies	36
1.4.1 <i>Improved fishing behaviour</i>	38
1.4.2 <i>Modified fishing gear</i>	39
1.4.3 <i>Reduced spatial overlap</i>	41
1.5 Need & aims	43
1.6 Focus & structure	44
1.7 REFERENCES	46

Odontocete bycatch and depredation in longline fisheries: a review of available literature and of potential solutions	61
2.1 ABSTRACT	63
2.2 Introduction to operational interactions between odontocetes & longlines	64
2.3 Summary of odontocete depredation & bycatch reports in the literature	65
2.4 Impacts of bycatch on odontocetes	68
2.5 Impacts of depredation on longline fisheries	70
2.6 Bycatch & depredation mitigation strategies	73

2.6.1 Acoustic technologies	75
2.6.2 Physical technologies	79
2.7 Summary & future directions	82
2.8 REFERENCES	92

Physical and psychological deterrence strategies for mitigating odontocete by-catch and depredation in pelagic longline fisheries	103
3.1 ABSTRACT	105
3.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	106
3.3 INTRODUCTION	107
3.3.1 Aims of this study	111
3.4 METHODS	113
3.4.1 Exploratory voyage: characteristics of fishing	113
3.4.2 Device design & development	113
3.4.3 Effect of devices on gear sink rate	115
3.4.4 Experimental design for sea trials	117
3.4.5 Data collection & analysis	118
3.5 RESULTS	121
3.5.1 Characteristics of fishing operation	121
3.5.2 Gear sink rate	122
3.5.3 Results of sea trials	123
3.5.3.1 Fish yield	123
3.5.3.2 Odontocete depredation	123
3.5.3.3 Odontocete by-catch	124
3.5.4 Impact of devices on fishing operation	125
3.5.4.1 Size & survival of caught fish	125
3.5.4.2 Setting & hauling times, & device durability	126
3.6 DISCUSSION	137
3.6.1 Impact of devices on odontocete by-catch	137
3.6.2 Impact of devices on odontocete depredation & fishing operation	141
3.6.3 Advice for future development & implementation	144
3.7 REFERENCES	147

Measurement, management and mitigation of operational interactions between the South Australian Sardine Fishery and short-beaked common dolphins (*Deplhinus delphis*) 153

4.1 ABSTRACT 155

4.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 157

4.3 INTRODUCTION 158

4.3.1 Dolphin interactions with purse-seine fisheries 158

4.3.2 South Australian Sardine Fishery 159

4.3.3 Statutory protection of marine mammals in South Australia 160

4.3.4 Development of a code of practice for dolphin by-catch mitigation 161

4.3.5 Aims of this study 163

4.4 METHODS 166

4.4.1 Historical logbook data 166

4.4.2 Assessing the effect of introducing the CoP 166

4.4.3 Data analysis 167

4.5 RESULTS 170

4.5.1 Historical logbook data (1999-2004) 170

4.5.2 Before introduction of the CoP (2004-2005) 170

4.5.2.1 Initial observer program 170

4.5.2.2 Logbook data: during initial observer program 173

4.5.3 After introduction of the CoP (2005-2006) 174

4.5.3.1 Second observer program 174

4.5.3.2 Logbook data: during second observer program 176

4.5.4 The power of future observer programs to detect changes in interaction rates 176

4.6 DISCUSSION 185

4.6.1 The CoP as the preferred dolphin by-catch mitigation tool 185

4.6.2 Success of the CoP at mitigating dolphin by-catch 186

4.6.3 Improvements of fishery logbook reporting 188

4.6.4 Power to detect change 189

4.6.5 Potential impacts on the short-beaked common dolphin population in SA 190

4.6.6 Recommendations 192

4.6.7 Conclusions 193

4.7 REFERENCES 194

Assessing the effectiveness of the Great Australian Bight Marine Park in protecting the endangered Australian sea lion <i>Neophoca cinerea</i> from bycatch mortality in shark gillnets	199
5.1 ABSTRACT	201
5.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	202
5.3 INTRODUCTION	203
<i>5.3.1 Australian sea lion life history, status & vulnerability</i>	204
<i>5.3.2 Extent, nature & impact of operational interactions</i>	205
<i>5.3.3 Mitigating impact through statutory protection</i>	206
<i>5.3.4 Australian sea lions & the Great Australian Bight Marine Park</i>	207
<i>5.3.5 Need & aims</i>	209
5.4 MATERIALS & METHODS	211
<i>5.4.1 Observed bycatch mortalities & fishing effort</i>	211
<i>5.4.2 Fishery-wide gillnetting effort</i>	211
<i>5.4.3 Bycatch rates & estimates</i>	212
<i>5.4.4 At-sea movements of sexually mature females</i>	213
5.5 RESULTS	216
<i>5.5.1 Observed bycatch mortalities & fishing effort</i>	216
<i>5.5.2 Fishery-wide gill-netting effort</i>	216
<i>5.5.3 Bycatch rates & estimates</i>	217
<i>5.5.4 At-sea movements of sexually mature females</i>	217
5.6 DISCUSSION	224
<i>5.6.1 Summary & recommendations</i>	227
5.7 REFERENCES	231

Impact of demersal shark gill-nets on endangered Australian sea lions in South Australia: spatial overlap of fishing and foraging effort and level of bycatch mortality	237
6.1 ABSTRACT	240
6.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	241
6.3 INTRODUCTION	242
<i>6.3.1 Pinniped by-catch: a global perspective</i>	242

6.3.2 <i>Impact of demersal gill-nets on Australian sea lions</i>	244
6.3.3 <i>Protection measures for Australian sea lions</i>	247
6.3.4 <i>Aims of this study</i>	248
6.4 METHODS	250
6.4.1 <i>Australian sea lion foraging effort</i>	250
6.4.2 <i>Demersal gill-net fishing effort</i>	252
6.4.3 <i>Overlap & by-catch estimates</i>	253
6.5 RESULTS	256
6.5.1 <i>Australian sea lion foraging effort</i>	256
6.5.2 <i>Demersal gill-net fishing effort</i>	256
6.5.3 <i>Overlap & by-catch estimates</i>	257
6.6 DISCUSSION	266
6.6.1 <i>Widespread at sea distribution of Australian sea lions</i>	266
6.6.2 <i>Overlap of Australian sea lions & demersal gill-nets: potential impact of by-catch</i> ..	267
6.6.3 <i>Current management approaches to mitigating Australian sea lion by-catch</i>	271
6.6.4 <i>Summary & suggestions for improved conservation management</i>	272
6.7 REFERENCES	275

General discussion	283
7.1 Overview of key findings	283
7.2 Potential challenges to the successful development of mitigation strategies	286
7.2.1 <i>Rare events: effect of imprecision on management decisions</i>	286
7.2.2 <i>Fishery logbook data: reliability and independence</i>	291
7.2.3 <i>Marine mammal intelligence: learning & problem solving</i>	293
7.2.4 <i>Marine parks & spatial closures: limited protection</i>	295
7.3 Operational interactions: indicator of a wider problem?	297
7.4 Marine mammal exploitation & conservation: can the future support both?	299
7.5 Synthesis & future directions	303
7.6 REFERENCES	308

Thesis abstract

Reports of interactions between marine mammals and fisheries are on the increase globally. This is mainly because fishery effort has increased to feed the burgeoning human population and because advances in technology have allowed fisheries to exploit habitats that were until recently inaccessible. Additionally, many marine mammal populations decimated by harvesting over the past few hundred years are recovering and the growing conservation community is paying unprecedented attention to their welfare and conservation generally, with growing interest in their interactions with fisheries.

Operational interactions are conspicuous and involve the close contact of marine mammals with fishing gear, either because marine mammals opportunistically or habitually target fishing activities to depredate (i.e. attempt to consume) caught fish, or because marine mammals incidentally encounter fishing gear while foraging naturally. Operational interactions often result in negative outcomes for the conservation and welfare of the marine mammals involved and for the economic viability of the fisheries involved. Marine mammals that become by-caught may receive life threatening injuries from entanglements, or may drown, thus having adverse impacts on small or recovering populations. Fisheries that are targeted by depredating marine mammals may need to replace damaged fishing gear, or may have the catch partially or completely removed, thus having adverse impacts on their economic viability.

At the time this body of work commenced, little was being done to address the known or suspected occurrence of operational interactions between marine mammals and several commercial fisheries in the Oceania region. The general aim was to make significant inroads into addressing this, by:

1. Reviewing a major fishing method in the two regions in which there are operational interactions with marine mammals;
2. Characterising the nature and extent of depredation and by-catch where operational interactions are known to exist; and
3. Where deemed necessary in those fisheries, developing mitigation strategies and explore their efficacy.

*

Collectively, the five research chapters in this thesis address these aims. They are stand alone case studies of marine mammal depredation and by-catch in commercial fisheries, four of which have already been published in international, peer reviewed journals. The first three research chapters focus on operational interactions involving odontocetes (i.e. toothed whales) and the second two research chapters focus on the otariids (i.e. eared seals).

Chapter 2 generally defines and reviews the nature and extend of odontocete (i.e. toothed whale) depredation and by-catch in longline fisheries, which has emerged as an environmental and economic concern internationally. At least 20 odontocete species are involved across all major oceans, although depredation and by-catch rates were variable. This study also introduces fishing gear modification as a viable mitigation strategy. Chapter 3 builds on this theme in more detail by exploring depredation and by-catch, mainly by pilot whales (*Globicephala* spp.), false killer whales (*Pseudorca crassidens*) and melon headed whales (*Peponocephala electra*), in pelagic longline fisheries targeting tuna in Australia and Fiji. Two devices were developed to physically or psychologically deter depredating odontocetes. Unfortunately, the rarity of depredation and by-catch events did not enable the efficacy of the devices to be properly assessed, although both were found to be easily integrated into the normal fishing practice and to have little or no impact on target fish catch rates. Chapter 4 attempts to specifically address the efforts of a purse-seine fishery operating in South Australia (SA) in reducing by-catch of common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*), pursuant to conditions set out under the Australian Government *Environment Protection Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). After characterising the nature and extent of the problem, it was found that a combination of a Code of Practice (CoP) using avoidance and release strategies and of gear modifications resulted in a reduction in encirclement by-catch from an estimated 377 to eight mortalities each year.

Chapters 5 and 6 attempt to assess the impact of a demersal gill-net fishery on the *Endangered* Australian sea lion (*Neophoca cinerea*) in waters adjacent to SA. Specifically, Chapter 5 assesses the performance of the Great Australian Bight Marine Park (GABMP) in protecting animals of populations residing within it, pursuant to a management plan that aims to uphold the spirit of the EPBC Act. It was found that individuals tracked using satellite transmitters spent only 27.7% of their time inside the GABMP and could travel up to 9 times further than the location of the southern boundary. Additionally, it was found that by-catch occurred beyond the southern boundary and also within the

GABMP during the six months each year that the fishery was allowed to operate within it, with an estimated 14 to 33 individuals killed each 17.6 month breeding cycle. Based on these findings, Improvements to the GABMP were recommended. In a similar manner to chapter 4, chapter 6 directly addresses recommendations pursuant to the EPBC Act to quantify the impact of a demersal gill-netting on all Australian sea lions across SA, by quantifying the extent of geographic overlap and the level of by-catch mortality and extent of overlap between the two. It was found that the two overlapped extensively in 68.7% of 4 km² cells and that by-catch was high, at 283 to 333 killed each breeding cycle. Based on these results, it was suggested that a network of permanent and temporary closures along with more extensive monitoring of fishing activities be considered.

In summary, this thesis demonstrates that with sufficient political will, stakeholder support and the necessary funds, by-catch and depredation issues can be addressed and can lead to favourable outcomes for the marine mammal populations and commercial fisheries involved. Each case study presented provides many lessons, some being specific to the operational interaction, the marine mammal species or the fishery, and some being more generally applicable. Regarding the latter, more general lessons, it is acknowledged that depredation and by-catch are statistically rare events that may vary across time and space. As such, investigating and addressing them is likely to be costly, with the results still only providing a snapshot or a broad estimate that may not be representative of the overall problem. Additionally, marine mammals are intelligent and may quickly learn how to circumvent mitigation measures, despite their complexity and cost. Although marine protected areas (MPAs) such as the GABMP are implemented with the best intentions, they are often of insufficient size to provide adequate protection and may also allow a level of fishing that still has a quantifiable impact.

Despite these drawbacks, all stakeholders are encouraged to adopt a spirit of collaboration and of commitment to attempting to resolve operational interactions with marine mammals where possible. Acoustic deterrence devices have many problems that are yet to be resolved, including their impractically large size and limited sound propagation and battery life. Nonetheless, their amalgamation with some of the physical deterrence technologies developed in chapter 3 may provide a more generic method of deterrence across all fisheries, thus providing hope that resolving operational interactions between marine mammals and commercial fisheries may be a viable proposition in the future.

Personal acknowledgements

Following tradition, firstly I would like to sincerely thank my supervisors for assisting me along the path towards achieving this life changing goal. Peter Shaughnessy was always available to provide endless and balanced advice, and proved to be an extremely tolerant sounding board for all of my ideas, the good ones and the not so good ones. Peter reviewed and improved endless drafts, all the time allowing me to take my own direction. He cared deeply about all aspects of the work and remained concerned for my welfare, especially during the more trying periods. Peter had the skill of putting me back on track with simple, insightful statements; the quintessential 'optim-o-realist'. Tim Ward stepped in at a time when all looked lost in the early days and helped me to embrace a broader approach to the project than I had originally intended. Despite the extra work, this turned out to be a very good idea. Tim also taught me some key lessons in writing that helped me to prepare the first publication associated with the thesis. I will benefit from those lessons throughout my career. Simon Goldsworthy facilitated my first academic opportunity; to explore the operational interaction between Australian sea lions and demersal gill-netting. I learned through my operational interactions with Simon that I should stick to my principals, whatever the outcome. Without doubt, this approach helped me to (perhaps stupidly) expand the project and to carry on to the bitter end, no matter how trying the conditions; kill or be killed.

Among my friends and colleagues, I must first thank Simon Childerhouse, my first marine mammal science 'boss'. Aside from being a 'doer' and collegial king, Simon also enthusiastically adopted the unofficial role of PhD supervisor, helping me to remain focused on finishing my thesis after I had returned to work. Aside from providing endless assistance with developing ideas, organizing logistics and funding, then structuring and editing drafts, Simon provided incredible motivation in a sports coach like manner. Simon singlehandedly dragged me over the finish line; you would not be reading any of these words had it not been for him. Included among the fonder memories from my PhD days will be gaining Simon as a lifelong friend.

Now to my fellow PhD stooges. Al Baylis's contribution has to be among the most diffuse and difficult to identify, although doubtless influential. So far as I can remember, Al provided many philosophical gems during the earlier years that helped crystallize my thoughts at numerous impasses. Not surprisingly though, most only became apparent the next day, among the fussiness of a dull headache and gin breath, over a much needed cooked breakfast. I will forever miss the stress relieving motorcycle and Land Rover journeys mate; trans Asia awaits. Completing the small sphere of good blokes are Luke Einoder, Chris Izzo and Kris Peters. Collectively and as individuals, your friendship, advice, humour, antics and company kept me sane and helped me enjoy my time in Adelaide, a place that offered me little else. From here, I very much look forward to our continued friendships.

*

Several others also deserve my sincere thanks, making the bad smell of my PhD considerably more reminiscent of fresh cow dung on a spring morning, rather than dog excrement stuck to the bottom of ones shoe on a hot day. Throughout the whole thing, Guy Abel and Phil Exton provided me with unconditional friendship and support. During the earlier period, Guy and his mother Lesley graciously provided much needed shelter at a time when a cardboard box or stormwater drain were realistic alternatives. Both Phil and Guy suffered my various complaints like troopers and still managed to provide so much encouragement. Unforgettable are the numerous times you both dragged me out of the office to enjoy the sun, sand and surf on days when PhD guilt would otherwise have resulted in me languishing unproductively in dimly lit and air conditioned discomfort. Simon Clark enabled me to survive the darker days in Port Lincoln by being an ally and a true friend, regularly reminding me to take life a little less seriously. I will always remember with a smile his natural powers of persuasion during sea lion captures. Simon Jarman provided me with news so good that I literally collapsed with happiness, at a time when Malaria had me by the throat and the purveyors of evil were about to repossess my house. Ever since, Simon and Andrea Polanowski, the latter being my fabulous office mate, have both kept me real with many philosophical gems and truisms, often over a delicious single malt or micro brew. Both of you provided the subtle encouragement I needed to slowly steer my PhD ship out of the storm. Hayden Dyke, the one I have known the longest, provided me with surveyor like ground truthing as only a true friend could. All the while his influence, friendship and advice have continually reminded me that life isn't all about PhDs. Among Hayden's many pearls of wisdom was perhaps the most important lesson, which he has unwaveringly set by example over the years: respect is earned, experience requires a committed journey and neither are an instantaneous entitlement.

Finally, to my loved ones. Liam, this collection of words is my gift to you. Watching you grow (mostly from afar) from a child into a young man demonstrates that this period of distraction took much too long to pass. Mum, Dad, John and Iris, your constant faith in me has been humbling and provides the greatest proof that kinship is the strongest force in life. I truly regret that Dad, the one who nurtured my love of the sea from the beginning, didn't make it this far to see the fruits of my (and his) labour. And here I conclude by mentioning my dearest love Kate. Consider the chronology of these acknowledgements akin to leaving the crescendo till last in a classic thriller novel, or the most exquisitely prepared piece of gravy covered pork crackling till last while eating a fine Sunday roast. All those clichés about love apply to you in infinite abundance; you *are* my axis, the centre of my universe. You have been the greatest positive influence and guiding light of all, providing me with *everything* so selflessly at a time when I have been completely selfish: love, advice, support, understanding, awareness, encouragement, faith, food... the long list goes on. Most of all you have given me a past, present and future full of love and hope. I am nothing without you, so I dedicate this thesis to you babe.

Statement of originality

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Derek J Hamer and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the *Copyright Act 1968*.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Name: Derek John Hamer

Signature:

Date: 27 May 2013

Associated publications

During my PhD candidature at the University of Adelaide, my aim was to prepare all of the case studies as chapters, to a standard worthy of journal publication. When the time came to compile the thesis, four of the five chapters had been published in international, peer reviewed journals (one being featured), while the remaining chapter was published as an Australian Government report. They are, in order of their appearance in this thesis:

- Hamer, D.J.**, Childerhouse, S.J., Gales, N.J., 2012. Odontocete by-catch and depredation in longline fisheries: a review of the literature and of potential solutions. *Marine Mammal Science* 28, E345-E374 (Chapter 2)
- Hamer, D.J.**, Childerhouse S.J., 2012. Physical and psychological deterrence strategies for mitigating odontocete by-catch and depredation in pelagic longline fisheries: progress report. Progress report to Pacific Island Forum Fisheries Agency (FFA), Worldwide Fund for Nature (WWF) South Pacific, and Pacific Islands Tuna Industry Association (PITIA). Australian Marine Mammal Centre (AMMC), Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (DSEWPaC). 47pp. (Chapter 3)
- Hamer, D.J.**, Ward, T.M., McGarvey, R., 2008. Measurement, management and mitigation of operational interactions between the South Australian Sardine Fishery and short-beaked common dolphins (*Delphinus delphis*). *Biological Conservation* 141, 2865-2878. (Chapter 4)
- Hamer, D.J.**, Ward, T.M., Shaughnessy, P.D., Clark, S.R., 2011. Assessing the effectiveness of the Great Australian Bight Marine Park in protecting the endangered Australian sea lion (*Neophoca cinerea*) from by-catch mortality in shark gill-nets. *Endangered Species Research* 14, 203-216. (Chapter 5)
- Hamer, D.J.**, Goldsworthy, S.D., Costa, D.P., Fowler, S.L., Page, B., Sumner, M.D., 2012. The endangered Australian sea lion regularly becomes by-catch in and extensively overlaps with demersal shark gill-nets in South Australia. *Biological Conservation*. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocon.2012.07.010 (Chapter 6)

Over the same period, I was also involved in the publication of several other papers. Those most relevant of those to this thesis are as follows:

- Hamer, D.J.**, Grayson, J. (eds). 2012. Issues paper for the Australian sea lion (*Neophoca cinerea*). September 2012. Report for the Threatened Species Scientific Committee (TSSC), Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (DSEWPaC). 50pp.
- Izzo, C., **Hamer, D.J.**, Bertozzi, T., Donnellan, S.C., Gillanders, B.M., 2011. Telomere length and age in pinnipeds: the endangered Australian sea lion as a case study. *Marine Mammal Science* 27, 841-851.
- Lowther, A.D., Harcourt, R.G., **Hamer, D.J.**, Goldsworthy, S.D., 2011. Creatures of habit: foraging habit fidelity of adult female Australian sea lions. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 443, 249-263.
- Shaughnessy, P.D., Goldsworthy, S.D., **Hamer, D.J.**, Page, B., McIntosh, R.R., 2011. Australian sea lions *Neophoca cinerea* at colonies in South Australia: distribution, abundance and trends, 2004 to 2008. *Endangered Species Research* 13, 87-98.
- Baylis, A.M.M., **Hamer, D.J.**, Nichols, P., 2009. Assessing the use of milk fatty acids to infer the diet of the Australian sea lion (*Neophoca cinerea*). *Wildlife Research* 36, 169-176.
- Hamer, D.J.**, Ward, T.M., McGarvey, R., 2009. Objective reporting of scientific results is critical for maintaining relationships with industry and achieving conservation outcomes for fisheries. *Animal Conservation* 12, 287-288.

Statement of co-authorship

All five research chapters in my PhD thesis have been published (four in international, peer reviewed scientific journals and one as an Australian Government report). The completion of each was made possible through the contribution of many others, who have been either formally acknowledged, or have been included as co-authors. Co-authorship was offered to those who ultimately assisted by providing opportunities, data, advice and expertise. Ultimately though, most of the fieldwork and analysis, and all of the writing was completed by me. Naturally, the degree and nature of the contribution made by each co-author varied considerably, although sincere gratitude is extended to all involved. Each co-author has signed below, indicating their endorsement of the work and of co-authorship. They are, in alphabetical order:

Childerhouse, Simon J.

Clark, Simon R.

Costa, Dan P.

Fowler, Shannon L.

Gales, Nick J.

Goldsworthy, Simon D.

McGarvey, Rick

Page, Brad

Shaughnessy, Peter D.

Sumner, Mike D.

Ward, Tim M.