



University of Pisa University of Adelaide

DOCTORAL THESIS

Optimal Space Time Adaptive Processing for Multichannel Inverse Synthetic Aperture Radar Imaging

Supervisors:

Prof. Marco Martorella

Author:

Alessio Bacci Prof. Douglas Gray

Prof. Fabrizio Berizzi

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Dottorato di Ricerca in Telerilevamento Scuola di Dottorato in Ingegneria "Leonardo da Vinci" The School of Electrical & Electronic Engineering SSD ING-INF/03

Declaration of Authorship

I, Alessio Bacci, declare that this thesis titled, 'Optimal Space Time Adaptive Processing for Multichannel Inverse Synthetic Aperture Radar Imaging' and the work presented in it are my own. I confirm that:

- This work was done wholly or mainly while in candidature for a research degree at this University.
- Where any part of this thesis has previously been submitted for a degree or any other qualification at this University or any other institution, this has been clearly stated.
- Where I have consulted the published work of others, this is always clearly attributed.
- Where I have quoted from the work of others, the source is always given. With the exception of such quotations, this thesis is entirely my own work.
- I have acknowledged all main sources of help.
- Where the thesis is based on work done by myself jointly with others, I have made clear exactly what was done by others and what I have contributed myself.

| Signed: | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| Date: | | | |

UNIVERSITY OF PISA UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE

Abstract

Optimal Space Time Adaptive Processing for Multichannel Inverse Synthetic Aperture Radar Imaging

by Alessio Bacci

The thesis deals with the application of ISAR processing to obtain high resolution imaging of non-cooperative moving targets within a SAR scene. The research topic is of great interest nowadays. Modern SAR system can provide high resolution radar images of wide areas with reduced revisiting time. These features make them particularly suited for surveillance application. It is obvious that for these kind of applications the capability of imaging non-cooperative moving targets becomes fundamental. Since conventional SAR processing is unable to focus moving targets because of the lack of knowledge of the target motion a solution based on ISAR processing is proposed. In fact, ISAR systems, do not make any assumption about the target motion, but they exploit it to form the synthetic aperture and to obtain high resolution in the cross-range dimension. Since the ISAR processing must be applied to each target separately a detection step is fundamental. Although this detection step is not a problem when dealing with maritime targets, as the sea clutter return is usually much lower than the target return, it can a be a challenge when dealing with ground target. Multichannel information provided by SAR systems with multiple receivers can be exploited to mitigate the return of the static scene. STAP processing is then combined with ISAR technique to produce high resolution images of non-cooperative moving targets after detection within SAR images. The multichannel version of Range Doppler image formation algorithm is derived and analyzed. Then, it is used to define a Space Doppler Adaptive processing to mitigate the strong clutter before the application of ISAR autofocus. Performance are evaluated on simulated data. Results on real data prove the effectiveness of the proposed processing and its applicability on actual systems.

Contents

| De | eclar | ation of Authorship | ii |
|----|--|---|------------------------|
| Al | bstra | ct | iii |
| Li | st of | Figures | ix |
| Li | st of | Tables | $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}$ |
| Al | bbrev | viations | xvii |
| Sy | mbo | ls | xix |
| 1 | Intr 1.1 1.2 | Background and Motivation | 1 1 4 6 |
| 2 | 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 | Introduction | 10 21 23 28 |
| 3 | High ages 3.1 3.2 3.3 | h Resolution Imaging of Non-Cooperative targets in SAR im- Introduction | 43 |

Contents vi

| | 3.4 | Sub-image inversion | 46 |
|---|------|--|------------|
| | | 3.4.1 Inverse $\omega - k$ | 47 |
| | | 3.4.2 Inverse Range Doppler | 47 |
| | 3.5 | ISAR processing | 48 |
| | | 3.5.1 Motion Compensation | 48 |
| | | 3.5.2 Time Window Selection | 50 |
| | | 3.5.3 Image Formation | 50 |
| | | 3.5.4 Cross-Range Scaling | 51 |
| | 3.6 | Experimental results | 53 |
| | | 3.6.1 Cosmo SkyMed Dataset | 53 |
| | | 3.6.2 MetaSensing Dataset | 68 |
| | | 3.6.3 Refocussing Results | 68 |
| | 3.7 | Conclusion | 69 |
| | 3.5 | | |
| 4 | | ving Target Detection Techniques in SAR images | 75 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | |
| | 4.2 | Moving target detection techniques for SAR | |
| | | 4.2.1 Displaced Phase Centre Array | |
| | | 4.2.2 Along Track Interferometry | 78 |
| | | 4.2.3 Time-Frequency analysis | |
| | 4.0 | 4.2.4 Space Time Adaptive Processing (STAP) | 79 |
| | 4.3 | Airborne STAP review | 80 |
| | 4.4 | Conclusion | 86 |
| 5 | Joir | nt STAP ISAR | 87 |
| | 5.1 | Introduction | 87 |
| | 5.2 | Optimum Processing | 87 |
| | 5.3 | Sub-optimum approach | 96 |
| | 5.4 | Sub-optimum approach - post Doppler processing | 98 |
| | 5.5 | Simulation results | 101 |
| | | 5.5.1 Comparison between ideal and realistic case | 103 |
| | | 5.5.2 Sub-optimum approaches | 106 |
| | | 5.5.3 Realistic training | 112 |
| | 5.6 | Conclusion | 114 |
| 6 | Spa | ce Doppler Adaptive Processing | 117 |
| U | 6.1 | Introduction | |
| | 6.2 | Jonit SDAP-ISAR Optimum Processing | |
| | 6.3 | Sub-Optimum approach | |
| | 6.4 | Simulation results | |
| | 0.4 | 6.4.1 Comparison between ideal case and realistic case | |
| | | 6.4.2 Sub-Optimum Approach | |
| | | 6.4.3 Realistic Training | |
| | | 6.4.4 Comparison between STAP-ISAR and SDAP-ISAR | |
| | 6.5 | • | 131 134 |
| | 11.1 | A A D D A D S D D D S D S D S D S D S D | 1.14 |

| Contents | vii |
|----------|-----|
| | |

| 7 | Rea | al data analysis | 135 |
|----|--------|--|-----|
| | 7.1 | Introduction | 135 |
| | 7.2 | Dataset description | 135 |
| | 7.3 | Multichannel Range Doppler image formation | 139 |
| | 7.4 | Clutter suppression and imaging | 145 |
| | | 7.4.1 Case study 1 | 149 |
| | | 7.4.2 Case study 2 | 154 |
| | | 7.4.3 Case study 3 | 168 |
| | 7.5 | Conclusion | 179 |
| 8 | Cor | nclusion | 181 |
| | | | |
| Bi | ibliog | graphy | 183 |

List of Figures

| 2.1 | ISAR Geometry | 11 |
|------|--|----------|
| 2.2 | Spatial frequency domain | 14 |
| 2.3 | Approximated spatial frequency domain | 16 |
| 2.4 | Discrete spatial frequency domain | 19 |
| 2.5 | Approximated discrete spatial frequency domain | 20 |
| 2.6 | Non-ambiguity region | 20 |
| 2.7 | ISAR image formation processing | 21 |
| 2.8 | Bistatic ISAR Geometry | 22 |
| 2.9 | Multichannel ISAR Geometry | 24 |
| 2.10 | | 29 |
| 2.11 | Attenuation factor $d = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ | 30 |
| 2.12 | | 31 |
| 2.13 | $\omega - k$ block diagram | 33 |
| 2.14 | Multichannel SAR geometry | 35 |
| 2.15 | Acquisition scenario | 36 |
| 2.16 | Data cube | 37 |
| 2.17 | Simulator block diagram | 39 |
| 3.1 | Block scheme of the detection and refocusing processor | 45 |
| 3.2 | $\omega-k$ block diagram | 47 |
| 3.3 | Inverse $\omega - k$ block diagram | 47 |
| 3.4 | ISAR image of ship1 obtained by using the whole observation time | |
| | and the IRD (a) and the IOK (b) and SAR image of ship 1 (c) | 58 |
| 3.5 | ISAR image of ship2 obtained by using the whole observation time | |
| | | 59 |
| 3.6 | ISAR image of ship3 obtained by using the whole observation time | |
| | | 60 |
| 3.7 | ISAR image of ship3 obtained by using the whole observation time | |
| | | 61 |
| 3.8 | ISAR image of ship5 obtained by using the whole observation time | |
| 0.0 | | 62 |
| 3.9 | ISAR image sequences obtained by windowing the data (time sub- | |
| | | 00 |
| | | 63 |
| 3.10 | Most focussed ISAR image obtained by applying the IC based Time- | 63 64 |

List of Figures x

| 3.11 | Fully scaled ISAR images obtained by applying the cross-range scal- | |
|-------|---|-------|
| | ing technique and chirp rate estimates - IRD (a), (b) and IOK (c), (d) | . 64 |
| 3.12 | Fully scaled ISAR images obtained by applying the cross-range scal- | |
| | ing technique and chirp rate estimates - IRD (a), (b) and IOK (c), | |
| | (d) | . 65 |
| 3.13 | , 11 , 0 | |
| | ing technique and chirp rate estimates - IRD (a), (b) and IOK (c), (d) | . 66 |
| 3.14 | Fully scaled ISAR images obtained by applying the cross-range scal- | |
| | ing technique and chirp rate estimates - IRD (a), (b) and IOK (c), (d) | . 67 |
| 3.15 | Photo of Cessna 172 | |
| | Original SAR image | |
| | Original SAR image - Zoom on target | |
| | Photo of the imaged area including the non-cooperative target of | |
| | interest | . 71 |
| 3.19 | ISAR image sequence | . 72 |
| | Range/Chirp-rate scatterplot | |
| 3.21 | Range and cross-range scaled ISAR image | . 73 |
| 4.1 | DPCA | |
| 4.2 | Data cube | |
| 4.3 | Principle of space/time filtering | . 85 |
| 5.1 | Acquisition geometry | . 88 |
| 5.2 | Joint STAP-ISAR processing functional block | . 95 |
| 5.3 | Sub Optimum approach | . 96 |
| 5.4 | Covariance matrix approximation | |
| 5.5 | Reduced Doppler Transformation | |
| 5.6 | Target Model | . 102 |
| 5.7 | STAP ideal case (a), realistic case (b) | . 103 |
| 5.8 | STAP ideal case: image without clutter suppression (a), image with clutter suppression (b), without clutter (c) | . 104 |
| 5.9 | STAP real case: image without clutter suppression (a), image with | |
| | clutter suppression (b), refocused after clutter suppression (c), re- | |
| | focused without clutter (d) | . 105 |
| 5.10 | Eigenspectrum of the space-slow time covariance matrix | . 106 |
| 5.11 | STAP sub-optimum before L=100 ISAR processing (a), after ISAR | |
| | processing (b) | . 107 |
| 5.12 | STAP sub-optimum before L=50 ISAR processing (a), after ISAR | |
| | processing (b) | . 107 |
| 5.13 | STAP sub-optimum before L=20 ISAR processing (a), after ISAR | 40- |
| . د پ | processing (b) | . 107 |
| 5.14 | STAP sub-optimum L=10 before ISAR processing (a), after ISAR | 100 |
| | processing (b) | . 108 |

List of Figures xi

| 5.15 | SDR loss with respect the window length L | . 108 |
|------|---|-------|
| 5.16 | Covariance matrix approximation with overlap | . 109 |
| 5.17 | STAP sub-optimum L=10 Overlap=5 before ISAR (a) after ISAR (b) | . 109 |
| 5.18 | STAP sub-optimum L=10 Overlap=9 before ISAR (a) after ISAR (b) | |
| 5.19 | Eigenspectrum of the sub-block space-slow time covariance matrix L=100(a), L=50(b), L=20(c), L=10(d) | |
| 5.20 | STAP sub-optimum post Doppler $L = 100, L_f = 20$ | . 111 |
| | SDR loss with respect L for different value of N_r | |
| 5.22 | SDR loss with respect N_r for the two sub-optimum approaches | . 113 |
| | Eigenspectrum $L = 100, L_f = 20 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$ | |
| | SDR loss with non-homogeneity in the training data in time (a) and space (b) | |
| 6.1 | Optimum SDAP ISAR functional block | . 123 |
| 6.2 | Sub-optimum Optimum SDAP ISAR functional block | . 124 |
| 6.3 | Approximated corss-power spectral matrix | . 124 |
| 6.4 | SDAP ideal case (a), realistic case (b) | . 127 |
| 6.5 | SDAP ideal case: image without clutter suppression (a), image with clutter suppression (b), without clutter (c) | 197 |
| 6.6 | SDAP real case: image without clutter suppression (a), image with clutter suppression (b), refocused after clutter suppression (c), refocused without clutter (d) | |
| 6.7 | SDAP sub-optimum before L=100 ISAR processing (a), after ISAR processing (b) | |
| 6.8 | SDAP sub-optimum before L=50 ISAR processing (a), after ISAR processing (b) | |
| 6.9 | SDAP sub-optimum before L=20 ISAR processing (a), after ISAR processing (b) | |
| 6.10 | SDAP sub-optimum L=10 before ISAR processing (a), after ISAR processing (b) | |
| 6.11 | SDR loss with respect the window length L | |
| | SDR loss with respect L for different value of N_r | |
| 7.1 | TX antenna (a) RX Antennas (b) | |
| 7.2 | Platform set up | |
| 7.3 | Multichannel Range Doppler image results | |
| 7.4 | Range Doppler image results (a) channel 1, (b) channel 2 | . 140 |
| 7.5 | Multichannel Range Doppler image results | |
| 7.6 | baseline reduction | . 141 |
| 7.7 | Multichannel Range Doppler image results $N_d = 7 \dots \dots$ | . 142 |
| 7.8 | Multichannel Range Doppler image results $N_d=12$ | . 142 |
| 7.9 | Multichannel Range Doppler image results $N_d=15$ | . 143 |
| 7.10 | Multichannel Range Doppler image results $N_d = 17 \dots$ | . 143 |

List of Figures xii

| 7.11 | attenuation term with respect N_d | 144 |
|------|---|-----|
| 7.12 | SAR image with region under test (red box) and training range cells (yellow box) | 146 |
| 7 12 | baseline reduction and subsampling | |
| | Doppler mapping | |
| | Virtual Channels | |
| | SAR image (a) and clutter suppressed SAR image (b) | |
| | | |
| | Detail 2: SAR image (a) and Clutter suppressed SAR image (b) Detail 2: SAR image (a) and Clutter suppressed SAR image (b) | |
| | Detail 2: SAR image (a) and Clutter suppressed SAR image (b) Crop 1: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | |
| | | |
| | Crop 2: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | |
| | SAR image obtained processing subsampled data | |
| | SAR image (a) and Clutter suppressed SAR image (b) | 190 |
| 7.23 | Sub-image 1: SAR image (a) Clutter suppressed SAR image (b) | 160 |
| 7.94 | Pseudo ground truth (c) | |
| | | 161 |
| | Sub-region 1 - Crop 2: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 161 |
| | Sub-region 1 - Crop 5: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 161 |
| | Sub-region 1 - Crop 6: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 162 |
| 7.28 | Sub-image 2: SAR image (a) Clutter suppressed SAR image (b) Pseudo ground truth (c) | 163 |
| 7.29 | Sub-region 2 - Crop 1: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 164 |
| | Sub-region 2 - Crop 4: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 164 |
| | Sub-region 2 - Crop 6: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 164 |
| | Sub-image 3: SAR image (a) Clutter suppressed SAR image (b) | 101 |
| | Pseudo ground truth (c) | 165 |
| 7.33 | Sub-region 3 - Crop 4: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 166 |
| | Sub-region 3 - Crop 6: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 166 |
| | Detection results: original SAR image (a) clutter suppressed image | |
| | (b) | 167 |
| 7.36 | SAR image (a) and Clutter suppressed SAR image (b) | 170 |
| 7.37 | Sub-image 1: SAR image (a) Clutter suppressed SAR image (b) | |
| | Pseudo ground truth (c) | 172 |
| 7.38 | Sub-region 1 - Crop 1: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 173 |
| 7.39 | Sub-region 1 - Crop 2: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 173 |
| 7.40 | Sub-region 1 - Crop 5: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 173 |
| 7.41 | Sub-region 1 - Crop 6: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 174 |
| 7.42 | Sub-region 1 - Crop 13: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 174 |
| 7.43 | Sub-region 1 - Crop 14: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 174 |
| 7.44 | Sub-image 2: SAR image (a) Clutter suppressed SAR image (b) | |
| | Pseudo ground truth (c) | 175 |
| 7.45 | Sub-region 2 - Crop 1: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 176 |
| 7.46 | Sub-region 2 - Crop 5: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 176 |
| 7.47 | Sub-region 2 - Crop 6: original SAR image (a) refocussed image (b) | 176 |

List of Figures xiii

| 7.48 | Sub-image 3: SAR image (a) Clutter suppressed SAR image (b) | |
|------|--|-------|
| | Pseudo ground truth (c) | . 177 |
| 7.49 | Detection results: original SAR image (a) clutter suppressed image | |
| | (b) | . 178 |

List of Tables

| 3.1 | SAR images specifications |
|------|--|
| 3.2 | IC values |
| 3.3 | Estimated length of the vessels |
| 5.1 | System Parameters |
| 5.2 | Target Parameters |
| 7.1 | Acquisition Parameters |
| 7.2 | Radar Parameters |
| 7.3 | Antennas parameters |
| 7.4 | Case 1 - Parameters |
| 7.5 | Case study 1: IC values |
| 7.6 | Case 2 - Parameters |
| 7.7 | Sub-region 1: Pseudo ground truth comparison |
| 7.8 | Sub-region 1: IC values before and after ISAR processing 157 |
| 7.9 | Sub-region 2: Pseudo ground truth comparison |
| 7.10 | Sub-region 2: IC values before and after ISAR processing 159 |
| 7.11 | Sub-region 3: Pseudo ground truth comparison |
| 7.12 | Sub-region 3: IC values before and after ISAR processing 159 |
| 7.13 | Case 3 - Parameters |
| 7.14 | Sub-region 1: IC values before and after ISAR processing 171 |
| 7.15 | Sub-region 2: IC values before and after ISAR processing 171 |

Abbreviations

ACE Adaptive Coherent Estimator

AMF Adaptive Matched Filter

ATI Along Track Interferometry

CFAR Constant False Alarm Rate

CPI Coherent Processing Interval

CSK Cosmo SKyMed

DPCA Displaced Phase Centre Array

DoF Degree of Freedom

DoA Direction of Arrival

FFT Fast Fourier Transform

FT Fourier Transform

GRLT Generalized Likelihood Ratio Test

IFFT Inverse Fast Fourier Transform

IFT Inverse Fourier Transform

IOK Inverse Omega Key

IRD Inverse Range Doppler

ISAR Inverse Synthetic Aperture Radar

LCMV Linearly Constrained Minimum Variance

LoS Line of Sight

LSE Least Square Error

MTD Moving Target Detection

MTI Moving Target Indication

NCTI Non Cooperaive Target Imaging

PRF Pulse Repetition Frequency

PRI Pulse Repetition Intervall

RD Range Doppler

SAR Synthetic Aperture Radar

SDAP Space Doppler Adaptive Processing

Abbreviations xviii

| CIVIT | C 1 - | T / L: | T |
|----------------|--------|---------------|-----------|
| \mathbf{SMI} | Sample | Matrix | Inversion |

 $\mathbf{STAP} \quad \mathbf{Space} \ \mathbf{Time} \ \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{daptive} \ \mathbf{Processing}$

 $\mathbf{TFT} \qquad \mathbf{T} \text{ime } \mathbf{F} \text{requency } \mathbf{T} \text{ransform}$

 $\mathbf{TDC} \qquad \mathbf{Time} \ \mathbf{D}omain \ \mathbf{C}orrelation$

 $\mathbf{WVD} \quad \mathbf{W} igner \ \mathbf{V} ille \ \mathbf{D} istribution$

Symbols

| | <u>A</u> |
|------------------------------|--|
| A | Constraint matrix |
| | <u>B</u> |
| B | Signal Bandwidth |
| B_D | Doppler Bandwidth |
| b | Constraint value |
| | <u>D</u> |
| D_{y_1}/D_{y_2} | Non-ambiguity region in cross-range/range |
| D_{array} | Array size |
| D_{y_1} | Cross-range non-ambiguity region |
| D_{y_2} | Range non-ambiguity region |
| | $\underline{m E}$ |
| $\mathbf{e}_s(heta)$ | Spatial Steering Vector |
| $\mathbf{e}_t(F_D)$ | Temporal Steering Vector |
| $\mathbf{e}(\theta, F_D)$ | Spatial-Temporal Steering Vector |
| | <u>F</u> |
| f | Range frequency |
| f_0 | Carrier frequency |
| F_S | Spatial Frequency (beamforming) |
| F_D | Normalized Doppler Frequency |
| | \underline{G} |
| $\mathbf{G}(n,m)$ | Reference signal vector |
| $\mathbf{G}_i(n,m)$ | Reference signal vector $(i^{th} \text{ window})$ |
| $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(n,m)$ | Reference signal vector |
| $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i(n,m)$ | Post-Doppler Reference signal vector $(i^{th}$ window) |
| $\mathbf{G}_D(n,m_ u)$ | Space Doppler Reference signal vector |
| $\mathbf{G}_{D,i}(n,m_{ u})$ | Space Doppler Reference signal vector $(i^{th}\mbox{ window})$ |

Symbols xx

```
<u>I</u>
\mathbf{i}_{LoS}
                   LoS unit vector
\mathbf{i}_{LoS_{Tx}}/\mathbf{i}_{LoS_{Rx}}
                   LoS unit vector TX/RX
                   LoS unit vector (bistatic)
\mathbf{i}_{LoS_{BI}}
\mathbf{i}_{LoS_x}^{(p,q)}
                   LoS unit vector of the element (p,q) with respect T_x
\mathbf{i}_{LoS_{\xi}}^{(p,q)}
                   LoS unit vector of the element (p,q) with respect T_{\xi}
I(\bullet, \bullet)
                   ISAR image
                   Window index
                   K
K_{BI}(t)
                   Phase modulation term (bistatic geometry)
K_{BI_0}(t)
                   Phase modulation term t = 0 (bistatic geometry)
(k_{y_1}, k_{y_2})
                   Spatial frequencies
                   \underline{\boldsymbol{L}}
L
                   Window length
L_f
                   Considered Doppler bins
                   M
                   Pulse index
m
                   Doppler frequency index
m_{\nu}
M
                   Slow time samples
M_{\nu}
                   Doppler frequency samples
                   Rotation matrix from T_{\xi} to T_x
\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\xi}\mathbf{x}}
                   Range frequency index
n
                   Delay time index
n_{\tau}
N
                   Frequency samples
N_{\tau}
                   Delay time samples
                   Guassian random vector with zero mean and Identity covariance matrix
\mathbf{n}
N_d
                   Discarded pulses
                   \boldsymbol{P}
                   Array element index along \xi_1
p
P
                   Number of array element index along \xi_1
P_c
                   Clutter power
                   \boldsymbol{Q}
                   Array element index along \xi_3
                   Number of array element index along \xi_3
Q
```

 \underline{R}

Symbols xxi

| $R_0(t)$ | Radar-target distance |
|-------------------------------|--|
| R_0 | Radar-target distance at $t = 0$ |
| R_{Tx}/R_{Rx} | Distance between the target and the Tx/Rx |
| \mathbf{R}_c | Clutter space-slow time covariance matrix |
| $\mathbf{R}_{c,i}$ | Clutter space-slow time covariance matrix $(i^{th}$ window) |
| $\mathbf{R}_{D,c}$ | Clutter cross-power spectral matrix |
| | <u>S</u> |
| $s_T(t_f)$ | Transmitted signal |
| $s_R(t_f,t)$ | Received signal |
| $S_R(f,t)$ | Received signal in the frequency/slow time domain |
| $S_{R_C}(f,t)$ | Motion compensated received signal |
| $S_0(ullet,ullet)$ | Reference signal |
| $S_p(f,t)$ | Received signal at p^{th} receiver |
| $S_{t,p}(f,t)$ | Received signal at p^{th} receiver (target contribution) |
| $S_{c,p}(f,t)$ | Received signal at p^{th} receiver (clutter contribution) |
| \mathbf{S}_{S} | data received in the n^{th} frequency bin and the m^{th} pulse |
| $\mathbf{s}(n)$ | stacked signal vector |
| \mathbf{s}_T | stacked signal vector point like target (beamforming) |
| $S_{ref}(ullet,ullet)$ | Reference Signal |
| $\mathbf{S}(n)$ | Signal vector |
| $\mathbf{S}_i(n)$ | Signal vector $(i^{th} \text{ window})$ |
| $	ilde{\mathbf{S}}(n)$ | Post-Doppler Signal vector |
| $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_i(n)$ | Post-Doppler Signal vector $(i^{th} \text{ window})$ |
| | <u>T</u> |
| T_{ξ} | Reference system embedded on the radar |
| T_x | Reference system embedded on the target |
| T_y | Reference system embedded on the target (fixed) |
| t | Slow time |
| t_f | Fast time |
| T_R | Pulse Repetition Interval |
| T_{obs} | Observation time |
| T_{win} | Window length |
| T_{step} | Window step |
| \mathbf{T} | Unitary transformation matrix |
| \mathbf{T}_t | Fourier Matrix |
| \mathbf{T}_w | Unitary windowed transformation matrix |

Symbols xxii

| $\mathbf{T}_{w,t}$ | Windowed Fourier Matrix |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| $	ilde{\mathbf{T}}_{w,t}$ | Reduced Windowed Fourier Matrix |
| $	ilde{\mathbf{T}}_w$ | Reduced windowed transformation matrix |
| | <u>U</u> |
| u | Platform position on the trajectory |
| U | Eigenvectors matrix |
| u(ullet,ullet) | Matched Filter Output |
| $u_i(ullet,ullet)$ | Matched Filter Output (i^{th} window) |
| $u_w(ullet,ullet)$ | Matched Filter Output (windowed) |
| $	ilde{u}(n,m_ u$ | Post-Doppler filtering output |
| $\tilde{u}_i(ullet,ullet)$ | Post-Doppler Matched Filter Output $(i^{th} \text{ window})$ |
| $\tilde{u}_w(ullet,ullet)$ | Post-Doppler Matched Filter Output (windowed) |
| $u(ullet,ullet)_D$ | Space Doppler Processing Output |
| | <u>V</u> |
| V | Volume where the target reflectivity is defined |
| v_p | Platform velocity |
| \mathbf{V} | Eigenvalues matrix |
| v_{t,y_1}, v_{t,y_1} | Target velocity along cross-range/range direction |
| | $\underline{\underline{W}}$ |
| W(f,t) | Frequency/slow time domain where the signal is defined |
| W(f,t) | Spatial Frequencies domain time domain where the signal is defined |
| $\mathbf{w}(\theta, F_D)$ | weightvector |
| $\mathbf{W}(n,m)$ | Optimum weightvector |
| $\mathbf{W}_i(n,m)$ | Optimum weightvector (i^{th} window) |
| $	ilde{\mathbf{W}}(n,m_{ u})$ | Post-Doppler Optimum weightvector |
| $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i(n,m)$ | Post-Doppler Optimum weight vector $(i^{th} \text{ window})$ |
| $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_D(n,m_{ u})$ | Space Doppler Optimum weightvector |
| $	ilde{\mathbf{W}}_{D,i}(n,m_{ u})$ | Space Doppler Optimum weight vector $(i^{th}$ window) |
| | <u>W</u> |
| \mathbf{x} | Stacked received signal vector |
| | $\underline{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ |
| (Y_1,Y_2) | Spatial frequencies |
| $y_s(\theta, n, m)$ | Steered output in the direction θ |
| $y_{t,p}(F_D,n)$ | Focused output at F_D |
| $y(\theta, F_D, n)$ | Focused output at θ , F_D |
| $y^{(u)}$ | useful output |
| | |

Symbols xxiii

| $y^{(c)}$ | clutter (disturbance) output \underline{Z} |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| $\mathbf{Z}(n_r)$ | Target-free data in the n_r^{th} range cell |
| | $\underline{\alpha}$ |
| α | Angle between ξ_3 and x_3 |
| | $\underline{oldsymbol{eta}}$ |
| eta(t) | Bistatic angle variation |
| | Δ |
| $\Delta_{	au}$ | Delay time resolution |
| $\Delta_{ u}$ | Doppler frequency resolution |
| $\Delta_{y_1}/\Delta_{y_2}$ | Cross-range/range resolution |
| $\Delta Y_1/\Delta Y_1$ | Spacial frequencies spacing |
| $\Delta\Theta$ | Aspect angle variation |
| | $\underline{\Theta}$ |
| $	heta_{in}$ | Incidence angle |
| θ | DoA |
| θ_{az} | Azimuth aperture |
| | $ \underline{\nu} $ |
| ν | Doppler frequency |
| | <u>e</u> |
| $\rho_s(\Delta_d)$ | space correlation |
| $\rho_s(\Delta_d)$ $\rho_t(\Delta_t)$ | time correlation |
| | <u></u> <u> \[\sigma \]</u> |
| $\sigma(\mathbf{y})$ | Reflectivity of the scatterer placed in \mathbf{y} |
| σ_k | Reflectivity of the k^{th} scatterer |
| σ_T | Reflectivity of a point like target (beamforming) |
| | <u>T</u> |
| $	au(\mathbf{y},t)$ | Delay time of the scatterer placed in \mathbf{y} at time t |
| | Φ |
| $\phi(\mathbf{y}, f, t)$ | Phase term (monostatic case) |
| $\phi_{BI}(\mathbf{y}, f, t)$ | Phase term (bistatic case) |
| | Ω |
| $\Omega_T(t)$ | Total rotation vector |
| $\Omega_{eff}(t)$ | Effective rotation vector |
| / | |