Caesarean Birth Trends in South Australia: 1985-2007

Judith Coxell

B.A. (Hons. Social Sciences) University of Adelaide

Discipline of Geography, Environment and Population

Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences

The University of Adelaide

Thesis submitted for the degree of Master of Arts by Research

14 December 2012



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTSii
LIST OF APPENDICESvii
LIST OF FIGURESviii
LIST OF TABLESx
ABSTRACTxii
DECLARATIONxiii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSxiv
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMSxv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION1
1.1 Background1
1.2 Aims, Objectives and Research questions3
1.3 The study area 4
1.4 Maternal and neonatal deaths in Australia7
1.5 Australian comparison with OECD caesarean delivery rates
1.6 Caesarean birth data bases used in study10
1.7 Chapter outline11
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW: CAESAREAN BIRTH IN AUSTRALIA12
2.1 Introduction12
2.2 Clinical risk factors for caesarean birth12
2.2.1 Clinical reasons given for emergency and elective caesarean birth 13
2.2.2 The vaginal birth after caesarean (VBAC) dilemma: an attempt to arrest increasing caesarean delivery rates?17
2.2.3 The cultural clash of female genital mutilation (FGM)17

Caesarean Birth Trends in South Australia: 1985-2007

2.2.4 Do women receive adequate information about postoperative caesarean section challenges?
2.3 Legal and bureaucratic challenges for obstetricians19
2.3.1 Threatened or actual litigation encourages caesarean section
2.3.2 South Australian guidelines for obstetric practice
2.3.3 Does the reduction in training hours for obstetricians lead to an increase in caesarean delivery rates?23
2.3.4 Maternal request for caesarean section delivery survey by the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists24
2.4 Who is choosing the key principles of Australia's models of birth care?25
2.4.1 Case-mix funding in Australian hospitals26
2.4.2 Will the Commonwealth Government 2008 maternity Services Review decisions decrease caesarean delivery rates?
2.4.3 Increase in midwife training28
2.4.4 Australian Medical Association response to increased midwife training policy29
2.5 Conclusion
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY
3.1 Introduction
3.2 Development of conceptual framework
3.2.1 Conceptual framework for study36
3.3 Previous study
3.4 Ethics approvals
3.5 Study databases 39
3.5.1 Pregnancy Outcome Statistical Unit (POU) database 1985-2007 (n=434 682)39
3.5.1.1 Pregnancy Outcome Unit attributes examined during study40

Caesarean Birth Trends in South Australia: 1985-2007

3.5.1.2 The National Perinatal Statistics Unit (NPSU)	43
3.5.2 Integrated South Australian Activity Collection (ISAAC) (n=36 861)	14
3.5.2.1 Key attributes of the ISAAC collection	46
3.5.3 Strengths and weaknesses of POU and ISAAC study databases	46
3.6 Index of relative Socio-economic Disadvantage (IRSD)	48
3.6.1 IRSD application to study area	49
3.7 Thematic research design	51
3.8 Conclusion	52

CHAPTER FOUR: CAESAREAN BIRTH TRENDS IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA	53
4.1 Introduction	53
4.2 Caesarean section birth trends in South Australia	53
4.2.1 Intended place of birth in South Australia 1985-2007	55
4.2.2 Public and private birth trends in metropolitan and regional South Australia 1991-2007	.55
4.2.2.1 Rural maternity services	56
4.2.2.2 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander birthing services	58
4.2.3 Method of delivery trends in South Australia 1985-2007	.59
4.2.4 Implications of low birth weight on birth method60	
4.2.5 Caesarean by model of health care	.62
4.2.6 Home birth and caesarean section	.65
4.3 Will the Commonwealth Government Maternity Services Review give women more 'model of birth care' choice in the future?	66
4.4 Difference in elective and emergency caesarean delivery rates in South Australia 1991-2007	.67
4.4.1 Public and private hospital length of stay days for women who birth by elective and emergency caesarean section 2001-2008	68
4.5 Human resources and case mix funding as causes of increased caesarean birth rates	69
4.6 Conclusion	.72

CHAPTER 5: DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF MOTHERS74
5.1 Introduction74
5.2 Caesarean birth in Australia74
5.2.1 Maternal age as a reason for caesarean section birth
5.2.2 Reasons for older women giving birth75
5.2.3 Caesarean birth in Australia 200775
5.3 Maternal age for birth in South Australia 1985-200777
5.3.1 Maternal age trends of <u>all births</u> in South Australia 1985-200777
5.3.2 Maternal age trends of caesarean section birth in South Australia 1985-200778
5.3.3 Comparison of caesarean birth by hospital classification and age of mother79
5.4 Comparison of public and private caesarean birth 1991 to 2007 in South Australia80
5.5 Maternal mortality and baby birth morbidity and mortality outcomes in South Australia 1985-200781
5.5.1 Summary of baby birth status hospital discharge in South Australia 1985-200783
5.6 Fathers occupation of babies born by caesarean section in South Australia 1991-2007
5.6.1 Relationship between father's occupation and caesarean delivery in South Australia 1985-2007
5.7 Age of mothers in South Australia
5.7.1 Comparison of parity 0-2 and age of mother in South Australia 1985-200786
5.7.2 Collection of parity data for service planning purposes
5.8 Conclusion

CHAPTER 6: CAESAREAN BIRTH AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE90

6.1 Introduction	90
6.2 Previous study	90
6.3 Correlation of birth methods and co-morbidities	91
6.3.1 Gestational diabetes	93
6.3.2 Fetal distress	98
6.3.3 Caesarean birth	101
6.3.4 Public and private health cover	103
6.3.4.1 Can caesarean birth rates in the public and private hospital systems be accurately compared?	106
6.3.5 Correlation between parity, caesarean section and level of advantage 1986-2006	108
6.4 Where is the Body Mass Index data?	109
6.5 Conclusion	110

CHAPTER SEVEN: CONCLUSION	112
7.1 Introduction	112
7.2 Findings from the study	113
7.2.1 Reasons for increasing caesarean rate	113
7.2.2 Caesarean birth rate in Australia	115
7.2.3 Demographic characteristics of mother	116
7.2.4 Caesarean birth and social disadvantage	117
7.3 Limitations of the study	118
7.4 Implications	119
7.5 Recommendations	119
7.6 Conclusion	120

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX ONE: University of Adelaide Project Ethics Approval	122
APPENDIX TWO: University of Adelaide Project Ethics Approval	.123
APPENDIX THREE: Aboriginal Health Research Ethics Committee	.124
APPENDIX FOUR: Government of South Australia (SA Health)	
Human Research Ethics Approval	.125
APPENDIX FIVE: Statistical Local Area boundaries in the ASD in 2006	.127
APPENDIX SIX: Maternal death rates in South Australia 1985-2007	.129

REFERENCES:

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Location of public and private maternity hospitals with obstetric beds in the Adelaide Statistical Division 2006
Figure 1.2: South Australia regional hospitals with obstetric beds in June 2008 and location of the Adelaide Statistical Division
Figure 1.3: Maternal deaths and neonatal deaths per 100 000 live births and neonatal deaths per live births: Australia 1908 to 20047
Figure 1.4: OECD Caesarean sections per 100 live births 1990, 1997 and 2007 9
Figure 2.1 Clinical reasons for emergency caesarean section South Australia14
Figure 2.2 Clinical reasons for elective caesarean section South Australia14
Figure 2.3 Medical Indemnity Insurance by specialty costs 2003-2009 in Australia24
Figure 3.1 Conceptual framework for exploring caesarean birth and socio-economic disadvantage: South Australia 1985-2007
Figure 4.1 Intended place of birth for all methods of delivery55
Figure 4.2 Public/Private births Metropolitan/Regional South Australia 1991-200756
Figure 4.3 Delivery method trends South Australia 1985-200759
Figure 4.4 Change in caesarean delivery by model of birth care South Australia 1998 and 200765
Figure 4.5 Normal spontaneous elective and emergency birth trends South Australia 1991-200767
Figure 4.6 Public and private length of hospital stay days South Australia 2001-200868
Figure 4.7: 24 Hour delivery clock South Australia 1991-2007 by public or private patient for normal spontaneous, elective and emergency caesarean births70
Figure 4.8 Elective/Emergency caesarean 24 hour delivery clock South Australia 1985 and 200771
Figure 5.1: Age of all mothers who gave birth in South Australia 1985-200777
Figure 5.2: Comparison of age of mother for caesarean birth for 1985 and 200778
Figure 5.3: Comparison of age of mother for elective and emergency birth in Metropolitan and Regional hospitals in South Australia 1985-2007

Figure 5.4: Fetal and neonatal death trends of normal spontaneous and elective and emergency caesarean births
Figure 5.5 Total caesarean births by father's occupation South Australia 1991-200785
Figure 5.6: Mother's parity by caesarean delivery South Australia 1985-2007
Figure 5.7: Caesarean birth by parity 0-3 mothers in South Australia 1985-200787
Figure 6.1: Trends in gestational diabetes for normal spontaneous, LSCS elective and emergency caesarean in South Australia 1998-200794
Figure 6.2: Gestational diabetes distribution across the Adelaide Statistical Division by SLA 2006
Figure 6.3: Spatial incidence of fetal distress across the Adelaide Statistical Division by SLA in 2006
Figure 6.4: Rate of caesarean birth in the most advantaged and disadvantaged SLAs in the Adelaide Statistical Division 2006101
Figure 6.5: Comparison of public and private health cover across the Adelaide Statistical Division 2006104
Figure 6.6: Comparison of public and private patients in selected most advantaged and most disadvantaged SLAs as a percentage of annual births in South Australia between 1991-2007107
Figure 6.7: Selected parity 0-2 of advantaged and disadvantaged areas in the Adelaide Statistical Division for elective and emergency caesarean delivery 1985-2007

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Comparison of caesarean and vaginal birth hospital costs in Australia 27
Table 2.2: Maternity Services Commonwealth Government Budget Increase 2009-2010:Increased choice maternity model of care
Table 2.3: Stakeholder response to Maternity Services Review in Australia in 200930
Table 3.1: Pregnancy Outcome Unit Method of delivery: South Australia 1985-2007 40
Table 3.2: Mother, Father and Infant Pregnancy Outcome Unit attributes used in study42
Table 3.3: Integrated South Australian Activity Collection attributes of interest 45
Table 3.4: Variation in caesarean birth rate in the ten most disadvantagedand advantaged SLAs in the Adelaide Statistical Division 2006
Table 4.1: Elective and emergency caesarean in South Australia 1991-2007
Table 4.2: Low birth weight by age of mother for all births in South Australia 1985-200761
Table 4.3: Low birth weight and method of delivery in South Australia 1985-200762
Table 5.1: Australian women who gave birth by caesarean section by maternal age andhospital sector 2007
Table 5.2: Caesarean births by age of mother in South Australia 1985-2007
Table 5.3: Comparison of median age of caesarean births South Australia 1985-200779
Table 5.4: Comparison of public and private elective and emergency caesareanbirths in South Australia 1991-200780
Table 5.5: Fetal death trends in South Australia 1985-2007
Table 5.6 Newborn in hospital at 28 days after birth by method of deliveryin South Australia 1985-2007
Table 5.7 Neonatal death trends by method of delivery in South Australia 1985-2007 83
Table 6.1: Pearson Correlation Coefficients between the Index of Relative Disadvantage andpercentage of each method of birth and co-morbidities in each SLA (N) across the AdelaideStatistical Division 1986-200691
Table 6.2: Gestational diabetes and method of birth South Australia 1998-2007 95

Table 6.3: Gestational diabetes as a percentage of all births in the ten most disadvantagedand advantaged SLAs in the Adelaide Statistical Division in 1998, 2001 and 200697

Table 6.4: Association between elective and emergency caesareans and fetal distress in the ten most disadvantaged and advantaged SLAs in the Adelaide Statistical Division 2006 100

Table 6.5: Relationship between caesarean birth of women in the ten mostdisadvantaged and advantaged SLAs in the Adelaide Statistical Division 2006102
Table 6.6: Association between public and private patients in the ten mostdisadvantaged and advantaged SLAs in the Adelaide Statistical Division 2006
Table 6.7: Pearson Correlation co-efficients showing relationship between IRSD scores andparity 0-2 of women who gave birth in the Adelaide Statistical Division 1986-2006109

Table 7.1: Policy recommendations from study120

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to find the reasons for the increase of caesarean birth in South Australia between 1985 and 2007, during which the caesarean rate increased from 18 per cent to 33 per cent. In South Australia between 1985 and 2007, 108 941 women gave birth by caesarean section, of which 48 056 women delivered a baby by elective caesarean and 60 885 women gave birth by emergency caesarean.

The study database consisted of de-identified birth details of 434 682 women and their babies from the mandatory collection of public and private hospital mothers who gave birth in South Australia between 1985 and 2007. Between 1991 and 2007, 37 376 private patients gave birth by caesarean section (18 227 elective and 18 494 emergency) and 47 916 public patients gave birth by caesarean section (19 149 elective and 45 571 emergency caesarean births). The public patient caesarean birth rate increased from 19 per cent in 1991 to 28 per cent in 2007 and the private patient caesarean birth rate increased from 26 per cent in 1991 to 42 per cent in 2007.

This thesis investigates caesarean birth data under three main areas: place of birth (for example, regional or metropolitan hospitals; public or private hospitals); demographic characteristics of mothers who gave birth (for example, age of mother and occupation of father); and, the relationship between caesarean birth and socio-economic disadvantage (using the Index of Relative Social Disadvantage scores measured from Australian Census data) in the Adelaide Statistical Division.

The caesarean rate has increased in both metropolitan and regional hospitals, with a higher caesarean rate in private compared with public hospitals. A first birth by caesarean was more likely to be an emergency followed by further births which were elective caesareans. The median age of women giving birth by caesarean in private hospitals between 1991 and 2007 has increased from 30 to 33 years of age, and, in public hospitals the median age has increased from 27 to 29 years of age. The father's occupation as tradespersons was associated with the highest rate of caesarean delivery. Women of most socio-economic advantage had a higher rate of caesarean delivery, a lower rate of gestational diabetes and their babies a lower rate of fetal distress, than more disadvantaged women.

Previous reasons given for the increase of caesarean birth rates included the increasing age of mothers, changes in private health insurance policy, malpractice claim fears of medical staff, a shortage of eligible midwives, and, the funding case-mix system for hospitals.

A recent Commonwealth Government Maternity Services Review recommends the training of more eligible midwives to give mothers a greater choice of birth models of care. The Australian Medical Association has asked for the collection of comparable birth data to assess this change in birthing policy direction.

DECLARATION

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or any other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying: subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Judith Coxell

Date

Judith very sadly passed away on May 5 2013. She had been informed about the examination outcome and was in the process of completing some minor corrections to the thesis. These have now been completed and the thesis has been submitted on her behalf so that the award can be made posthumously.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are many people to thank.

Firstly to Professor Graeme Hugo, Professor of Geography within the Discipline of Geography, Environment and Population at the University of Adelaide who supported and encouraged the direction and completion of this thesis, and, to Dr Dianne Rudd for her encouragement, interest and skills in thesis writing management.

To the ever patient Margaret Young for her understanding of large databases, cartographic skills and the ABS; Janet Wall for her ever happy way of answering punishing repetitious questions and Christine Crothers for her cartographic skills.

To the staff of the Pregnancy Outcome Statistical Unit and Integrated South Australian Activity Collection of South Australia Health who helped in the set-up of this study.

To my ever very patient family: Ben, Anna, Marcus and Austin, and friends who gave up asking when I would be finished.

To my research colleagues whose quiet smiles, cups of coffee, barbecues, laughter over lunch and very lengthy phone calls meant so much in continuing encouragement to complete this study.

To the University security staff for their continual surveillance and smiles, during the many late-night writing sessions at the University.

To IT Services for their support of 'fixing' my computer at regular intervals.

To all the speakers at conferences and workshops I attended who were associated with my research topic, including the Australian Research Council Research Network for Spatially Integrated Social Sciences, the Australian Population Association and Healthy Development Adelaide.

To all the pregnant women who talked to me about the imminent birth of their baby and births of previous children: in lifts, the street, supermarkets, walking along the beach, car parks and elsewhere. And, to the fathers and grandparents who also got involved in these conversations.

To South Australian hospitals medical and research staff who generated the large deidentified data sets for me to work on.

Thank You All

ACRONYMS

- ABC Australian Broadcasting Commission
- ABS Australian Bureau of Statistics
- AIHW Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
- AMA Australian Medical Association
- ASD Adelaide Statistical Division
- ACHI Australian Classification of Health Interventions (Tabular list of diseases)
- DDI Decision to Deliver Interval
- FGM Female genital Mutilation
- ICD-10-AM International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision, Australian Modification (Tabular list of diseases): ICD-10-AM 16520-02 LSCS (lower section elective caesarean)
 - ICD-10-AM 16520-03 LSCS (lower section emergency caesarean)
- ISAAC Integrated South Australian Activity Collection
- NATSEM National Centre for Social and Economic Modelling
- NCCH National Centre for Classification in Health
- NHHRC National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission
- NZMA New Zealand Medical Association
- NHMRC National Health and Medical Research Council
- NPDC National Perinatal Data Collection
- OECD Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
- POU Pregnancy Outcome Statistical Unit
- RANZCOG Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and
- Gynaecologists
- SLA Statistical Local Area
- TFR Total Fertility Rate
- VBAC Vaginal Birth After Caesarean
- WHO World Health Organisation