



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE



Invasive non-native plants retain native mammal communities in novel ecosystems

Jasmin G. Packer

CRICOS PROVIDER 00123M

adelaide.edu.au

seek LIGHT

Invasive non-native plants retain native mammal communities in novel ecosystems

Jasmin G. Packer

Submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

October 2013



Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution in my name and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signature

Date

Table of Contents

Declaration	iv
Table of Contents	v
Abstract.....	ix
Acknowledgements	xi
Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Biodiversity conservation in human-altered ecosystems	2
1.1.1 Novel ecosystems: non-native plants as threats and facilitators of biodiversity.....	3
1.1.2 Fauna responses to the landscape matrix.....	4
1.1.3 Fauna responses to habitat thresholds.....	6
1.2 Blackberry and small mammal communities.....	7
1.2.1 The Mount Lofty Ranges	8
1.2.2 Study species: blackberry (<i>Rubus anglocandicans</i>).....	10
1.2.3 Study species: small native mammal communities	11
1.3 Research aims	16
Chapter 2 Ecosystem engineering by non-native blackberry (<i>Rubus anglocandicans</i>) retains small mammal communities in novel ecosystems.....	19
2.1 Introduction	20
2.2 Methods.....	23
2.2.1 Study area	23
2.2.2 Small mammal surveys.....	26
2.2.3 Environmental surveys.....	28
2.2.4 Statistical analyses.....	30
2.3 Results.....	34
2.3.1 Differences between environmental characteristics	34
2.3.2 Small mammal differences and drivers at site scale	36
2.3.3 Small mammal differences and drivers at the microhabitat scale.....	41
2.3.4 Fauna responses and competition across landscape scales.....	44
2.4 Discussion	47
2.4.1 Effect on environmental characteristics	47
2.4.2 Drivers of small mammal responses.....	48
2.4.3 Implications for conservation and restoration	50

Chapter 3	<i>Predation risk and competition increases chronic stress in novel ecosystems....</i>	53
3.1	Introduction	54
3.2	Methods.....	58
3.2.1	Study area and sites.....	58
3.2.2	Animal trapping	58
3.2.3	Blood sampling and measurements.....	58
3.2.4	Habitat assessment.....	60
3.2.5	Statistical analysis	62
3.3	Results.....	63
3.3.1	Neutrophil / lymphocyte ratio.....	64
3.3.2	Comparison with reference ranges.....	64
3.3.3	Effect of habitat on health condition	67
3.3.4	Effect of season on health condition	67
3.4	Discussion	72
3.4.1	Site scale: territorial stress in human-altered habitat.....	72
3.4.2	Landscape scale: reduced health condition in a fragmented system	74
3.4.3	Conservation physiology as an early warning signal.....	75
Chapter 4	<i>Non-native blackberry (<i>Rubus anglocandicans</i>) increases habitat quality and recruitment for native mammals</i>	77
4.1	Introduction	78
4.2	Methods.....	82
4.2.1	Study area	82
4.2.2	Study population	84
4.2.3	Habitat characteristics	85
4.2.4	Statistical analyses.....	89
4.3	Results.....	91
4.3.1	Habitat differences	91
4.3.2	Population fitness and productivity.....	96
4.4	Discussion	101
4.4.1	Facilitator of habitat quality	101
4.4.2	Ranking the influence of habitat thresholds on population persistence	102
4.4.3	Future research directions	103

Chapter 5	<i>Framework for quantifying the response of native fauna to non-native plants</i>	105
5.1	Overview	106
5.2	Existing frameworks on native – non-native interactions	106
5.2.1	Focus on landscape scale	107
5.2.2	Focus on non-native species	108
5.2.3	Focus on native species.....	108
5.3	Overarching principles for restoring ecosystem resilience	111
5.3.1	Retain.....	112
5.3.2	Reduce	113
5.3.3	Review.....	113
5.4	Framework to assess the net effect of native fauna responses to non-native plants.	115
5.5	Future research directions	120
5.6	Concluding remarks on novel ecosystems: biodiversity sinks or buffers?	123
Appendix 1	124
Appendix 2	125
Appendix 3	126
List of Tables	127
List of Figures	..	128
References	129

Human-mediated environmental impacts are now so extensive and pervasive that many consider that the planet has entered a new geological epoch – the Anthropocene.

Driven by the need to find solutions to these emerging challenges, biodiversity conservation is entering a phase of prolific innovation... With this upheaval of new ideas, there is a genuine risk of the conservation community fragmenting into different schools of thought. In an attempt to minimize that risk, we introduce a conceptual framework that moves beyond established dichotomies and offers ways to reconcile conflicting perspectives.

(Kueffer & Kaiser-Bunbury 2013)

Abstract

Biological invasions are a major threat to native ecosystems globally, yet in some landscapes they can also have important positive effects on native biodiversity. For example, invasive non-native plants have the potential to act as ecological engineers in novel ecosystems by ‘creating’ habitat where it is otherwise lacking, thereby increasing the diversity and abundance of native fauna. Yet little is known of their net effect on population persistence. Understanding the impact of non-native plants on native fauna is becoming increasingly urgent for conservation management, particularly in degraded and novel ecosystems where the broad-scale removal of weeds could threaten native fauna populations and the ecological processes they contribute to. This thesis takes a local and global view to investigate the conservation conundrum of native fauna responses to non-native plants. It examines the effect of non-native blackberry on individual, population and community-level responses of small native mammals in native, hybrid and novel ecosystems before proposing a multi-scale framework to quantify the net effect of non-native plants on native fauna persistence.

The research was undertaken in the Mount Lofty Ranges of South Australia, a biodiversity hotspot that is considered a ‘canary landscape’ for temperate woodlands. The environmental decline seen here is expected to follow similar trends elsewhere. Blackberry (*Rubus anglocandicans*) is a non-native and highly invasive environmental weed that has been reported to provide habitat for native birds and mammals in the study region. The research was conducted as a multi-species study of small mammal responses to blackberry, with a particular focus on the nationally endangered southern brown bandicoot (*Isoodon obesulus*). Small mammal communities were surveyed for 11 consecutive seasons across 13 sites (7,500 ha) that represented native, hybrid and blackberry-dominated novel ecosystems of the region. A mixed modelling approach was used to quantify the net effect of blackberry on fauna responses at multiple scales, including: individual (reproduction and physiology); population (abundance, adult female density, and recruitment); and community (species richness, diversity and interspecific competition). To the best of knowledge, this is the first study on the impact of non-native plants on the recruitment and population persistence of native mammals.

Ten species of small mammals, including six native, were captured across 12,235 captures and 31,407 trap sessions. Blackberry was identified as an ecological engineer in blackberry-dominated novel ecosystems, where it retains diverse native mammal communities of yellow-footed antechinus (*Antechinus flavipes*; vulnerable), bush rat (*Rattus fuscipes*), brushtail possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*; rare), short-beaked echidna (*Tachyglossus aculeatus*) and southern brown bandicoot (*Isoodon obesulus*; endangered). The abundance, density, dispersal and recruitment of bandicoots were also greatest in blackberry, with arthropod abundance and blackberry density the strongest positive predictors for recruitment of juveniles from source populations into the overall meta-population. The results confirm that non-native plants can act as ecosystem engineers in novel ecosystems and create critical habitat that supports mammal communities where they would otherwise become locally extinct.

Interactions between non-native and native species are increasing worldwide, and quantifying these complex dynamics is essential in order to successfully tackle the conservation challenges of the future. The final chapter of the thesis responds to this challenge by critiquing the traditional and emerging methods used in the empirical study, and synthesizing these with existing frameworks on non-native – native interactions. The thesis concludes by proposing two conceptual frameworks to: (1) inform future quantitative assessments of native fauna responses to non-native plants, and (2) guide restoration to retain positive ecosystem processes while reducing those that are harmful. Thus the research contributes to native fauna conservation in fragmented landscapes via both primary data collection for multiple species at multiple scales, and by suggesting frameworks to improve the effectiveness of restoration by prioritizing actions where non-native plants provide habitat for native fauna in degraded ecosystems.

Acknowledgements

Dedicated to

Enid

who taught us to observe and work with nature's rhythms for "less haste, more speed"

Ori

for embracing this journey and already living as a next-generation custodian for the Earth

This research was only feasible because of team work and the generous spirit of many.

To say it was a labour of love by the team would be a colossal understatement!

Thank you to everyone who has enriched this journey and helped to strengthen the outcome.

Starting from the very beginning when the seeds of the research were first sown, I pay tribute to Ryan Incoll's insightful ponderings: "is it possible those blackberry creeklines are helping bandicoot populations in the region?"

Andrew Claridge who introduced me to the beauty, importance and intriguing world of truffle fungi. Pam Catcheside, Thelma Bridle, Julia Haska, Tony Robinson, Teresa Lebel, Melissa Danks, Sandra Abell, Tom May, Sapphire McMullan-Fisher and Patrick O'Connor who've taught me so much about the interconnectedness of ecosystems, the critical role of fungi as indicator and driver of ecosystem health, and how much we still have to discover about biodiversity and how to conserve it.

Wayne Boardman, Ian Smith, David McLelland, Brian Matthews, Brian Rich, Linley, Lyn, Paula and the rest of the Zoos SA animal health team for sharing some very early mornings, supporting the project so generously, going the extra mile in collecting and processing the blood samples, and for opening my eyes to the power of combining our physiology and ecology toolboxes.

The many modelling mentors who have inspired, advised and supported me along the way. Tom van Dooren & Woolf at Foljouw, Gisela in Aachen, Jane Prider, Blair Grace and Peter Ward. Thanks especially to Steve Delean who has been an inspiration, encouragement and more recently modelling mentor and anchor man.

Friends and fellow members of the Sturt Upper Reaches Landcare Group Inc. for helping to keep this research grounded and focused on achieving 'real' outcomes to help local landholders who are doing their best for nature conservation.

Southern Brown Bandicoot Recovery Team in the Mount Lofty Ranges which guided, questioned and strengthened this research journey through advice, discussion and support. Thank you especially to Wendy Stubbs, Kirstin Abley, Pete Copley, Anthony Abley, Vicki-Jo Russell, Luke Price & Renae Eden.

All our wonderful volunteers who assisted with field and lab work. Thank you especially to Brian, Apu and Nat who shared the bulk of the field work and taught me so much. To the rest of you – it was only possible because you gave so much of your time, care and generous spirit. Thank you all...

Abbie Madden	Gareth Telfer	Luke McLean
Adam Bennett	Gaye Bourke	Madeline Fletcher
Ailsa Enting-Hawke	Graham Bald	Maia Berman
Alan Burns	Graham Olds	Margaret Clark
Alex Cave	Hannah Ling	Mathieu McCann
Amanda McLean	Hayley Vial	Megan Iskov
Amelia Kuveke	Heather Browett	Michael Melville
Amity Alexander	Heather Cross	Michelle Le Duff
Andrew Dawson	Ian Clark	Michelle Smyllie
Andrew Murphy	Ilona Weir	Milla Mihailova
Andrew Palmer	Irulan Prowse	Natalie Andrews
Angie Eads	Jacques Klop	Olly Lipcer
Anna Douglas-Morris	Jenna Hoffmann	Paul Every
Annie Kraehe	Jenny D’Arcy	Peter Bird
Apu Kadam	Jo Baulderstone	Racheline Jackson
Ash & Rhys	Jo Lee	Richard Goonan
Barb Packer	Joel Driver	Rosey H
Bec Duffield	John Spiers	Ruth Bell
Ben Cullen	Joss Bentley	Sam Buxton
Brian Matthews	Julia Bignell	Sandy Kalz
Bridget Wrenn	Julia Robinson	Sarah Maclagan
Cate Paull	Julie Brookes	Sarah Mantel
Catherine King	Julie Quimby	Sarah Pearson
Catherine Whitehead	Karen Davis	Scott Campbell
Chris Whackett	Karen Harris	Shane White
Chris Madden	Karleah Trengove	Simon Bush
Claire Francis	Kate Delaporte	Steve Johnson
Isla Madden	Katherine Wale	Symone Krimowa
Keilan Madden	Katrina Watson	Teja Hasani
Corinna Byrne	Kelly Pelgrim	Tim Dorman
Corinna Freytag	Kimberley Hobbs	Tim Heyward
Damian Stam	Laura Howie	Tom Hurley
Dani Boddington	Laura Ruykys	Troy Mann
Dave Sinclair	Lesley & Jan Vick	Verita Stewart
David Frahm	Liberty Olds	You-You Li
Emma Robinson	Linda & Lucas	
Emmett Wood	Liz Millington	

University of Adelaide student teams took on aspects of the project and made it their own. Thanks to the Conservation & Restoration III teams of Kimberley Hobbs, Heidi Neubauer, Caitlin Smart, Daniel Miller, Simon Cutts, Chelsea Tothill, Doug Berrigan & Tari Pawlyk. Thanks to Katie Eley and David Porublev, Animal & Veterinary Science, for the gastro parasitic work. Scott Whitters and the Rostrevor College lads helped with trap checks and installing mealworm trays. Green Corps teams led by Darren, Rachel, Dana and Rick saved the day when we needed help setting up new sites, lugging traps, and all hands on deck to check traps and keep animals safe.

Our generous hosts in the field: Della and Louise; Andrew, Liz and Irulan; Sandy and Jim Doig, the SA Water crew at Mount Bold; Jen Pitman and her dedicated team of Park Rangers. Thank you so much for sharing your patch so generously, giving us a home and research base, for sharing the long journey and the laughs. The Mud Hut will always be our bandicoot base.

The Carthew, Taggart and Paton research groups at University of Adelaide. Jo Lee who guided me through the first steps and taught me the value of multi-species approaches and keeping an eye on your results. Thank you especially to Amanda, Laura, Nik, You-You, Leah, Casey, Tim, Bec & Bec.

Thank you to my co-authors who have deepened and strengthened this research. It's an absolute pleasure to work with you, and I look forward to future research collaborations together.

Chapter 2 – Susan M. Carthew, Kirsten Abley, Jane Prider, José M. Facelli and Steve Delean

Chapter 3 – Susan M. Carthew and Brian Matthews

Chapter 4 – Susan M. Carthew and David Paull

Chapter 5 – Susan M. Carthew

Thank you to my wonderful supervisors David Paull, José Facelli and Sue Carthew. David provided invaluable assistance in the early stages and has remained an inspiration throughout. José took me under his wing when I needed it, has broadened and strengthened the research with his ecological community perspective and invaluable advice on analysis, and given confidence in the research within the international research field. Sue, you are an inspiration and exemplary supervisor – everything I needed, and so much more. I will be forever grateful for all you have taught me in research and life.

Most of all, thank you to my beautiful boys Graham and Ori. Thank you for: carrying me along the way, putting up with a cottage full of hessian and catch bags, taking first steps along traplines, trap checks on Christmas day, reveling in the wonders of pouch young and joeys, always being positive and enthusiastic, and being the most wonderful support team I could have ever hoped for. I love you more.