The University of Adelaide

Essays on Continuous Time Diffusion Models

## A DISSERTATION

## SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE CENTRE IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

for the degree

## DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Field of Economics

By

Di Yuan

November 2013

ii

### Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution in my name and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

iii

Signature of Author

### ABSTRACT

Essays on Continuous Time Diffusion Models

#### Di Yuan

During the past few decades, continuous time diffusion models have become an integral part of financial economics. Especially, in certain core areas in finance, such as interest rate, asset pricing, option pricing, portfolio selection and volatility modelling, continuous time diffusion models have proved to be a very attractive way to conduct research and gain economic intuition. This thesis makes three main contributions to the field of continuous time diffusion models.

First, we propose regime-switching Heston, GARCH, and CEV stochastic volatility models where all parameters are allowed to vary depending on the state of the economy. Then we apply these models to describe the dynamics of S&P 500 and VIX. We find strong evidence of regime shifts for all models. The CEV model is statistically preferred to other two nested models in explaining dynamics of data.

Second, because the true transition density functions of regime-switching stochastic volatility models are unknown, the standard maximum likelihood estimation cannot be conducted. We first conduct the maximum likelihood estimation with closedform likelihood expansions for regime-switching continuous time stochastic volatility models.

Third, to approximate a continuous time diffusion process, researchers often use the Euler approximation in the literature. Theoretically, the smaller the discretization interval is, the more accurate the Euler approximation is expected to be. However, even when the discretization interval is too small, the accuracy of the Euler approximation can get worse because of the roundoff error and random number generator bias. A variety of univariate and multivariate diffusion models from the literature are considered. We use the solution of a diffusion process when it is available and usable as a benchmark. The Milstein approximation is also adopted to compare the accuracy of the Euler approximation. Depending on the problem of interest, different criteria are used to measure accuracy of approximation. The percentage error and strong convergence can be examined when a good approximation of sample path of a diffusion model is required. The weak convergence is preferred for the cases where approximation of moments of the process matters. In our Monte Carlo simulation studies of diverse diffusion models, we measure accuracy of the Euler approximation not only by using those criteria but also by looking at end point of the approximation. The simulation results show that an appropriate discretization interval must be picked for different diffusion models when applying the Euler approximation.

### Acknowledgements

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to a number of people, who have provided me with useful assistance and support during my PhD study.

First and foremost, I want to thank my principle supervisor Dr Seungmoon Choi. It has been an honour to be his first PhD student. He provided unreserved support during my PhD study and generously paved the way for my development as a research economist.

I would like to thank my co-supervisor Professor Jiti Gao for his kind assistance and support on academic and various other issues. I also wish to thank Associate Professor Ralph Bayer, who helped me book a computer lab to run simulations. I also thank Dr Nicholas Sim for his helpful suggestions when he attended my presentation. Special thanks go to Professor Christopher Findlay, Dr Mandar Oak, Dr Jacob Wong, Dr Tatyana Chesnokova and Mrs Anne Arnold for their assistance with administrative issues on various occasions.

I would like to thank all my friends for all the emotional support, camaraderie, entertainment, and caring they provided.

I wish to thank my brother Wang Yuan, who has been my best friend all my life and thank him for all his advice and support.

This thesis is dedicated to my mother Li Liu, who has provided me with absolute and unconditional support and encouragement throughout my PhD study. Without her, this thesis would not have been completed.

## Table of Contents

| Declara | ation   | iii  |
|---------|---|------|
| ABSTI   | RACT  | iv   |
| Acknow  | vledgements   | vi   |
| List of | Tables  | viii |
| List of | Figures   | xii  |
| Chapte  | r 1. Introduction   | 1    |
| Chapte  | r 2. Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Regime-Switching Continuou   | s    |
|         | Time Stochastic Volatility Models                                   | 7    |
| 2.1.    | Introduction  | 7    |
| 2.2.    | Closed-form Likelihood Expansions                                   | 8    |
| 2.3.    | Hamilton Algorithm  | 18   |
| Chapte  | r 3. Continuous Time Stochastic Volatility Models with Regime Shift | 21   |
| 3.1.    | Introduction  | 21   |
| 3.2.    | Data and Motivation   | 22   |
| 3.3.    | Continuous Time Stochastic Volatility Models with Regime Shift      | 25   |
| 3.4.    | Estimation Results  | 31   |
| 3.5.    | Conclusions   | 53   |
| Chapte  | r 4. Accuracy of Euler Approximation for Continuous Time Diffusion  |      |
|         | Models  | 55   |
| 4.1.    | Introduction  | 55   |
| 4.2.    | Euler and Milstein Approximations                                   | 58   |
| 4.3.    | Univariate Stochastic Volatility Models                             | 62   |
| 4.4.    | Multivariate Stochastic Volatility Models                           | 85   |
| 4.5.    | Conclusions   | 116  |

| Chapter 5. | Conclusions | 119 |
|------------|-------------|-----|
| References |             | 123 |

## List of Tables

| 3.1  | Summary Statistics of S & P 500 and VIX                           | 23 |
|------|---|----|
| 3.2  | Summary Statistics of S&P 500, VIX, $\ln(S\&P 500)$ and IV        | 32 |
| 3.3  | Maximum Likelihood Estimation Results of Main Heston              |    |
|      | Models(01/02/1990-06/12/2012)                                     | 34 |
| 3.4  | Maximum Likelihood Estimation Results of Main GARCH               |    |
|      | Models(01/02/1990-06/12/2012)                                     | 43 |
| 3.5  | Maximum Likelihood Estimation Results of Main CEV                 |    |
|      | Models(01/02/1990-06/12/2012)                                     | 48 |
| 4.1  | Maximum Absolute Error between the Exact Solution and             |    |
|      | Approximations to the BSM Model                                   | 64 |
| 4.2  | Absolute Error between the Exact Solution and Approximations for  |    |
|      | the BSM Model at the Endpoint                                     | 65 |
| 4.3  | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to the |    |
|      | BSM Model at Endpoint   | 65 |
| 4.4  | Euler (Milstein) Approximation and Percentage Errors to the       |    |
|      | Vasicek Model at Endpoint   | 70 |
| 4.5  | Euler and Milstein Approximations to the CIR Model at Endpoint    | 73 |
| 4.6  | Percentage Errors of Euler and Milstein Approximations to the CIR |    |
|      | Model at Endpoint   | 73 |
| 4.7  | Euler and Milstein Approximations to the IFSR Model at Endpoint   | 76 |
| 4.8  | Percentage Errors of Euler and Milstein Approximations to the     |    |
|      | IFSR Model at Endpoint  | 77 |
| 4.9  | Euler and Milstein Approximations to LDCEV Model at Endpoint      | 79 |
| 4.10 | Percentage Errors of Euler and Milstein Approximations to LDCEV   |    |
|      | Model at Endpoint   | 81 |

| 4.11 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to the NMR Model at Endpoint     | 82  |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.12 | Percentage Errors of Euler and Milstein Approximations to NMR      |     |
|      | Model at Endpoint  | 82  |
| 4.13 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of the Heston Model at      |     |
|      | Endpoint   | 87  |
| 4.14 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of | •   |
|      | the Heston Model at Endpoint                                       | 88  |
| 4.15 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to V of the Heston Model at      |     |
|      | Endpoint   | 88  |
| 4.16 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to V    |     |
|      | of the Heston Model at Endpoint                                    | 89  |
| 4.17 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of the GARCH Model at       |     |
|      | Endpoint   | 91  |
| 4.18 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of | •   |
|      | the GARCH Model at Endpoint  | 92  |
| 4.19 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to V of the GARCH Model at       |     |
|      | Endpoint   | 92  |
| 4.20 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to V    |     |
|      | of the GARCH Model at Endpoint                                     | 93  |
| 4.21 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of the CEV Model at         |     |
|      | Endpoint   | 95  |
| 4.22 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of |     |
|      | the CEV Model at Endpoint  | 96  |
| 4.23 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to V of the CEV Model at         |     |
|      | Endpoint   | 96  |
| 4.24 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to V    |     |
|      | of the CEV Model at Endpoint                                       | 97  |
| 4.25 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of the HW Model at          |     |
|      | Endpoint   | 99  |
| 4.26 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of |     |
|      | the HW Model at Endpoint   | 100 |

| 4.27 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to V of the HW Model at<br>Endpoint                             | 100      |
|------|---|----------|
| 4.28 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to V of the HW Model at Endpoint       | 101      |
| 4.29 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of the Hagan Model at Endpoint                             | 103      |
| 4.30 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of<br>the Hagan Model at Endpoint | f<br>104 |
| 4.31 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to V of the Hagan Model at Endpoint                             | 104      |
| 4.32 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to V of the Hagan Model at Endpoint    | 105      |
| 4.33 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of the SABR Model at Endpoint                              | 107      |
| 4.34 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to S of<br>the SABR Model at Endpoint  | f<br>108 |
| 4.35 | Euler and Milstein Approximations to V of the SABR Model at Endpoint                              | 108      |
| 4.36 | Percentage Errors of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to V of the SABR Model at Endpoint     | 109      |
| 4.37 | Euler (Milstein) Approximation and Percentage Errors for S of the Stein Model at Endpoint         | 111      |
| 4.38 | Euler (Milstein) Approximation and Percentage Errors for V of the<br>Stein Model at Endpoint      | 112      |
| 4.39 | Euler (Milstein) Approximation and Percentage Errors for S of the<br>Scott Model at Endpoint      | 115      |
| 4.40 | Euler (Milstein) Approximation and Percentage Errors for V of the<br>Scott Model at Endpoint      | 115      |
| 4.41 | Guidelines on the Choice of the Discretization Interval for Univariate<br>Diffusion Models        |          |

xii

# List of Figures

| 3.1  | Daily Observations and Changes of S&P 500 $$   | 24 |
|------|--|----|
| 3.2  | Daily Observations and Changes of VIX  | 25 |
| 3.3  | Regime-Switching Probabilities of the Model H-R2TVTP   | 35 |
| 3.4  | Regime-Switching Probabilities of the Model H-R2TVTP with S&P 500 and its First Difference           | 36 |
| 3.5  | Regime-Switching Probabilities of the Model H-R2TVTP with VIX and its First Difference               | 37 |
| 3.6  | Conditional Transition Density Functions for the Model H-R1 and H-R2TVTP                             | 40 |
| 3.7  | Conditional Transition Density Functions and 95% Condidence<br>Bands for the Model H-R1 and H-R2TVTP | 41 |
| 3.8  | Regime-Switching Probabilities of the Model G-R2TVTP   | 44 |
| 3.9  | Regime-Switching Probabilities of the Model G-R2TVTP with S&P 500 and its First Difference           | 45 |
| 3.10 | Regime-Switching Probabilities of the Model G-R2TVTP with VIX and its First Difference               | 46 |
| 3.11 | Conditional Transition Density Functions and 95% Condidence<br>Bands for the Model G-R1 and G-R2TVTP | 46 |
| 3.12 | Conditional Transition Density Functions for the Model G-R1 and G-R2TVTP                             | 47 |
| 3.13 | Regime-Switching Probabilities of the Model C-R2TVTP   | 49 |
| 3.14 | Regime-Switching Probabilities of the Model C-R2TVTP with S&P 500 and its First Difference           | 50 |
| 3.15 | Regime-Switching Probabilities of the Model C-R2TVTP with VIX and its First Difference               | 51 |

| 3.16 | Conditional Transition Density Functions for the Model C-R1 and<br>C-R2TVTP                          | 52 |
|------|--|----|
| 3.17 | Conditional Transition Density Functions and 95% Condidence<br>Bands for the Model C-R1 and C-R2TVTP | 53 |
| 4.1  | The Euler and Milstein Approximations against the Explicit<br>Solution to the BSM Model              | 63 |
| 4.2  | Convergence Speed of the Euler and Milstein Approximations for<br>the BSM Model at Endpoint          | 66 |
| 4.3  | Strong Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the BSM Model                      | 67 |
| 4.4  | Weak Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to the<br>BSM Model                        | 67 |
| 4.5  | Convergence Speed of the Euler (Milstein) Approximation for the<br>Vasicek Model at Endpoint         | 70 |
| 4.6  | Strong Convergence of the Euler (Milstein) Approximation to the Vasicek Model                        | 71 |
| 4.7  | Weak Convergence of the Euler (Milstein) Approximation to the<br>Vasicek Model                       | 71 |
| 4.8  | Convergence Speed of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the CIR Model at Endpoint           | 74 |
| 4.9  | Strong Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the CIR Model                      | 74 |
| 4.10 | Weak Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to the CIR Model                           | 75 |
| 4.11 | Convergence Speed of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the IFSR Model at Endpoint          | 77 |
| 4.12 | Strong Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the IFSR Model                     | 78 |
| 4.13 | Weak Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to the<br>IFSR Model                       | 78 |

| 4.14 | Convergence Speed of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the LDCEV Model at Endpoint  | 80 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.15 | Strong Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the LDCEV Model             | 80 |
| 4.16 | Weak Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to the<br>LDCEV Model               | 81 |
| 4.17 | Convergence Speed of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the NMR Model at Endpoint    | 84 |
| 4.18 | Strong Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the NMR Model               | 84 |
| 4.19 | Weak Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to the NMR Model                    | 85 |
| 4.20 | Convergence Speed of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the Heston Model at Endpoint | 86 |
| 4.21 | Strong Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the Heston Model            | 88 |
| 4.22 | Weak Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to the<br>Heston Model              | 89 |
| 4.23 | Convergence Speed of Euler and Milstein Approximations to the<br>GARCH Model at Endpoint      | 91 |
| 4.24 | Strong Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the GARCH Model             | 92 |
| 4.25 | Weak Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to the<br>GARCH Model               | 93 |
| 4.26 | Convergence Speed of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the CEV Model at Endpoint    | 95 |
| 4.27 | Strong Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to<br>the CEV Model               | 96 |
| 4.28 | Weak Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to the<br>CEV Model                 | 97 |

| 4.29 | Convergence Speed of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to   |     |
|------|---|-----|
|      | the HW Model at Endpoint  | 99  |
| 4.30 | Strong Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to  | )   |
|      | the HW Model  | 100 |
| 4.31 | Weak Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to t  | he  |
|      | HW Model  | 101 |
| 4.32 | Convergence Speed of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to   |     |
|      | the Hagan Model at Endpoint                                     | 103 |
| 4.33 | Strong Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to  | )   |
|      | the Hagan Model   | 104 |
| 4.34 | Weak Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to t  | he  |
|      | Hagan Model   | 105 |
| 4.35 | Convergence Speed of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to   |     |
|      | the SABR Model at Endpoint                                      | 107 |
| 4.36 | Strong Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to  | )   |
|      | the SABR Model  | 108 |
| 4.37 | Weak Convergence of the Euler and Milstein Approximations to t  | he  |
|      | SABR Model  | 109 |
| 4.38 | Convergence Speed of Euler (Milstein) Approximation to the Stei | in  |
|      | Model at Endpoint   | 111 |
| 4.39 | Strong Convergence of the Euler (Milstein) Approximation to the | 9   |
|      | Stein Model   | 112 |
| 4.40 | Weak Convergence of the Euler (Milstein) Approximation to the   |     |
|      | Stein Model   | 112 |
| 4.41 | Convergence Speed of the Euler (Milstein) Approximation to the  |     |
|      | Scott Model at Endpoint   | 114 |
| 4.42 | Strong Convergence of the Euler (Milstein) Approximation to the | Э   |
|      | Scott Model   | 115 |
| 4.43 | Weak Convergence of the Euler (Milstein) Approximation to the   |     |
|      | Scott Model   | 116 |