
Mapping, Modelling and Remote Sensing Buffel
grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) Infestations in Arid
Australia

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

May 2013

VICTORIA MARSHALL

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences

The University of Adelaide



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

DECLARATION

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder (s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Victoria Marshall

October 2013

ABSTRACT

Invasive plants pose a serious threat to ecological, environmental and cultural values of infested regions and can be costly to control. Grass invasions are particularly concerning because they can alter wildfire regimes and change ecosystem function and structure at a global scale. Mapping, monitoring, and understanding invasive species ecology sufficiently to identify habitats prone to invasion are important for containment of the invasive plant. To this effect, remote sensing and spatial information science can be useful.

In arid and semi-arid rangelands worldwide African perennial Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris* L.) has been introduced to improve pasture. However, it has become contentious because it can rapidly invade and transform non-target landscapes. Most research into Buffel grass relates to its agricultural uses, and little is known about the invasive ecology of the species. There is a need to consolidate existing knowledge, as well as map the current distribution, model potential distribution and improve efficiency in the detection of new infestations in remote landscapes. This research addresses these needs by developing and applying techniques from the spatial sciences to map and model Buffel grass distribution in remote, arid Australia.

For controversial invasive species like Buffel grass, awareness about the ecological dangers of allowing spread to continue unchecked is important. Here, a new, comprehensive review is presented of the ecology, distribution and biodiversity impacts of Buffel grass when behaving as an invasive species. Importantly, this review also lays foundations for research into localised habitat requirements, setting the scene for all subsequent components of this research. The review reveals that temperature is a primary limitation to distribution at a global scale, soil texture may be a significant habitat parameter at localised scales and disturbance is required for seedling emergence. It is strongly suspected that Buffel grass fuelled fires are responsible for declining numbers of characteristic arid plants, the Saguaro Cactus (Arizona, USA) and the River Red Gum (Australia), and worldwide, arid landscapes stand out as requiring urgent control.

The distribution of Buffel grass in invaded landscapes in arid southern Australia is not explicitly known. Over 3100 km of South Australian roads were surveyed to document current Buffel grass distribution in collaborative work with government. The

grass was found to be wide ranging along major highways, but was mostly only sparsely distributed.

Empirical modelling of species' distribution helps identify local environments that may be prone to invasion, and is becoming an increasingly important step in effective management planning. Buffel grass roadside survey data were used in an exploratory regression analysis to identify environmental parameters of the species' distribution across regional South Australia. Roadside populations were recorded separately from populations away from the road on adjacent land and considered as separate dependant variables for predictive modelling. The models return strong results and on the basis of these we make management recommendations that containment of propagules along roadsides will be the most important factor in preventing spread and that where roads intersect drainage lines should be focal points for monitoring.

Remote sensing presents as an ideal mode for mapping and monitoring invasion as it affords a landscape scale view and can be cost effective compared with laborious field work. However, it is challenging to implement because of the overall similarity of the spectra of different grasses and variability of Buffel grass stands, and photosynthetic status within stands over space and time. In this thesis, Buffel grass discrimination is trialled using high spatial resolution satellite imagery and aerial photography. Multispectral (eight-band) satellite imagery (2 m GSD) namely, Worldview-2 was found to effectively map dense infestations, but for early detection of emerging infestations, it is shown that aerial imagery spatial resolution no coarser than 5-6 cm GSD is required.

Presented in this thesis are tools needed to assess, monitor, predict and ultimately mitigate Buffel grass spread in arid Australia, including maps of present distribution, techniques for mapping and monitoring invasion over time, and an understanding of the species ecology as an invader to predict regions vulnerable to infestation. The methodology for roadside survey which makes the data more applicable to landscape-wide predictive habitat modelling could be adopted for any species where roads are considered a vector for spread. The research has important implications for Buffel grass management in regional arid Australia, and also for understanding the exotic distribution of Buffel grass worldwide. For detection of emerging Buffel grass infestations at a regional scale, aerial survey is recommended. Use of satellite imagery for monitoring of larger infestations is one area for future research.

PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS THESIS

Refereed

Marshall, V.M., Lewis, M., Ostendorf, B. (2012) “Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) as an invader and threat to biodiversity in arid environments: A review” Journal of Arid Environments, 78, 1-12

Marshall, V.M., Lewis, M., Ostendorf, B., Tuke, J. (2013) “Buffel grass roadside survey and invasive species distribution modelling” Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, (undergoing revision)

Marshall, V.M., Lewis, M., Ostendorf, B. (2013) “Detecting new Buffel grass infestations in Australian arid lands: evaluation of methods using high-resolution multispectral imagery and aerial photography” Environmental Monitoring and Assessment (Accepted: 30 September 2013, *In press*)

Non-refereed

Shepherd, B., **Marshall, V.M.** (2010) “Buffel grass roadside survey 2010” Dept. Of Water Land and Biodiversity, Rural Solutions SA, Adelaide

Marshall, V.M., Lewis, M.M., Ostendorf, B. (2012) “Remote sensing for the surveillance of Buffel grass in central Australia” SA Weeds Conference, Waite Institute, Adelaide (http://www.wmssa.org.au/documents/marshall_saweeds12.pdf)

Marshall, V. M., Lewis, M. & Ostendorf, B. (2012) “Do additional bands (Coastal, NIR-2, Red-edge, and Yellow) in Worldview-2 multispectral imagery improve discrimination of an invasive tussock, Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*)?” In: XXII International Society of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing Conference, August 25–September 1 Melbourne, Australia

STATEMENTS OF AUTHORSHIP

CHAPTER 2

Statement of Authorship

Title of Paper	Buffel grass (<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>) as an invader and threat to biodiversity in arid environments: A review
Publication Status	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Published <input type="radio"/> Accepted for Publication <input type="radio"/> Submitted for Publication <input type="radio"/> Publication Style
Publication Details	Marshall, V. M., Lewis, M.M., Ostendorf, B. (2012). "Buffel grass (<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>) as an invader and threat to biodiversity in arid environments: A review." <i>Journal of Arid Environments</i> 78: 1-12

Author Contributions

By signing the Statement of Authorship, each author certifies that their stated contribution to the publication is accurate and that permission is granted for the publication to be included in the candidate's thesis.

Name of Principal Author (Candidate)	Victoria Marshall		
Contribution to the Paper	Development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research, wrote manuscript, corresponding author		
Overall percentage (%)	85		
Signature		Date	

Name of Co-Author	Megan Lewis		
Contribution to the Paper	Supervised development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and evaluated manuscript		
Overall percentage (%)	10		
Signature		Date	

Name of Co-Author	Bertram Ostendorf		
Contribution to the Paper	Supervised development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and evaluated manuscript		
Overall percentage (%)	5		
Signature		Date	

Please cut and paste additional co-author panels here.

CHAPTER 3

Statement of Authorship

Title of Paper	Buffel grass roadside survey and invasive species distribution modelling
Publication Status	<input type="radio"/> Published <input type="radio"/> Accepted for Publication <input checked="" type="radio"/> Submitted for Publication <input type="radio"/> Publication Style
Publication Details	Marshall, V., Lewis, M., Ostendorf, B., Tuke, J. (2013) "Buffel grass roadside survey and invasive species distribution modelling" Journal for Invasive Plant Science and Management, (re-submitted, under review, April 2013)

Author Contributions

By signing the Statement of Authorship, each author certifies that their stated contribution to the publication is accurate and that permission is granted for the publication to be included in the candidate's thesis.

Name of Principal Author (Candidate)	Victoria Marshall		
Contribution to the Paper	Development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and analysis, wrote manuscript, corresponding author		
Overall percentage (%)	80		
Signature		Date	

Name of Co-Author	Megan Lewis		
Contribution to the Paper	Supervised development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and analysis and evaluated manuscript		
Overall percentage (%)	8		
Signature		Date	

Name of Co-Author	Bertram Ostendorf		
Contribution to the Paper	Supervised development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and analysis and evaluated manuscript		
Overall percentage (%)	8		
Signature		Date	

Name of Co-Author	Jonathan Tuke		
Contribution to the Paper	Supervised statistical analysis, evaluated manuscript		
Overall percentage (%)	4		
Signature		Date	

CHAPTER 4

Statement of Authorship

Title of Paper	WorldView-2 for detection of Buffel grass (<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>) infestations in central Australia
Publication Status	<input type="radio"/> Published <input type="radio"/> Accepted for Publication <input type="radio"/> Submitted for Publication <input checked="" type="radio"/> Publication Style
Publication Details	Marshall, V., Lewis, M., Ostendorf, B., (2012) WorldView-2 for detection of Buffel grass (<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>) infestations in central Australia

Author Contributions

By signing the Statement of Authorship, each author certifies that their stated contribution to the publication is accurate and that permission is granted for the publication to be included in the candidate's thesis.

Name of Principal Author (Candidate)	Victoria Marshall		
Contribution to the Paper	Development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and analysis, wrote manuscript, corresponding author		
Overall percentage (%)	85		
Signature		Date	

Name of Co-Author	Megan Lewis		
Contribution to the Paper	Supervised development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and analysis and evaluated manuscript		
Overall percentage (%)	10		
Signature		Date	

Name of Co-Author	Bertram Ostendorf		
Contribution to the Paper	Supervised development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and analysis and evaluated manuscript		
Overall percentage (%)	5		
Signature		Date	

CHAPTER 5

Statement of Authorship

Title of Paper	Detecting new Buffel grass infestations in Australian arid lands: evaluation of methods using large-scale aerial imagery
Publication Status	<input type="radio"/> Published <input type="radio"/> Accepted for Publication <input checked="" type="radio"/> Submitted for Publication <input type="radio"/> Publication Style
Publication Details	Marshall, V., Lewis, M., Ostendorf, B. (2013) "Detecting new Buffel grass infestations in Australian arid lands: evaluation of methods using large-scale aerial imagery" Environmental Monitoring and Assessment (submitted March 2013)

Author Contributions

By signing the Statement of Authorship, each author certifies that their stated contribution to the publication is accurate and that permission is granted for the publication to be included in the candidate's thesis.

Name of Principal Author (Candidate)	Victoria Marshall		
Contribution to the Paper	Development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and analysis, wrote manuscript, corresponding author		
Overall percentage (%)	85		
Signature		Date	

Name of Co-Author	Megan Lewis		
Contribution to the Paper	Supervised development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and analysis and evaluated manuscript		
Overall percentage (%)	10		
Signature		Date	

Name of Co-Author	Bertram Ostendorf		
Contribution to the Paper	Supervised development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and analysis and evaluated manuscript		
Overall percentage (%)	5		
Signature		Date	

APPENDIX ONE

Statement of Authorship

Title of Paper	Buffel grass roadside survey 2010
Publication Status	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Published <input type="radio"/> Accepted for Publication <input type="radio"/> Submitted for Publication <input type="radio"/> Publication Style
Publication Details	Shepherd, B., Marshall, V. (2010) "Buffel grass roadside survey 2010" Dept. Of Water Land and Biodiversity, Rural Solutions SA

Author Contributions

By signing the Statement of Authorship, each author certifies that their stated contribution to the publication is accurate and that permission is granted for the publication to be included in the candidate's thesis.

Name of Principal Author (Candidate)	Victoria Marshall		
Contribution to the Paper	Development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and analysis		
Overall percentage (%)	10		
Signature		Date	

Name of Co-Author	Ben Shepherd		
Contribution to the Paper	Development, conceptualisation and realisation of the research and reporting		
Overall percentage (%)	90		
Signature		Date	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foremost, I would like to express thanks to my supervisor Associate Professor Megan Lewis and co-supervisor Associate Professor Bertram Ostendorf for guidance, encouragement, critique and support throughout. I would also like to include them in my thanks to The University of Adelaide Spatial Information Group 2009-2013 for all the laughs and interesting conversation. Extra thanks to those who joined me on the journey from start to finish: Erika Lawley, Adam Kilpatrick, Ken Clarke, Ramesh Raj Segaran, Greg Lyle, Davina White and Dorothy Turner.

Many individuals contributed to this body of research with shared knowledge and support, I particularly wish to acknowledge Peter Latz, Jonathan Tuke and collaborators on the 2010 Roadside Survey and Buffel grass workshops for their contributions. Research conducted in the Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara (APY) lands was dependant on cooperation with Aboriginal Elders, community members and APY and Alinytjara Wilurara NRM staff; I would like to express thanks to those involved for encouragement and support of the project. Thanks to Erika Lawley for great company in the field and superb plant knowledge.

Three months (September – December 2012) of my candidature were spent at The University of Arizona, and I would like to recognise the Centre for Arid Lands Remote Sensing (ALRS) for hosting me throughout this collaborative visit; I felt like a member of the team. Particular thanks to Stephen Yool for facilitating this visit. Thanks also to Aaryn Olsson, University of Northern Arizona and Kelly Lyons, Trinity University for hosting me at their respective institutions and supporting research collaborations.

I would like to acknowledge that this research was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award, as well as a supplementary scholarship and project funding from the Alinytjara Wilurara Natural Resources Management Board.

Lastly, thank you to my family and partner, Daniel Harding for all the love and support throughout: Impossible without you.

For Mum

CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
ABSTRACT	iii
PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS THESIS	v
STATEMENTS OF AUTHORSHIP	vii
CHAPTER 2.....	vii
CHAPTER 3.....	ix
CHAPTER 4.....	xi
CHAPTER 5.....	xiii
APPENDIX ONE	xv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xvii
LIST OF TABLES	xxv
LIST OF FIGURES	xxvi
<u>CHAPTER ONE. Introduction</u>	3
1.0 INTRODUCTION	3
1.0.1 Research objectives	9
1.0.2 Study context	10
1.0.3 Thesis structure.....	11
<u>CHAPTER TWO. Buffel grass (<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>) as an invader and threat to biodiversity in arid environments: A review</u>	15
Abstract.....	17
2.0 INTRODUCTION	18
2.0.1 The Controversy	18
2.1 ORIGIN AND EXOTIC DISTRIBUTION	19
2.1.1 History of intercontinental dispersal	20
2.2 NOMENCLATURE AND MORPHOLOGY	22
2.3 ESTABLISHMENT AND GROWTH	27
2.4 ADAPTATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS IN ARID ECOSYSTEMS	28

2.4.1 Climate	32
2.4.2 Edaphic characteristics	32
2.4.3 Topography, land systems & vegetation associations	34
2.4.4 Fire and other disturbances	35
2.5 IMPACTS ON ECOSYSTEM FUNCTION AND BIODIVERSITY	36
2.5.1 Is Buffel grass a true “invader”?	40
2.6 MANAGEMENT	41
2.7 CONCLUSIONS	42
2.8 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	43
<u>CHAPTER THREE. Buffel grass roadside survey and invasive species distribution modelling</u>	45
Abstract.....	47
3.0 INTRODUCTION	48
3.0.1 Buffel grass and its exotic distribution: Australia	50
3.1 METHODS & MATERIALS	52
3.1.1 Study area	52
3.1.2 Roadside survey.....	53
3.1.3 Re-sampling the roadside survey data	55
3.1.4 Environmental covariates	56
3.1.5 Regression analyses.....	59
3.2 RESULTS	60
3.2.1 Current distribution	60
3.2.2 Models 1-3: inputs, contributions and overall performance.....	63
3.2.3 Model 4.....	69
3.3 DISCUSSION.....	69
3.3.1 Survey and its limitations	69
3.3.2 Propagule pressure and environmental indicators	70
3.3.3 Management Implications	73
3.4 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	73

CHAPTER FOUR. Investigating multispectral imagery for detection of Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) infestations in central Australia 75

4.0 INTRODUCTION..... 77

4.1 METHODS & MATERIALS 78

 4.1.1 Focal Species: Buffel grass 78

 4.1.2 Study area 79

 4.1.3 Imagery..... 80

 4.1.4 Analysis 81

4.2 RESULTS 82

 4.2.1 Vegetation discrimination 82

 4.2.2 Spectral separability 86

 4.2.3 Image classification 88

4.3 DISCUSSION..... 90

CHAPTER FIVE. Detecting new Buffel grass infestations in Australian arid lands: evaluation of methods using high-resolution multispectral imagery and aerial photography 93

Abstract..... 95

5.0 INTRODUCTION..... 96

5.1 METHODS & MATERIALS 98

 5.1.1 Focal Species: Buffel grass (*C. ciliaris* L. *P. ciliare*)..... 98

 5.1.2 Study area 99

 5.1.3 Imagery..... 100

 5.1.4 Ground Validation Sites 102

 5.1.5 Aerial photography image classification 104

 5.1.6 Four-band imagery classification 105

 5.1.7 Comparing classifications 106

5.2 RESULTS 106

 5.2.1 Buffel grass in the landscape 106

 5.2.2 The imagery 108

 5.2.3 Comparing projected cover estimates 109

5.3 DISCUSSION..... 113

5.3.1 Buffel grass in Kalka-Pipalyatjara.....	113
5.3.2 The imagery.....	114
5.3.3 Classification approaches	114
5.4 CONCLUSIONS	116
5.5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	117
<u>CHAPTER SIX. Conclusions</u>	119
6.0 INTRODUCTION.....	121
6.1 MAJOR RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS	122
6.1.1 A new summary of the global ecology, distribution and biodiversity impacts of Buffel grass when behaving as an invasive species	122
6.1.2 New maps of the current distribution of Buffel grass along roadsides in regional South Australia.....	123
6.1.3 A new approach to roadside survey that makes the data more relevant to species distribution modelling beyond the road effect zone	124
6.1.4 A species distribution model for Buffel grass in regional South Australia...	125
6.1.5 Techniques for remote sensing of Buffel grass in arid landscapes	126
6.1.6 New documentation of Buffel grass density across the Kalka-Pipalyatjara region of South Australia.....	128
6.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS AND RESEARCH	129
6.2 CONCLUSIONS	131
<u>CHAPTER SEVEN. References</u>	133
<u>APPENDIX ONE. 2010 Buffel grass roadside survey</u>	149

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Buffel grass synonyms	23
Table 2 Approximate range of dimensions of Buffel grass morphology as described in the literature. ..	23
Table 3 The provenance, exotic distribution, conditions for growth, and characteristic traits of some commonly sown <i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i> cultivars (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2011)	25
Table 4 Summary of the landscape features that may influence Buffel grass distribution.....	30
Table 5 Flora and fauna impacted by the introduction of Buffel grass to their native habitats	38
Table 6 Source and specifications of environmental covariate datasets regressed against Buffel grass presence-absence	57
Table 7 The length of road (Kilometres) categorised as Buffel grass presence or absence, and at what density (high, medium, low or sparse) for both the roadside (rows) and adjacent land (columns), Buffel grass roadside survey, regional South Australia, Shepherd et al. (2010).	61
Table 8 Observations (point data) of Buffel grass presence and absence for roadside and adjacent land, Buffel grass roadside survey, regional South Australia, Shepherd et al. (2010)	62
Table 9 Adjacent land Buffel grass presence or absence observations associated with a drainage lines, Buffel grass roadside survey for regional South Australia, (Shepherd and Marshall, 2010)	62
Table 10 Overall model performance and contribution of environmental covariates for Model 1 (Roadside), Model 2 (Adjacent land) and Model 3 (Adjacent land + roadside propagule pressure)	65
Table 11 Spectral bands of WorldView-2 imagery	77
Table 12 Class predictions from the linear discriminant analysis using four (red, blue, green, NIR1) and 8 bands of the WorldView-2 imagery to discriminate between Buffel grass from various other vegetation types. Correct classifications are presented in green, misclassifications are presented in red.	86
Table 13 Contribution of each spectral band to linear discriminators (LD) 1, 2 and 3 for LD analysis using four (red, blue, green, NIR1) and 8 bands of the WorldView-2 imagery to discriminate between Buffel grass from various other vegetation types. Contributions typed in bold are the two largest contributors for each LD.	87
Table 14 Accuracy assessment of Buffel grass mapping comparing on ground data to WorldView-2 image classification of Buffel grass presence and absence.....	89

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 The native and exotic distribution of Buffel grass to state or country level compiled from published and internet sources. Buffel grass is native (green) to Afghanistan, Angola, Botswana, Canary Islands , Egypt, Ethiopia, Ghana, India, Indonesia, Iran, Iraq, Israel, Italy, Jordan, Kenya, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Mali, Madagascar, Mozambique, Namibia, Nigeria, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Spain, Sudan, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, and Zimbabwe (Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum Staff and Volunteers, 2008). The species is exotic (red) in Australia, the United States (incl. Hawaii (1932), Arizona (1946), California, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, New Mexico New York and Texas (1940s), Virgin Islands, and Oklahoma), and parts of central and south America including Mexico (Centre for Arid Zone Research, 2001; United States Department of Agriculture, 2010). Buffel grass is present, but may be native or introduced (yellow), in Central African Republic, Mauritania, Niger, Oman, Somalia, Yemen and Zaire. Buffel grass is shown as “Absent” (beige) where there is a lack of data confirming its presence and thus does not truly represent absence of the species. 20

Figure 2 Study area and survey route for 2010 Buffel grass roadside survey (Shepherd et al. 2010) conducted over arid and semi-arid parts of South Australia, and excluding the Stuart Highway which had been previously mapped. 52

Figure 3 Representative landscapes of the study area including low chenopod shrubland the road between Port Augusta and Glendambo (A), braided creek line on the road between Glendambo and Coober Pedy (B), stony plains on the road from Coober Pedy to Oodnadatta (C, D)) 53

Figure 4 Buffel grass growing on the verge of the Stuart Highway, South Australia. Image demonstrates the concept of a “roadside” and “adjacent land” for roadside survey data collection. In this example records would be: adjacent land = absent, roadside = present, moderate density. Adjacent land vegetation expands out from the road: a view from the road outward would look similar to Figure 3, Panel A. 55

Figure 5 Cluster dendrogram of numerical environmental covariates considered for inclusion in Buffel grass distribution models. From correlated clusters containing multiple variables (Clusters C, F and G), the variable most correlated to presence-absence (separate analysis) was chosen for modelling. Those selected for inclusion were humidity (C), mean minimum temperature in summer (F), and mean minimum temperature in spring (G). 58

Figure 6 Distribution of Buffel grass recorded by Buffel grass roadside survey, 2010, South Australia (Shepherd et al. 2010): displayed are Buffel grass density at the immediate roadside and the distance that Buffel grass has spread from the road onto the adjacent land. Spread beyond 50 metres from the roadside is shown as “Widespread” and from Oodnadatta to about 100 km south along the Oodnadatta-Marree road (Figure 6) and along drainage lines including Wooltana Creek, Arkaroola Creek, Frome River and Mt Lyndhurst Creek, all located along the eastern edge of the study site. 61

Figure 7 Effects plots of Roadside Buffel grass presence-absence relative to environmental covariates, as predicted by Model 1	66
Figure 8 Effects plots of adjacent land Buffel grass presence-absence relative to environmental covariates, as predicted by Model 3	67
Figure 9 Normalised frequency distribution of Buffel grass density categories (high, red; moderate, orange; low, yellow; sparse, green) and absence (blue) in the natural zone relative to distance to water (metres), displayed on a logarithmic scale.	68
Figure 10 (Left) Juvenile plant growing in creek line; (Right) mature plant growing at roadside (Photographs by Victoria Marshall, northern South Australia).....	79
Figure 11 Study site 1km west of Alice Springs in central Australia. WorldView-2 imagery displayed in true colour covering the total extent of the study site. Ground validation sites are marked (black squares).	80
Figure 12 NDVI of WorldView-2 imagery utilising the NIR-2 and Red bands (Left); NDVI values >0.3 (yellow) representative of photosynthetic vegetation in the landscape (Right)	83
Figure 13 The first three principal components for the WorldView-2 image. Eigenvector values for each PC are presented below the associated image.	84
Figure 14 Five to ten spectral signatures were collected from the WorldView-2 scene for each cover class (Lush Buffel grass, Tree, Mulga, Burnt Buffel grass, Grazed Buffel grass and Natives); the minimum, mean and maximum spectra for each cover class are graphed. For display purposes, each spectral group is off-set by increments of a thousand.	85
Figure 15 Matched filtering score/ Infeasibility score representing similarity to Buffel grass reference spectra. Values >0.06 (yellow) represent the best classification of Buffel grass (Right).....	88
Figure 16 MTMF-based classification of Buffel grass (Yellow) on WorldView-2 imagery (Centre image). Enlargements A and B highlight regions of under and over classification of Buffel grass. The enlargements are also shown in Pseudo-true colour to aid interpretation.	89
Figure 17 Study site and flight path design. Left: flight paths. Right: field sample sites, terrain contours in which the light aircraft was navigating, and the image scenes of high quality which overlapped our field sites. These maps were prepared in the Geocentric Datum of Australia 1994.	100
Figure 18 Coverage of Four-band Spec Terra imagery for aerial survey of Buffel grass in the Kalka – Pipalyatjara homelands of central Australia. Three Spec Terra panels were acquired in the west, east and south of the study area. These panels were designed to overlap the flight path for aerial photography collection and represent the varied landscape. The Spec Terra data are presented with a natural-colour display. The underlying image on all three maps is ALOS 2.5 m GSD pan-sharpened imagery, 2005-2007 composite, natural colour display. These maps are prepared in the Geocentric Datum of Australia 1994.	102
Figure 19 Buffel grass as observed in the field at low 0-25% (A), low –moderate 25-55% (B), moderate – high 55-85% (C) and high >85% (D) projected cover. The dominant grasses in panel A are <i>Aristida</i> sp.....	103

Figure 20 Buffel grass as observed in the field at low 0-25% (A), low –moderate 25-55% (B), moderate – high 55-85% (C) and high >85% (D) projected cover. The dominant grasses in panel A are *Aristida* sp. 105

Figure 21 Examples of the dominant landscapes within our study area. Buffel grass dominated alluvial plain (A); mature Buffel grass tussock on alluvial plain, with bare soil surrounding it (B); Spinifex dominated hill slope (C); Spinifex dominated calcareous rubble plain (D); Enneapogon intermixed with Buffel grass on ridge top (E); Buffel grass dominated drainage line (F). Photographs captured February 2012. 107

Figure 22 Projected cover and composition of 54 field sites in the Kalka-Pipalyatjara region of far north-west South Australia. Cover types were categorically recorded as “Buffel grass”, “soil”, “woody vegetation”, “herbs and forbs” and “other grasses”. Projected cover was categorically recorded for each cover type as “0-25%”, “25-55%”, “55-85%” and “>85%”. This graph shows the proportion of projected cover represented by each cover type for each field site. 108

Figure 23 Buffel grass projected cover (yellow) as estimated in the field and on the imagery using visual cover ranking, manual digitisation of plants, unsupervised classification, and NDVI thresholding, for three selected sites (field sites: 30, 75 and 6). Columns 1-3 contain the colour aerial photography (~5cm GSD), and display estimates based on this imagery. Column 4 contains the four-band multispectral imagery (25 cm GSD), natural colour display, and the cover estimates based NDVI threshold. Field / Image estimate scores represent cover as “absence” (0%) = 0, “low” (0-25%) =1, “moderate-low” (25-55%) = 2, “moderate-high” (55-85%) = 3 and “high” (>85%) = 4. 111

Figure 24 Relationship between each method for estimating Buffel grass projected cover on the imagery (visual cover classes, manual digitising and unsupervised classification and NDVI threshold) and relative to field-based estimates. The strength of those relationships is represented by Pearson’s *r*-squared, presented on each graph. Projected cover ranking 0-4 represent “absence” (0%), “low” (0-25%), “moderate-low” (25-55%), “moderate-high” (55-85%) and “high” (>85%) cover, respectively. 112