Essays on Economics of School and Residential Choices

by

Sinad Treewanchai

THESIS

Submitted to the University of Adelaide

in partial fulfillment of the

requirement for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Economics

March, 2014

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signature of Author

Abstract

This thesis studies school and residential choices when private schooling is available and attending a public school is free of charge but requires a residence in the school attendance zone. Each of the three chapters focuses on different issues.

The first chapter develops a model of competition between neighbourhood (public) schools and private schools. A model is presented in which a school's quality is determined by the average ability of the student body. Private schools set their own tuition and admission policies to attract particular types of students. All schools are equally effective in providing any given school quality. The theoretical results show that in equilibrium private schools cream skim relatively richer and higher ability students and produce higher school qualities than public schools even though neighbourhood schools also generate segregation among public school students. A policy implication is that price subsidisation to private schooling would intensify the cream-skimming problem in this environment. This is likely to worsen the welfare of students who are left in the public sector as public schools lose relatively higher ability students to the private sector.

The second chapter argues, by developing a simple multiple jurisdiction model, that price subsidisation for private education can be a Pareto improving policy if (i) school quality is measured by levels of educational services, (ii) private education is more costly per unit, (iii) the level of educational services of public schools within a jurisdiction is determined by majority voting of the residents, and (iv) the housing capacities of jurisdictions cannot accommodate perfect segregation among heterogeneous households.

The third chapter studies school and residential choices when there are frictions in housing markets and agents only value school quality during the early stages of their lives. An overlapping-generation model is developed to explain the relocation of agents across frictional housing markets due to different valuation and quality of local amenities such as public schools. There exist steady-state equilibria in which young agents who value school quality and live in a location with a low-quality public school have a potential to move to another location with a better public school. With frictions, some of such young agents who are willing to relocate get stuck in the low-quality school location and obtain relatively low life-time utility. The equilibria exist under sufficiently high differences in public school qualities across locations. For individuals, the benefits of moving into a good school location are not only derived from school quality but also from the resale value of the house once school services are no longer valued. Equilibria exist in which increasing the quality of the low-quality school improves the total welfare but affects agents across locations differently. In addition, when relatively good quality private schools are available, the young house buyers are better off while some old house owners are worse off due to a reduction in the returns from house sales.

Acknowledgments

I am greatly indebted to my supervisor Jacob Wong for his invaluable guidance, continuing encouragement, and countless discussions throughout my PhD study. I am grateful to my supervision panel, Christopher Findlay, Mandar Oak, and Tatyana Chesnokova.

I have benefited immensely from the courses and Ph.D. workshops taught by Fabrice Collard, Ralph Bayer, Duygu Yengin, Nicolas Jacquet, Nadya Baryshnikova and Nicholas Sim. I thank all professional staffs in the School of Economics. In particular, I wish to thank Allison Stokes, Litsa Morris, Sandra Elborough, and Sherry Dzonsons for their heartwarming support.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the program "Strategic Scholarships for Frontier Research Network" of Thailand Commission on Higher Education, the Royal Thai Government. I wish to thank the Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority (ACARA) for the data on schools in South Australia.

Finally, I am very grateful to all of my friends in the School of Economics, especially Kofi Otumawu-Apreku, Hang Wu, and Oscar Pavlov, in Kathleen Lumley College, and back home in Thailand for their companionship and support during the time I spent working on this thesis. I am forevermore indebted to my family for their endless love and moral support.

Dedication

To Mom and Dad

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1		
2	A Model of Competition between Neighbourhood Schools and Pri-				
	vate Schools				
	2.1	Introduction	5		
	2.2	The Model	12		
		2.2.1 Households	12		
		2.2.2 Schooling	13		
		2.2.3 Housing	18		
	2.3	Equilibrium	18		
		2.3.1 Solution to the Private School Problem	19		
		2.3.2 Properties of Equilibrium	20		
	2.4	Discussion	26		
	2.5	Conclusion	29		
	2.6	Appendix	31		
3	A N	Model of Price Subsidisation for Private Education	53		
	3.1	Introduction	53		
	3.2	The Model	59		
		3.2.1 The Second Stage: Educational Choice	62		
		3.2.2 The First Stage: Residential Choice	66		

3.3	Equili	brium	67
	3.3.1	Heterogeneous Residents in the Poor Jurisdiction and Ho-	
		mogenous Residents in the Rich Jurisdiction (Case I) $\ . \ . \ .$	67
	3.3.2	Homogenous Residents in both Jurisdictions (Case II)	68
	3.3.3	Heterogeneous Residents in both Jurisdictions (Case III)	68
	3.3.4	Heterogeneous Residents in the Rich Jurisdiction and Ho-	
		mogenous Residents in the Poor Juris diction (Case IV) $\ . \ .$	69
3.4	The S	ocial Planner's Problem	73
3.5	Price	Subsidisation for Private Education	75
3.6	Simul	ation Exercises	83
3.7	Discus	ssion	89
3.8	Concl	usion	91
\mathbf{Sch}	ool an	d Residential Choices in Frictional Housing Markets	94
4.1	Introd	luction	94
4.2	The N	Iodel	102
4.3	Equili	brium	113
	4.3.1	The Baseline Equilibrium	116
	4.3.2	Computation of the Baseline Equilibrium	123
4.4	Comp	paring Steady States	126
	4.4.1	Changes in U_b^y (Model I)	127
		Changes in U^{y} (Model II)	130
	4.4.2	Changes in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{r}}$ (Model II)	100
	4.4.2 4.4.3	Changes in both U_b^y and U_r^y (Model III)	133
	4.4.24.4.34.4.4	Changes in U_r^y (Model II) $\dots \dots \dots$	133 136
4.5	4.4.24.4.34.4.4Discuss	Changes in both U_b^y and U_r^y (Model III)	133 133 136 138
4.5 4.6	4.4.24.4.34.4.4DiscussConcl	Changes in \mathcal{O}_r^{x} (Model II) $\cdots \cdots \cdots$	133 136 138 140
	 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 Sch 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 	 3.3 Equili 3.3.1 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.3 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.4 3.5 Price 3.6 Simula 3.7 Discus 3.8 Concl 3.8 Concl 3.8 Concl 3.8 Concl 4.1 Introd 4.2 The N 4.3 Equili 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.4 Comp 4.4.1 	 3.3 Equilibrium 3.3.1 Heterogeneous Residents in the Poor Jurisdiction and Homogenous Residents in the Rich Jurisdiction (Case I) 3.3.2 Homogenous Residents in both Jurisdictions (Case II) 3.3.3 Heterogeneous Residents in both Jurisdictions (Case III) 3.3.4 Heterogeneous Residents in the Rich Jurisdiction and Homogenous Residents in the Poor Jurisdiction (Case IV) 3.4 The Social Planner's Problem 3.5 Price Subsidisation for Private Education 3.6 Simulation Exercises 3.7 Discussion 3.8 Conclusion 3.8 Conclusion 3.8 Conclusion 4.1 Introduction 4.3.1 The Baseline Equilibrium 4.4.1 Changes in U^y (Model I) 4.4.1 Changes in U^y (Model I)

Bibliography

List of Tables

2.1	Average Year-7 NAPLAN Scores of Primary Schools in Metropolitan	
	Areas of South Australia	33
3.1	The Laissez-Faire Equilibrium	70
4.1	Meetings among Buyers and Sellers	115
4.2	Tradability of Meetings in the Baseline Model	116
4.3	The Baseline Parameters	125
4.4	The Baseline Equilibrium	126

List of Figures

2.1	An Example of a Rent Distribution of Imperfect Housing Segregation	26
2.2	Average Scale Scores and Achievement-Level Results by Type of	
	School, Grade 8: 2003, U.S	32
2.3	Average Year-7 NAPLAN Scores and ICSEAs of Primary Schools in	
	Metropolitan Areas of South Australia	34
2.4	Average Year-7 NAPLAN Scores and ICSEAs by Type of School $% \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{S}}$.	34
2.5	Percentage Distribution of Students Who Participated in Reading	
	Assessment, by Student-Reported Parents' Highest Level of Educa-	
	tion and Type of School, Grade 8: 2003, U.S.	36
2.6	Average Scale Scores and Achievement-Level Results in Reading, by	
	Student-Reported Parents' Highest Level of Education and Type of	
	School, Grade 8: 2003, U.S	36
2.7	SBI in the Public Sector	40
2.8	SCI	42
2.9	SBI in the Private Sector $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	45
3.1	Non-Single-Peaked Voting Preferences	63
3.2	Heterogeneous Residents in the Poor Jurisdiction and Homogenous	
	Residents in the Rich Jurisdiction	71
3.3	Homogenous Residents in both Jurisdictions	72
3.4	Possibility of Pareto-Improving Price Subsidisation	78

3.5	Full Subsidisation	82
3.6	Partial Subsidisation	82
3.7	CV and TB	84
3.8	s^* for Each ξ when Varying p'	87
3.9	The Effects of Subsidy Rates on Rent Premiums and Tax Burden $% \mathcal{A}$.	88
4.1	The Effects of Increasing U^y_b on Value Functions and Housing Prices	129
4.2	The Effects of Increasing U_b^y on Welfare	129
4.3	The Effects of Increasing U^y_r on Value Functions and Housing Prices	132
4.4	The Effects of Increasing U_r^y on Welfare	132
4.5	The Effects of Increasing U_b^y and U_r^y on Value Functions and Housing	
	Prices	134
4.6	The Effects of Increasing U_b^y and U_r^y on Welfare $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	134
4.7	The Distributions of Agents under Different Values of Housing Ca-	
	pacities	136
4.8	The Effects of Increasing U_b^y and U_r^y on Value Functions and Housing	
	Prices under Different Values of Housing Capacities	137
4.9	The Effects of Increasing U_b^y and U_r^y on Welfare under Different Val-	
	ues of Housing Capacities	137
4.10	Indeterminacy of Equilibria	139
4.11	The Effects of Increasing U_b^y and U_r^y on the Distribution of Agents .	139