PUBLISHED VERSION

Yongle Li, Andreas Böck, Grit Haseneyer, Viktor Korzun, Peer Wilde, Chris-Carolin Schön, Donna P Ankerst, and Eva Bauer

Association analysis of frost tolerance in rye using candidate genes and phenotypic data from controlled, semi-controlled, and field phenotyping platforms BMC Plant Biology, 2011; 11(1):146-1-146-14

© 2011 Li et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Originally published at: http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-11-146

PERMISSIONS									
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/									
commons									
Autoduon 2.0 Generic (CEB 2.0)									
This is a human-readable summary of (and not a substitute for) the license									
Disclaimer									
You are free to:									
Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format									
Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material									
for any purpose, even commercially.									
The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.									
Under the following terms:									
Attribution — You must give <u>appropriate credit</u> , provide a link to the license, and									
any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.									
No additional restrictions — You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others									

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Association analysis of frost tolerance in rye using candidate genes and phenotypic data from controlled, semi-controlled, and field phenotyping platforms

Yongle Li^{1†}, Andreas Böck^{2†}, Grit Haseneyer¹, Viktor Korzun³, Peer Wilde³, Chris-Carolin Schön¹, Donna P Ankerst⁴ and Eva Bauer^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Frost is an important abiotic stress that limits cereal production in the temperate zone. As the most frost tolerant small grain cereal, rye (*Secale cereale* L.) is an ideal cereal model for investigating the genetic basis of frost tolerance (FT), a complex trait with polygenic inheritance. Using 201 genotypes from five Eastern and Middle European winter rye populations, this study reports a multi-platform candidate gene-based association analysis in rye using 161 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and nine insertion-deletion (Indel) polymorphisms previously identified from twelve candidate genes with a putative role in the frost responsive network.

Results: Phenotypic data analyses of FT in three different phenotyping platforms, controlled, semi-controlled and field, revealed significant genetic variations in the plant material under study. Statistically significant (P < 0.05) associations between FT and SNPs/haplotypes of candidate genes were identified. Two SNPs in *ScCbf15* and one in *ScCbf12*, all leading to amino acid exchanges, were significantly associated with FT over all three phenotyping platforms. Distribution of SNP effect sizes expressed as percentage of the genetic variance explained by individual SNPs was highly skewed towards zero with a few SNPs obtaining large effects. Two-way epistasis was found between 14 pairs of candidate genes. Relatively low to medium empirical correlations of SNP-FT associations were observed across the three platforms underlining the need for multi-level experimentation for dissecting complex associations between genotypes and FT in rye.

Conclusions: Candidate gene based-association studies are a powerful tool for investigating the genetic basis of FT in rye. Results of this study support the findings of bi-parental linkage mapping and expression studies that the *Cbf* gene family plays an essential role in FT.

Background

Frost stress, one of the important abiotic stresses, not only limits the geographic distribution of crop production but also adversely affects crop development and yield through cold-induced desiccation, cellular damage and inhibition of metabolic reactions [1,2]. Thus, crop varieties with improved tolerance to frost are of enormous value for countries with severe winters. Frost tolerance (FT) is one of the most critical traits that

† Contributed equally

determine winter survival of winter cereals [3]. Among small grain cereals rye (*Secale cereale* L.) is the most frost tolerant species and thus can be used as a cereal model for studying and improving FT [4,5]. After cold acclimation where plants are exposed to a period of low, but nonfreezing temperature, the most frost tolerant rye cultivar can survive under severe frost stress down to approximately -30°C [6]. Tests for evaluating FT can be generally separated into direct and indirect approaches. For direct approaches, where plants are exposed to both cold acclimation and freezing tests, plant survival rate, leaf damage, regeneration of the plant crown, electrolyte leakage, and chlorophyll fluorescence are often used as



© 2011 Li et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: eva.bauer@wzw.tum.de

¹Plant Breeding, Technische Universität München, Freising, Germany Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

phenotypic endpoints [3]. For indirect approaches, where plants are exposed to only cold acclimation, the endpoints of water content [7], proline [8], and cold-induced proteins [9] are often used. The evaluation of FT can be conducted either naturally under field conditions or artificially in growth chambers, with both methods associated with advantages and disadvantages. Under field conditions, plant damage during winter is not only affected by low temperature stress *per se*, but also by the interaction of a range of factors such as snow coverage, water supply, and wind. Therefore, measured phenotypes are the result of the full range of factors affecting winter survival. Opportunities for assessing FT are highly dependent upon temperature and weather conditions during the experiment. In contrast, frost tests in growth chambers allow for a better control of environmental variation and are not limited to one trial per year. However, they are limited in capacity and may not correlate well with field performance. Therefore, it has been recommended to test FT under both natural and controlled conditions whenever possible [3].

FT is a complex trait with polygenic inheritance [6]. A large number of genes are up-and down-regulated when plants are exposed to cold/frost stress. Transcriptome analyses have estimated between 14% and 45% of the Arabidopsis genome to be cold responsive, dependent upon the cold treatment and other experimental factors [10-12]. Studies in wheat have shown between 5% and 8% of transcripts represented on microarrays to be regulated under cold stress [13,14]. More than 70 Cold *Responsive* (COR) genes in *Arabidopsis* are directly involved in cold/frost response with various functions such as enhancement of antioxidative mechanisms or stabilization of cellular membranes against dehydration damage [15,16]. The dehydrin gene family (Dhn1-13) is one group among the COR genes that has been characterized in barley [17]. Six members of the dehydrin gene family, including HvDhn1 and HvDhn3, were induced under mild frost stress in barley [18]. Expression of COR genes under cold stress in Arabidopsis is regulated through the binding of the *C*-repeat binding factor (*Cbf*) gene family to the cis-regulatory element DRE/CRT present in the promoter region of COR genes [2,19]. Most members of the *Cbf* gene family are closely linked and map to the Fr2 locus on homoeologous group 5, which coincides with a major quantitative trait locus (QTL) for FT in barley, diploid and hexaploid wheat, and meadow fescue [20-23]. Twelve members of the *Cbf* gene family have been assigned to the long arm of chromosome 5R in rye [24]. There is evidence that several members of the Cbf gene family are up-regulated by the transcription factor Inducer of Cbf Expression 2 (Ice2) under frost stress in hexaploid wheat and Arabidopsis [25,26]. Metabolite profiling in Arabidopsis has revealed between 311

(63%) and 434 (75%) metabolites altered in response to cold [27,28]. Among these, glucose, galactose, fructose, raffinose, sucrose, and xylose are involved in central carbohydrate metabolism and play a prominent role during reprogramming of metabolism under cold stress.

Identification of genes underlying traits of agronomic interest is pivotal for genome-based breeding. Due to methodological advances in molecular biology, plant breeders can now select varieties with favorable alleles via molecular markers, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), identified in genes linked to desirable traits [29,30]. Whole-genome and candidate genebased association studies have identified large numbers of genomic regions and individual genes related to a range of traits [31-34]. However, underlying population structure and/or familial relatedness (kinship) between genotypes under study have proven to be a big challenge, leading to false positive associations between molecular markers and traits in plants due to the heavily admixed nature of plant populations [35]. In response, several advanced statistical approaches have been developed for genotype-phenotype association studies, including genomic control [36], structured association [37], and linear mixed model-based methodologies [38,39]. The latter estimates population structure via a structure matrix and familial relatedness via a kinship matrix in a first step, and then includes these as covariates in a linear mixed model comprising the second step, thus arriving at phenotype-genotype association studies adjusting for population structure and kinship.

The main objective of this study was to identify SNP alleles and haplotypes conferring superior FT through candidate gene-based association studies performed in three phenotyping platforms, controlled, semi-controlled and field.

Methods

Plant material and DNA extraction

Plant material was derived from four Eastern and one Middle European cross-pollinated winter rye breeding populations: 44 plants from EKOAGRO (Poland), 68 plants from Petkus (Germany), 33 plants from PR 2733 (Belarus), 41 plants from ROM103 (Poland), and 15 plants from SMH2502 (Poland). To determine haplotype phase, gamete capture was performed by crossing between 15 and 68 plants of each source population to the same self-fertile inbred line, Lo152. Each resulting heterozygous S_0 plant represented one gamete of the respective source population. S₀ plants were selfed to obtain S_1 families and these were subsequently selfed to produce S_{1:2} families, which were used in phenotyping experiments. For molecular analyses, genomic DNA of S₀ plants was extracted from leaves according to a procedure described previously [40].

Phenotypic data assessment and analyses

FT was measured in three phenotyping platforms: controlled, semi-controlled, and field. In the controlled platform, experiments were performed in climate chambers at -19°C and -21°C, in 2008 and 2009, respectively, at ARI Martonvásár (MAR), Hungary, using established protocols [41]. Briefly, seedlings were cold-acclimated in a six week hardening program with gradually decreasing temperatures from 15°C to -2°C. After that, plants were exposed to freezing temperatures within six days by decreasing the temperature from -2°C to -19°C or -21°C and then held at the lowest temperature for eight hours. After the freezing step, temperature was gradually increased to 17°C for regeneration. The ability of plants to re-grow was measured after two weeks using a recovery score, which ranged on a scale from 0: completely died, 1: little sign of life, 2: intensive damage, 3: moderate damage, 4: small damage, to 5: no damage. The light intensity was 260 µmol/m²s during the seedling growth and the hardening process, whereas the freezing cycle was carried out in dark. The experiment in 2008 contained 139 S₁ families. The experiment in 2009 contained 201 $S_{1:2}$ families, augmenting the same 139 S_1 families from the experiment in 2008 with an additional 62 $S_{1:2}$ families. Five plants of each S_1 or $S_{1:2}$ family were grown as one test unit with five replicates per temperature and year. Due to the limited capacity of climate chambers, genotypes were randomly assigned into three and four chambers in 2008 and 2009, respectively.

In the semi-controlled platform, experiments in the two years 2008 and 2009 were performed with 3 replicates per year at Oberer Lindenhof (OLI), Germany, using the same 139 S_1 families and 201 $S_{1:2}$ families. From each family a test unit of 25 plants was grown outdoors in wooden boxes one meter above the ground in a randomized complete block design (RCBD). In case of snowfall, plants were protected from snow coverage to avoid damage by snow molds. Two weeks after a frost period of 2-4 weeks with average daily temperatures around or below 0°C and usually frost at least during night with minimum temperatures as indicated in Additional File 1, % leaf damage was scored as the proportion of the 25 plants of each family that showed leaf damage (dry and yellow leaves). In order to keep the same sign as with the measurements in the controlled and field platforms, % leaf damage was replaced by % plants with undamaged leaves, calculated as 100% - % leaf damage. Outcomes were recorded in January, February, and April of 2008 for the 139 S₁ families, and in February and March of 2009 for the 201 $S_{1:2}$ families.

In the field platform, experiments were performed with the same 201 $S_{1:2}$ families in five environments in 2009 (Kasan, Russia, KAS; Lipezk, Russia, LIP1; Minsk, Belarus, MIN; Saskatoon, Canada, two different fields, SAS1 and SAS2), and in one environment in 2010 (Lipezk, Russia, LIP2). Depending on the environment test units comprised 50-100 plants. The outcome, % survival, was calculated as the number of intact plants after winter divided by the total number of germinated plants before winter. RCBDs with 2 replicates were used for the SAS1 and SAS2 environments, while all other environments used the lattice design with 3 replicates. The climate data of the semi-controlled and field platforms are provided in Additional file 1.

To test phenotypic variation between genotypes, the same platform-specific models to be described for the SNP-FT association analyses were fitted for each platform omitting the SNP and population structure fixed effects. Within the controlled platform, separate models were fitted for each temperature and year combination, for the semi-controlled platform, separate models were fitted for each month of each year, and for the field platform separate models were fitted for each models were fitted for each geo-graphic location. The genetic variation was reported as the variance component corresponding to the random genotype effect in each model, with a *P*-value computed using the likelihood ratio test (LRT), a conservative estimate since the true asymptotic distribution of the LRT is a mixture of chi-square distributions [42].

Population structure and kinship

In order to correct for confounding effects in the association studies, population structure and kinship was estimated based on 37 simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers that were chosen due to their experimental quality and map location as providing good coverage of the rye genome; details are found in [43]. Primers and PCR conditions were described in detail by Khlestkina et al. [44] for rye microsatellite site (RMS) markers and by Hackauf and Wehling [45] for Secale cereale microsatellite (SCM) markers. Fragments were separated on an ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, USA) and allele sizes were assigned using the program GENEMAPPER (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, USA). Population structure was inferred from the 37 SSR markers using the STRUC-TURE software v2.2, which is based on a Bayesian model-based clustering algorithm that incorporates admixture and allele correlation models to account for genetic material exchange in populations resulting in shared ancestry [46]. Briefly, the method assigned each individual to a predetermined number of groups (k)characterized by a set of allele frequencies at each locus, assuming that the loci are in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and linkage equilibrium. Ten runs for values of k ranging from two to eleven were performed using a burn-in period of 50,000 replications followed by 50,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo iterations. Posterior probabilities of each k were averaged over the ten runs to determine the maximum posteriori k. The population structure matrix $Q_{STRUCTURE}$ was estimated, providing for each of the 201 genotypes an estimate of the membership fraction in the k populations. The kinship matrix (K) was estimated from the same SSR markers using the allele-similarity method [47], which guarantees a positive semi-definite relationship matrix among the 201 genotypes, and was used for the covariance structure of the random genotype effects in the linear mixed model. For a given locus, the similarity index S_{xy} between two genotypes was 1 when alleles were identical and 0 when alleles were different. S_{xy} was averaged over the 37 loci and transformed and standardized as $\hat{S}_{xy} = (S_{xy} - S_{xymin})/(1 - \hat{S}_{xymin})$, where \hat{S}_{xymin} is the minimum relationship in the matrix.

SNP-FT association analyses

Twelve candidate genes *ScCbf2*, *ScCbf6*, *ScCbf9b*, *ScCbf11*, *ScCbf12*, *ScCbf14*, *ScCbf15*, *ScDhn1*, *ScDhn3*, *ScDreb2*, *ScIce2*, and *ScVrn1* were selected for analysis due to their putative role in the FT network [17,20,24,25,48]. Details on candidate gene sequencing, SNP and insertion-deletion (Indel) detection, haplotype structure and linkage disequilibrium (LD) were described earlier [43] except for *ScDreb2*, which is described in Additional file 2. Indels were treated as single polymorphic sites, and for convenience polymorphic sites along the sequence in each gene were numbered starting with "SNP1" and are referred to in the text as SNPs instead of differentiating between SNPs and Indels.

SNP-FT associations in all platforms were performed using liner mixed models that evaluated the effects of SNPs with minor allele frequencies (MAF) > 5% individually, adjusting for population structure, kinship and platform-specific effects. A one stage approach was chosen for analysis which directly models the phenotypic raw data as the response. The general form of the linear mixed model for the three platforms was:

 $y = \beta_1 + X_{SNP} \ \beta_{SNP} + Q_{STRUCTURE} \ \beta_{STRUCTURE} + X_{PLATFORM} \ \beta_{PLATFORM} + Z_{PLATFORM} \ \gamma_{PLATFORM} + Z_{GENOTYPE} \ \gamma_{CENOTYPE} + \varepsilon,$

where *y* is the $n \times 1$ vector of platform-specific phenotypes, X_{SNP} $(n \times p)$, $Q_{STRUCTURE}$ $(n \times q)$ and $X_{PLATFORM}$ $(n \times k)$ are design matrices for the fixed effects of SNPs, population membership and platform, respectively, and $Z_{PLATFORM}$ $(n \times m)$ and $Z_{GENOTYPE}$ $(n \times l)$ are the corresponding design matrices for the random effects of platform (described in detail below) and genotype, respectively, β_1 is the intercept, β_{SNP} is the allelic effect of the non-reference compared to reference allele (Lo152), and $\beta_{STRUCTURE}$ and $\beta_{PLATFORM}$ are the associated fixed effects for population structure and the platform-specific effects, respectively. If a platform contained random effects, these were accommodated by including a random effect $\gamma_{PLATFORM} \sim N (0, D\sigma^2)$ with mean of 0, and variance-covariance matrix **D**. The random genotype effect was similarly assumed to follow a Normal distribution, $\gamma_{GENOTYPE} \sim N (0, K\sigma^2_g)$, where **K** was the estimated kinship matrix and σ^2_g the variance component due to genotype. In order to account for kinship in the estimation of random genotype effects, $\gamma_{GENOTYPE}$, the design matrix **Z**_{GENOTYPE} was multiplied by the cholesky-root of the kinship matrix. The residual error ε was assumed to comprise independent and identically distributed random Normal errors with mean of 0 and variance σ^2 , $\varepsilon \sim N (0, I\sigma^2)$.

Analyses of marker-FT associations were performed using the lme4 package [49] implemented in R [50]. Significance of individual SNP effects was assessed via the *t*-statistic performed at the two-sided alpha = 0.05 level. A multiple testing problem arises, which inflates the false positive rate of the study. A simple and common way to handle this problem is Bonferroni correction where the significance level is divided by the number of tests. However, the Bonferroni correction is too conservative and only suitable for independent tests, an assumption violated in this study due to a high LD between some of the SNPs as shown previously [43]. Therefore, the less stringent significance level of alpha = 0.05 is reported in the paper in order to retain candidates for further validation in upcoming experiments. The exact P-values are available in the Additional file 3 and can be adjusted for multiple testing. Empirical correlations between the 170 SNP-FT associations reported among the three phenotyping platforms were performed using Pearson's correlation based on the t values from the corresponding association tests. The genetic variation explained by an individual SNP or haplotype was calculated as 100 × (($\sigma_g^2 - \sigma_{gSNP}^2$)/ σ_g^2), where σ_g^2 is the genetic variation in the reduced model without an individual SNP and σ^2_{gSNP} is the model including an individual SNP [51]. This ad-hoc measure can result in negative estimates since variance components do not automatically decrease with more adjustment in a model as error sums of squares do; negative estimates were truncated to zero.

Controlled platform analyses

The outcome vector *y* was recovery score and the platform specific effect, $\beta_{PLATFORM}$ included the two years of measurement, 2008 and 2009, and two temperatures, -19 and -21°C. A common platform-specific random effect controlling for the seven chambers across the two years 2008 and 2009 was included in the model, γ_{PLAT} -*FORM* ~ N (0, $I\sigma^2_{chamber}$), as it provided a more parsimonious model with the same goodness-of-fit as compared to a nested random effect structure within year. No additional explicit generation adjustment for S_1 versus $S_{1:2}$ families was included in the statistical model as these were confounded with the fixed effect adjustment for year and the random chamber effects, and hence could not be additionally estimated. In other words, the generation effect was assumed implicitly adjusted for by other year effects in the model.

Semi-controlled platform analyses

The outcome vector *y* was % plants with undamaged leaves measured repeatedly over three months (January, February, and April) in 2008 and two months (February, March) in 2009. Linear mixed models were formulated for individual test units, each comprising approximately 25 plants. The platform-specific fixed effect vector, $\beta_{PLATFORM}$, included three terms: a year effect, an overall linear trend in time for the three months in 2008 and two months in 2009, and the interaction of year and linear trend in time. Three platform-specific random effects (vector $\gamma_{PLATFORM}$) were used: replication, a random intercept and a random trend with month. The replication random effect was assumed uncorrelated with the random intercept and trend.

Field platform analyses

The outcome vector y was % survival and the platformspecific fixed effect $\beta_{PLATFORM}$ included indicator variables for the six environments, five environments in 2009 and one in 2010. Platform-specific random effects included a block effect nested within environments arising from the lattice design.

Haplotype-FT association analyses and gene \times gene interaction

Haplotype phase was determined by subtracting the common parent Lo152 alleles and haplotypes were defined within each candidate gene using DnaSP v5.10 [52]. Haplotype-FT associations were performed using candidate gene haplotypes with MAF > 5%. The same platform-specific statistical models controlling for population structure, kinship, and platform-specific effects were used to test associations between haplotypes of the respective candidate genes and FT. For these analyses β_{hap} replaced β_{SNP} as a measure of the haplotype effect of the non-reference compared to the reference haplotype Lo152. First, significant differences between haplotypes of one gene were assessed using the likelihood ratio test. If the overall statistic was significant, individual haplotype effects were tested against the reference haplotype Lo152 via *t*-tests. Based on haplotype information gene \times gene interactions were assessed using the likelihood ratio test, comparing the full model with main effects plus interaction to the reduced model with main effects only.

Results

Phenotypic data analyses

Phenotypic assessments of FT were carried out in 12 environments from three different phenotyping platforms. Phenotypic data were analyzed separately in each environment (Figure 1). Genotypic variation for FT was significant at both temperatures for both years in the controlled platform (P < 0.001). Recovery scores ranged from a median near 2.5 (between intensive and moderate damage) at -19°C in 2008 to a median near 1.0 (little sign of life) at -21°C in 2009. As expected, recovery scores were higher at -19°C than at -21°C in the same year but were lower in 2009 than in 2008 probably due to different generations of rye material (S_1 vs $S_{1:2}$ families). The high variability at -21°C in 2008 might have been induced by substantial variation between chambers. In the semi-controlled platform, genotypic variation for FT was significant during all months for both years (P < 0.01). Linear decreasing trends were observed during each year which was expected since those were longitudinal data and thus the damaged portions of plants increased during the progression of winter. In the field platform, genotypic variation for FT was significant (P < 0.05) in four (LIP1, LIP2, SAS1, and SAS2) of the six environments (P < 0.05). Compared to other environments, SAS1 and SAS2 showed a better differentiation for FT among genotypes, ranging from 5% to 100% with a median of 75% and 0% to 95% with a median of 20% survival rate, respectively. The large difference of survival rate between SAS1 and SAS2 was probably due to different altitudes and consequently different severity of frost stress.

Population structure and kinship

Based on the STRUCTURE analysis, k = 3 was the most probable number of groups. Populations PR2733 (Belarus) and Petkus (Germany) formed two distinct groups while populations EKOAGRO, SMH2502, and ROM103 (all from Poland) were admixed in the third group with shared membership fractions with population PR2733 (Figure 2). This could likely be attributed to seed exchange between the populations from Belarus and Poland. The relatedness among the 201 genotypes estimated from the allele-similarity kinship matrix ranged from 0.11 to 1.00 with a mean of 0.37. Compared to the Eastern European populations, genotypes from Petkus showed a higher relatedness among each other with a mean of 0.53.

Association analyses

SNP-FT associations were performed using 170 SNPs from twelve candidate genes. In the controlled platform, 69 statistically significant SNPs were identified among



nine genes: ScCbf2, ScCbf9b, ScCbf11, ScCbf12, ScCbf15, ScDhn1, ScDhn3, ScDreb2, ScIce2 (all P < 0.05; Figure 3). In the semi-controlled platform, 22 statistically significant (P < 0.05) SNPs were identified among five genes: ScCbf2, ScCbf11, ScCbf12, ScCbf15, and ScIce2. In the field platform, 29 statistically significant (P < 0.05) SNPs were identified among six genes: ScCbf9b, ScCbf12, ScCbf15, ScDhn1, ScDreb2, and ScIce2. Eightyfour SNPs from nine genes were significantly associated with FT in at least one of the three platforms, and 33 SNPs from six genes were significantly associated with FT in at least two of the three platforms. Across all three phenotyping platforms, two SNPs in ScCbf15 and one SNP in ScCbf12 were significantly associated with FT; all of these three SNPs are non-synonymous, causing amino acid replacements. No SNP-FT associations were found for SNPs in *ScCbf6*, *ScCbf14*, and *ScVrn1*. Full information on SNP-FT associations for all platforms can be found in Additional file 3.

Allelic effects (β_{SNP}) of the 170 SNPs studied were relatively low, ranging from -0.43 to 0.32 for recovery scores in the controlled platform, -2.17% to 2.44% for % plants with undamaged leaves in the semi-controlled platform, and -3.66% to 4.30% for % survival in the field platform (Figure 4). 45.5% of all significant SNPs found in at least one platform had positive allelic effects, indicating the non-reference allele conveyed superior FT to the reference allele. The largest positive β_{SNP} among the





170 SNPs in the field platform was observed for SNP7 in *ScIce2* (β_{SNP} = 4.30). This favorable allele was present predominantly in the PR2733 population (55.2%), and occurred at much lower frequency in the other four populations (EKOAGRO: 4.7%, Petkus: 0%, ROM103: 7.1% and SMH2502: 6.7%). The proportion of genetic

variation explained by individual SNPs ranged from 0% to 27.9% with a median of 0.4% in the controlled platform, from 0% to 25.6% with a median of 1.2% in the semi-controlled platform, and from 0% to 28.9% with a median of 2.0% in the field platform (Figure 5). These distributions were highly concentrated near zero.





Empirical correlations of the SNP-FT association results, in terms of t values, between the three phenotyping platforms were moderate to low. The highest correlation coefficient was observed between the controlled and semi-controlled platform with r = 0.56, followed by correlations between the controlled and field platform with r = 0.54, and the semi-controlled and field platform with r = 0.18. When correlations were restricted to the significant SNPs, slightly higher correlation coefficients were observed with r = 0.64 between the controlled and semi-controlled platform, r = 0.66 between the controlled and field platform, and r = 0.34 between the semi-controlled and field platform.

Haplotype-FT associations were performed using 30 haplotypes (MAF > 5%) in eleven candidate genes. Because only one haplotype in *ScDhn1* had a MAF > 5%, *ScDhn1* was excluded from further analysis. Large numbers of rare haplotypes (MAF < 5%) were found in *ScCbf9b* (N = 62) and *ScCbf12* (N = 22) resulting in large numbers of missing genotypes (87.9% and 61.3%) for the association analysis. Haplotypes 2, 3, and 4 in *ScCbf2* were significantly (P < 0.05) associated with FT in the controlled platform. For haplotypes 1 and 2 in *ScCbf15* and haplotype 1 in *ScIce2*, significant associations (P < 0.05) were found across two and three platforms, respectively (Table 1). Haplotype effects (β_{Hap}) were relatively low and comparable to the allelic effects (β_{SNP}) ranging from -0.31 to 0.49 (recovery score),

-1.71% to 2.74% (% plants with undamaged leaves), and -3.32% to 3.47% (% survival) in the controlled, semi-controlled and field platform, respectively. The highest positive effect on survival rate was observed for haplotype 1 of *Sclce2* in the field platform, implicating this haplotype as the best candidate with superior FT. This favorable haplotype was present mainly in the PR2733 population (35.7%), occurring in much lower frequencies in the other four populations (0.0% in EKOAGRO, 0.0% in Petkus, 5.3% in ROM103, and 6.7% in SMH2503). The proportion of genetic variation explained by the haplotypes ranged from 0% to 25.7% with a median of 1.6% in the controlled platform, from 0% to 17.6% with a median of 1.4% in the semi-controlled platform, and from 0% to 9.3% with a median of 4.8% in the field platform.

Out of all possible gene × gene interactions tested on the basis of haplotypes, eleven, six, and one were significantly (P < 0.05) associated with FT in the controlled, semi-controlled and field platforms, respectively. *ScCbf15* × *ScCbf6*, *ScCbf15* × *ScVrn1*, *ScDhn3* × *ScDreb2*, and *ScDhn3* × *ScVrn1* were significantly associated with FT across two platforms, none were significantly associated with FT across all three platforms (Figure 6).

Discussion

FT is a complex trait with polygenic inheritance. While the genetic basis of FT has been widely studied in

Candidate gene	Name of haplotype ^a	Controlled (recovery score 0-5) ^b			Semi-controlled (% plants with undamaged leaves)			Field (% survival)		
		P- value ^c	β_{Hap}	% genetic variation explained	<i>P-</i> value	β_{Hap}	% genetic variation explained	P- value	β_{Hap}	% genetic variation explained
ScCbf2	Overall ^d	< 0.001	-	25.7	0.21	-	16.3	0.40	-	5.0
	2	0.04	-0.11	-	0.51	-0.51	-	0.73	-0.51	-
	3	< 0.001	0.49	-	0.19	1.36	-	0.12	3.32	-
	4	< 0.001	-0.31	-	0.12	-1.43	-	0.74	0.57	-
ScCbf15	Overall	< 0.01	-	0.6	0.09	-	17.6	0.09	-	4.4
	1	< 0.01	-0.22	-	0.04	-1.69	-	0.06	-3.32	-
	2	< 0.01	-0.21	-	0.13	-0.92	-	0.04	-2.59	-
Scice2	Overall	0.04	-	4.8	0.02	-	13.3	0.13	-	8.1
	1	< 0.01	0.29	-	< 0.01	2.74	-	0.02	3.47	-

Table 1 Summary of haplotypes significantly associated with frost tolerance in at least one platform, their haplotype effects, and percent genetic variation explained by the haplotypes

 $^{\rm a}$ Haplotypes with minor allele frequency (MAF) > 5%

^b 0: completely died. 1: little sign of life. 2: intensive damage. 3: moderate damage. 4: small damage. 5: no damage

^c *P*-values < 0.05 are printed in bold

 $^{\rm d}$ All haplotypes (MAF > 5%) within a candidate gene



cereals by bi-parental linkage mapping and expression profiling, exploitation of the allelic and phenotypic variation of FT in rye by association studies has lagged behind [20,21,24]. This study reports the first candidate gene-based association studies in rye examining the genetic basis of FT.

Statistically significant SNP-FT associations were identified in nine candidate genes hypothesized to be involved in the frost responsive network among which the transcription factor Ice2 is one of the key factors. The function of Ice2 was characterized both in wheat and Arabidopsis [25,26]. Over-expression of Talce2 and AtIce2 in transgenic Arabidopsis plants resulted in increased FT of transgenic plants and was associated with higher expression levels of the *Cbf* gene family. Using electrophoresis mobility shift assays, Badawi et al. [25] further showed that *Talce2* binds to the promoter region of TaCbf9. We were not able to detect interaction between ScIce2 and ScCbf9b probably due to the large number of rare haplotypes (MAF < 5%) in ScCbf9b resulting in missing genotypes (87.9%) and thus in insufficient statistical power to identify gene × gene interaction. However, in the rye homolog ScIce2, we detected 30 out of 37 SNPs with high LD (average $r^2 = 0.85$) which were significantly associated with FT. Our results support the findings of expression studies that *Ice2* is one of the key elements in the frost responsive network. Given that these 30 SNPs are all located in the first intron of the gene, they are unlikely to be functional. However, it is possible that they are in LD with functional polymorphisms located in the coding sequence (CDS) of the gene which we have not investigated due to a lack of rye sequences in GenBank for primer design. The favorable allele of SNP7 in ScIce2 had a relatively large allelic effect on FT in the controlled and field platforms when compared to other SNPs in this study. This allele was present predominantly in the PR2733 population while entirely absent in the Petkus population. Thus, this SNP might facilitate markerassisted backcrossing to introgress favorable genomic regions into the Petkus population, thereby improving FT of current breeding materials.

The *Cbf* gene family, regulated by *Ice2*, belongs to the family of *APETALA2* (*AP2*) transcription factors, some of which are closely linked in cereals and map to the FT locus *Fr2* on homoeologous group 5 of the *Triticeae* [20-22]. Expression studies have revealed that the *Cbf* gene family is involved in the frost responsive network in diverse species [10,24,53]. In this study, seven *Cbf* genes were investigated and statistically significant associations were found in at least one platform for *ScCbf2*, *ScCbf9b*, *ScCbf11*, *ScCbf12*, and *ScCbf15* but not for *ScCbf6* and *ScCbf14*. This confirms previous studies that not all members of the *Cbf* gene family are involved in

the frost responsive network [24,53]. In ScCbf2, a 200 bp Indel was highly associated ($P = 6.27e^{-5}$) with FT in the controlled platform and explained a high proportion of the genetic variation in the controlled (25.7%) and semi-controlled (16.3%) platforms. It is noteworthy that this 200 bp Indel in the promoter of ScCbf2 contained two MYB and one MYC cis-elements. In wheat the presence of MYB and MYC elements has been shown to affect the binding specificity of TaIce41 (wheat homolog of ScIce2) and consequently the expression level of the TaCbf gene family [25]. Expression studies are needed to investigate the effect of multiple binding sites for ScIce2 in *Cbf* gene promoters on the expression level of Cbf genes. A study in Triticum monococcum suggested that polymorphisms in TmCbf12, TmCbf14, and TmCbf15 are the most likely explanation for observed differences in FT [22]. Among the four significantly associated Cbf genes in our study, one SNP in ScCbf12 and two SNPs in high LD ($r^2 = 0.73$) in ScCbf15 were significantly associated with FT across all three platforms. Given that these three SNPs are all non-synonymous, leading to amino acid exchanges in the CDS of their respective genes, they are good candidates for functional genetic studies. In a recent study, Fricano et al. [54] found two SNPs located in the 3'-untranslated region of HvCbf14 significantly associated with FT in wheat. The 3'-untranslated region of ScCbf14 was not sequenced in this study; it would be interesting to sequence this region to investigate whether it also contains SNPs significantly associated with FT in rye as well. However, members of the *Cbf* gene family are not the only key factors in the frost responsive network [55,56]. Hannah et al. [10] reported that 45% of the Arabidopsis transcriptome was cold responsive, but only 33% of the cold responsive transcriptome belonged to the *Cbf* regulon and in a study of wheat, Monroy et al. [13] reported that at least one-third of genes induced by cold did not belong to the *Cbf* regulon. The transcription factor AtHOS9, which encodes a putative homeobox protein, has been shown to contribute to the regulation of FT in Arabidopsis independently of the Cbf regulon [57]. Thus, extending our research to more candidate genes of the frost responsive network will certainly be worthwhile.

The *Dreb2* gene, another member of the *AP2* transcription factor family, has been isolated and characterized in several crop species such as wheat, barley, maize, and rice [58-61]. Similar to *Cbf* genes, *Dreb2* can specifically bind to DRE/CRT *cis*-elements of the stress-inducible target genes, albeit primarily under drought rather than cold/frost stress [62]. However, it is not surprising that *Dreb2* can also be induced by cold/frost as shown by recent studies in wheat and maize since both drought and cold/frost stresses lead to dehydration of cells

[59,60]. In this study, three SNPs in *ScDreb2* were significantly associated with FT supporting the hypothesis that *Dreb2* in rye is not only involved in drought response but also in frost response.

The dehydrin genes, part of the *COR* gene family, are regulated by the *Cbf* gene family and the *Dreb2* gene via the *cis*-element DRE/CRT present in the promoter region of *COR* genes [19]. Transcripts of *HvDhn1*, *HvDhn3* and other *HvDhn* genes were detected under frost stress in barley [18]. We detected two SNPs in the promoter region of *ScDhn1* and one SNP in the intron of *ScDhn3* with significant associations with FT in the controlled platform. These SNPs might serve as variants which affect the binding specificity of the *Cbf* gene family.

Effect sizes of markers, commonly expressed as percentage of the genetic variance explained by markers, are of primary interest in association studies since they are the main factors that determine the effectiveness of subsequent marker assisted-selection processes. Two hypotheses for the distribution of effect sizes in quantitative traits have been proposed: Mather' s "infinitesimal" model and Robertson's model [63]. The former assumes an effectively infinitesimal number of loci with very small and nearly equal effect sizes; the latter, an exponential trend of the distribution of effects whereby a few loci have relatively large effects and the rest only small effects. Findings in this study support the latter, with distributions of SNP effect sizes (percentage of the genetic variance explained by individual SNPs) highly concentrated near zero and few SNPs having large effects (maximum 28.8% explained genetic variation). A similar distribution of haplotype effect sizes was observed. A recent review summarizing association studies in 15 different plant species also implicated Robertson's model and further suggested that phenotypic traits, species, and types of variants may impact distributions of effect sizes [64]. Studies on the genetic architecture of quantitative traits have become a challenging task in recent years [64-66]. We will further investigate this topic with a genome-wide association study to obtain a more complete picture of the genetic architecture of FT.

Epistasis, generally defined as the interaction between genes, has been recognized for over a century [67], and recently it has been suggested that it should be explicitly modeled in association studies in order to detect "missing heritabilities" [68,69]. Several recent association studies in plants have revealed the presence of epistasis in complex traits, including potato tuber quality, barley flowering time, and maize kernel quality [70-72]. In this study, eleven, six, and one significant (P < 0.05) gene × gene interaction effects were found in the controlled, semi-controlled and field platforms, respectively, suggesting that epistasis may play a role in the frost responsive network. From the frost responsive network, one might hypothesize that transcription factors interact with their downstream target genes, for example, that ScIce2 interacts with the ScCbf gene family and the latter interacts with COR genes, such as the dehydrin (*Dhn*) gene family. Indeed significant interactions were observed between $ScIce2 \times ScCbf15$, $ScCbf14 \times ScDhn3$, and $ScDreb2 \times ScDhn3$. Some candidate genes in the same cascade level also interact with each other, such as members of the ScCbf gene family ScCbf6 × ScCbf15 and ScCbf11 × ScCbf14. Similar interactions within the Cbf gene family were also observed in Arabidopsis where AtCbf2 was indicated as a negative regulator of AtCbf1and AtCbf3[73]. In this study, ScVrn1 was not significantly associated with FT but had significant interaction effects with six other candidate genes, underlining the important role of ScVrn1 in the frost responsive network. To confirm direct physical interactions of transcription factors with their downstream target genes, further experiments are needed, for example, electrophoresis mobility shift assays or ChIP (chromatin immunoprecipitation) sequencing technology. It is worth to point out that the power of detecting gene \times gene interaction might be low due to relative small sample size.

Low to moderate empirical correlations of SNP-FT associations were observed across the three platforms reflecting the complexity of FT and thus the need for different platforms in order to more accurately characterize FT. There are at least two reasons that might explain why relatively low to medium empirical correlations of SNP-FT associations were observed: 1) different duration and intensity of freezing temperature and 2) different levels of confounding effects from environmental factors other than frost stress per se. In the controlled platform, plants were cold-hardened and then exposed to freezing temperatures (-19°C or -21°C) in a short period of six days using defined temperature profiles. Recovery score in the controlled platform represents the most pure and controlled measurement of FT among the three platforms since the effect of environmental factors other than frost stress is minimized. In the semi-controlled platform, plants were exposed to much longer freezing periods with fluctuating temperatures and repeated frost-thaw processes. In addition, a more complex situation occurs in this platform, requiring plants to cope with other variable climatic factors such as changing photoperiod, natural light intensity, wind, and limited water supply. Thus, the measurement % plants with undamaged leaves in the semi-controlled platform reflects the combined effect of various environmental influences and stresses on the vitality of leaf tissue but does not mirror survival of the crown tissue as indicator for frost tolerance. In the field platform, winter

temperatures were generally lower than in the semi-controlled platform due to the strong continental climate in Eastern Europe and Canada (Additional file 1). The measurement % survival in the field is further confounded by environmental effects, such as snow-coverage, soil uniformity, topography, and other unmeasured factors. The different experimental platforms permit the identification of different sets of genes associated with FT, which might impact the correlations of SNP-FT associations across platforms. It is worth to point out that the correlation between the controlled and semicontrolled platform was higher than between the semicontrolled and field platform. One possible explanation is that plant growth in boxes in both controlled and semi-controlled platforms results in a rather similar environment where roots are more exposed to freezing than in the field. Several studies suggested that different genes might be induced under different frost stress treatments. A large number of blueberry genes induced in growth chambers were not induced under field conditions [74]. In rye, Campoli et al. [24] drew the conclusion that expression patterns of different members of the Cbf gene family were affected by different acclimation temperatures and sampling times. Most prior studies on FT have been conducted in controlled environments. However, the relatively low to medium correlation among platforms in this study suggest that future studies should consider various scenarios in order to obtain a more complete picture of the genetic basis of FT in rye.

Conclusions

Identification of alleles and genes underlying agronomic traits such as FT is important for genome-based breeding. Based on phenotypic data from three different phenotyping platforms, including field trials, our study showed that the Cbf gene family plays an important role in FT of rye. Nine out of twelve candidate genes that had previously been shown to be directly involved in the cold/frost responsive network were significantly associated with FT. Several significant gene × gene interactions were observed indicating the presence of epistatic interactions between genes involved in the frost responsive network. Our results demonstrated that the candidate gene-based association approach remains one of the most appropriate strategies for gene identification, given the huge genome size of rye (~8,100 Mb) and the rapid decline of linkage disequilibrium (LD) revealed in a previous study [43]. Validation of SNPs and haplotypes associated with FT will be performed in future studies to determine the diagnostic value of markers for markerassisted selection in rye breeding programs.

Additional material

Additional file 1: Geographical coordinates and climate data for semi-controlled and field platforms. The file contains geographical coordinates of the experimental stations, dates of sowing and scoring, and temperature during the trial period in the semi-controlled and field platforms.

Additional file 2: Supplementary information on primers and sequence analysis for *ScDreb2*. The file contains two tables. Table S1 describes the primer information of *ScDreb2*. Table S2 is a summary of the *ScDreb2* sequence analysis including analyzed fragment length, gene coverage, number of lines, number of SNPs (MAF > 0.05), number of Indels and haplotypes, haplotype (*Hd*) and nucleotide diversity (π), and linkage disequilibrium (LD).

Additional file 3: Full information of SNP-FT associations. The file contains allelic effect (β_{SNP}), SNP effect (% genetic variation explained), and *P*-value of 170 SNPs associated with FT in controlled, semi-controlled, and field platforms.

Acknowledgements

This study is supported by a grant (FKZ 0315062 A and B, Project GABI RYE-FROST) from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF). We are grateful to Gabor Galiba (ARI, Martonvásár, Hungary), Brian Fowler (University of Saskatoon, Saskatoon, Canada), Thomas Miedaner and Christof I. Kling (Universität Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany) for participating in phenotyping. The first author gratefully acknowledges the support of the "Graduiertenzentrum Weihenstephan" from the Technische Universität München Graduate School (TUM-GS), Germany.

Author details

¹Plant Breeding, Technische Universität München, Freising, Germany. ²Biostatistics Unit, Technische Universität München, Freising, Germany. ³KWS LOCHOW GMBH, Bergen, Germany. ⁴Department of Mathematics, Technische Universität München, Garching, Germany.

Authors' contributions

YL carried out the candidate gene and population structure analysis, participated in the statistical analyses and drafted the manuscript. AB carried out statistical analyses. GH participated in the molecular analyses and interpretation of the results. DA participated in statistical analysis and interpretation of the results. VK provided SSR marker data. PW developed the plant material. EB and CCS designed and coordinated the study and interpreted the results. All authors read, edited and approved the final manuscript.

Received: 4 July 2011 Accepted: 27 October 2011 Published: 27 October 2011

References

- Gusta LV, Willen R, Fu P, Robertson AJ, Wu GH: Genetic and environmental control of winter survival of winter cereals. Acta Agron Acad Sci Hung 1997, 45(3):231-240.
- 2. Chinnusamy V, Zhu J, Zhu JK: Cold stress regulation of gene expression in plants. *Trends Plant Sci* 2007, **12(10)**:444-451.
- Saulescu NN, Braun HJ: Cold tolerance. In Application of physiology in wheat breeding. Edited by: Reynolds MP, Ortiz-Monasterio JI, McNab A. Mexico, D.F: CIMMYT; 2001:111-123.
- 4. Fowler DB, Limin AE: Exploitable genetic variability for cold tolerance in commercially grown cereals. *Can J Plant Sci* 1987, **67**(1):278-278.
- Hömmö LM: Hardening of some winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*), rye (Secale cereals L.), triticale (*Triticosecale Wittmack*) and winter barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*) cultivars during autumn and the final winter survival in Finland. *Plant Breed* 1994, 112(4):285-293.
- 6. Thomashow MF: Plant cold acclimation: Freezing tolerance genes and regulatory mechanisms. *Annu Rev Plant Phys* 1999, **50**:571-599.
- Fowler DB, Gusta LV, Tyler NJ: Selection for winterhardiness in wheat. III. Screening methods. Crop Sci 1981, 21(6):896-901.

- Dorffling K, Schulenburg S, Lesselich G, Dorffling H: Abscisic acid and proline levels in cold hardened winter wheat leaves in relation to variety-specific differences in freezing resistance. J Agron Crop Sci 1990, 165(4):230-239.
- 9. Houde M, Dhindsa RS, Sarhan F: A molecular marker to select for freezing tolerance in *Gramineae*. Mol Gen Genet 1992, 234(1):43-48.
- Hannah MA, Heyer AG, Hincha DK: A global survey of gene regulation during cold acclimation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plos Genet* 2005, 1(2):179-196.
- Kreps JA, Wu YJ, Chang HS, Zhu T, Wang X, Harper JF: Transcriptome changes for Arabidopsis in response to salt, osmotic, and cold stress. *Plant Physiol* 2002, 130(4):2129-2141.
- Vogel JT, Zarka DG, Van Buskirk HA, Fowler SG, Thomashow MF: Roles of the CBF2 and ZAT12 transcription factors in configuring the low temperature transcriptome of Arabidopsis. Plant J 2005, 41(2):195-211.
- Monroy AF, Dryanova A, Malette B, Oren DH, Farajalla MR, Liu W, Danyluk J, Ubayasena LWC, Kane K, Scoles GJ, *et al*: Regulatory gene candidates and gene expression analysis of cold acclimation in winter and spring wheat. *Plant Mol Biol* 2007, 64(4):409-423.
- 14. Winfield MO, Lu CG, Wilson ID, Coghill JA, Edwards KJ: Plant responses to cold: transcriptome analysis of wheat. *Plant Biotech J* 2010, **8(7)**:749-771.
- 15. Kosova K, Vitamvas P, Prasil IT: The role of dehydrins in plant response to cold. *Biol Plant* 2007, **51(4)**:601-617.
- Moellering ER, Muthan B, Benning C: Freezing tolerance in plants requires lipid remodeling at the outer chloroplast membrane. *Science* 2010, 330(6001):226-228.
- Choi DW, Zhu B, Close TJ: The barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) dehydrin multigene family: sequences, allele types, chromosome assignments, and expression characteristics of 11 Dhn genes of cv Dicktoo. Theor Appl Genet 1999, 98(8):1234-1247.
- Zhu B, Choi DW, Fenton R, Close TJ: Expression of the barley dehydrin multigene family and the development of freezing tolerance. *Mol Gen Genet* 2000, 264(1-2):145-153.
- Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K: Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2006, 57:781-803.
- Francia E, Barabaschi D, Tondelli A, Laido G, Rizza F, Stanca AM, Busconi M, Fogher C, Stockinger EJ, Pecchioni N: Fine mapping of a *HvCBF* gene cluster at the frost resistance locus *Fr-H2* in barley. *Theor Appl Genet* 2007, 115(8):1083-1091.
- Baga M, Chodaparambil SV, Limin AE, Pecar M, Fowler DB, Chibbar RN: Identification of quantitative trait loci and associated candidate genes for low-temperature tolerance in cold-hardy winter wheat. *Funct Integr Genomics* 2007, 7(1):53-68.
- Knox AK, Li CX, Vagujfalvi A, Galiba G, Stockinger EJ, Dubcovsky J: Identification of candidate CBF genes for the frost tolerance locus Fr-A (m)2 in Triticum monococcum. Plant Mol Biol 2008, 67(3):257-270.
- Alm V, Busso CS, Ergon A, Rudi H, Larsen A, Humphreys MW, Rognli OA: QTL analyses and comparative genetic mapping of frost tolerance, winter survival and drought tolerance in meadow fescue (*Festuca pratensis* Huds.). *Theor Appl Genet* 2011, **123**(3):369-382.
- Campoli C, Matus-Cadiz MA, Pozniak CJ, Cattivelli L, Fowler DB: Comparative expression of *Cbf* genes in the *Triticeae* under different acclimation induction temperatures. *Mol Genet Genomics* 2009, 282(2):141-152.
- Badawi M, Reddy YV, Agharbaoui Z, Tominaga Y, Danyluk J, Sarhan F, Houde M: Structure and functional analysis of wheat *ICE* (inducer of *CBF* expression) genes. *Plant Cell Physio* 2008, 49(8):1237-1249.
- Fursova OV, Pogorelko GV, Tarasov VA: Identification of *ICE2*, a gene involved in cold acclimation which determines freezing tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Gene* 2009, 429(1-2):98-103.
- Kaplan F, Kopka J, Haskell DW, Zhao W, Schiller KC, Gatzke N, Sung DY, Guy CL: Exploring the temperature-stress metabolome of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol* 2004, 136(4):4159-4168.
- Cook D, Fowler S, Fiehn O, Thomashow MF: A prominent role for the CBF cold response pathway in configuring the low-temperature metabolome of Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101(42):15243-15248.
- 29. Tester M, Langridge P: Breeding technologies to increase crop production in a changing world. *Science* 2010, **327(5967)**:818-822.
- Rafalski A: Applications of single nucleotide polymorphisms in crop genetics. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2002, 5(2):94-100.

- Thornsberry JM, Goodman MM, Doebley J, Kresovich S, Nielsen D, Buckler ES: *Dwarf8* polymorphisms associate with variation in flowering time. *Nature Genet* 2001, 28(3):286-289.
- Zhao K, Aranzana M, Kim S, Lister C, Shindo C, Tang C, Toomajian C, Zheng H, Dean C, Marjoram P, et al: An Arabidopsis example of association mapping in structured samples. *Plos Genet* 2007, 3:e4.
- Malosetti M, van der Linden CG, Vosman B, van Eeuwijk FA: A mixedmodel approach to association mapping using pedigree information with an illustration of resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in potato. *Genetics* 2007, 175(2):879-889.
- Harjes CE, Rocheford TR, Bai L, Brutnell TP, Kandianis CB, Sowinski SG, Stapleton AE, Vallabhaneni R, Williams M, Wurtzel ET, et al: Natural genetic variation in lycopene epsilon cyclase tapped for maize biofortification. *Science* 2008, 319(5861):330-333.
- Aranzana MJ, Kim S, Zhao KY, Bakker E, Horton M, Jakob K, Lister C, Molitor J, Shindo C, Tang CL, et al: Genome-wide association mapping in Arabidopsis identifies previously known flowering time and pathogen resistance genes. PLoS Genet 2005, 1(5):531-539.
- Devlin B, Roeder K: Genomic control for association studies. Biometrics 1999, 55:997-1004.
- 37. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Rosenberg NA, Donnelly P: Association mapping in structured populations. *Am J Hum Genet* 2000, **67**(1):170-181.
- Yu JM, Pressoir G, Briggs WH, Bi IV, Yamasaki M, Doebley JF, McMullen MD, Gaut BS, Nielsen DM, Holland JB, et al: A unified mixed-model method for association mapping that accounts for multiple levels of relatedness. *Nature Genet* 2006, 38(2):203-208.
- Stich B, Möhring J, Piepho HP, Heckenberger M, Buckler ES, Melchinger AE: Comparison of mixed-model approaches for association mapping. *Genetics* 2008, 178(3):1745-1754.
- Rogowsky PM, Guidet FLY, Langridge P, Shepherd KW, Koebner RMD: Isolation and characterisation of wheat-rye recombinants involving chromosome arm 1DS of wheat. Theor Appl Genet 1991, 82(5):537-544.
- Vagujfalvi A, Galiba G, Cattivelli L, Dubcovsky J: The cold-regulated transcriptional activator *Cbf3* is linked to the frost-tolerance locus *Fr-A2* on wheat chromosome 5A. *Mol Genet Genomics* 2003, 269(1):60-67.
- 42. Fitzmaurice GM, Laird NM, Ware JH: Applied longitudinal analysis. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons; 2004.
- Li YL, Haseneyer G, Schön CC, Ankerst D, Korzun V, Wilde P, Bauer E: High levels of nucleotide diversity and fast decline of linkage disequilibrium in rye (Secale cereale L.) genes involved in frost response. *BMC Plant Biol* 2011, 11:6.
- Khlestkina EK, Ma HMT, Pestsova EG, Roder MS, Malyshev SV, Korzun V, Börner A: Mapping of 99 new microsatellite-derived loci in rye (Secale cereale L.) including 39 expressed sequence tags. Theor Appl Genet 2004, 109(4):725-732.
- 45. Hackauf B, Wehling P: Identification of microsatellite polymorphisms in an expressed portion of the rye genome. *Plant Breed* 2002, 121(1):17-25.
- 46. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P: Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 2000, 155(2):945-959.
- Hayes BJ, Goddard ME: Technical note: Prediction of breeding values using marker-derived relationship matrices. J Anim Sci 2008, 86(9):2089-2092.
- Galiba G, Quarrie SA, Sutka J, Morgounov A, Snape JW: RFLP mapping of the vernalization (Vrn1) and frost resistance (Fr1) genes on chromosome 5A of wheat. Theor Appl Genet 1995, 90(7-8):1174-1179.
- 49. Bates D, Maechler M: Ime4: Linear mixed-effects models using S4 classes. *R package version 0999375-35* 2010.
- 50. R Development Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2009.
- Mathews KL, Malosetti M, Chapman S, McIntyre L, Reynolds M, Shorter R, van Eeuwijk F: Multi-environment QTL mixed models for drought stress adaptation in wheat. *Theor Appl Genet* 2008, 117(7):1077-1091.
- 52. Rozas J, Sanchez-DelBarrio JC, Messeguer X, Rozas R: DnaSP, DNA polymorphism analyses by the coalescent and other methods. *Bioinformatics* 2003, **19(18)**:2496-2497.
- Stockinger EJ, Skinner JS, Gardner KG, Francia E, Pecchioni N: Expression levels of barley *Cbf* genes at the Frost resistance-H2 locus are dependent upon alleles at *Fr-H1* and *Fr-H2*. *Plant J* 2007, 51(2):308-321.
- 54. Fricano A, Rizza F, Faccioli P, Pagani D, Pavan P, Stella A, Rossini L, Piffanelli P, Cattivelli L: Genetic variants of *HvCbf14* are statistically

associated with frost tolerance in a European germplasm collection of *Hordeum vulgare.* Theor Appl Genet 2009, **119(7)**:1335-1348.

- Fowler S, Thomashow MF: *Arabidopsis* transcriptome profiling indicates that multiple regulatory pathways are activated during cold acclimation in addition to the *CBF* cold response pathway. *Plant Cell* 2002, 14(8):1675-1690.
- McKhann HI, Gery C, Berard A, Leveque S, Zuther E, Hincha DK, De Mita S, Brunel D, Teoule E: Natural variation in *CBF* gene sequence, gene expression and freezing tolerance in the Versailles core collection of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *BMC Plant Biol* 2008, 8:105.
- Zhu JH, Shi HZ, Lee BH, Damsz B, Cheng S, Stirm V, Zhu JK, Hasegawa PM, Bressan RA: An Arabidopsis homeodomain transcription factor gene, HOS9, mediates cold tolerance through a CBF-independent pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101(26):9873-9878.
- Xue GP, Loveridge CW: *HvDRF1* is involved in abscisic acid-mediated gene regulation in barley and produces two forms of AP2 transcriptional activators, interacting preferably with a CT-rich element. *Plant J* 2004, **37(3)**:326-339.
- Egawa C, Kobayashi F, Ishibashi M, Nakamura T, Nakamura C, Takumi S: Differential regulation of transcript accumulation and alternative splicing of a DREB2 homolog under abiotic stress conditions in common wheat. Genes Genet Syst 2006, 81(2):77-91.
- Qin F, Kakimoto M, Sakuma Y, Maruyama K, Osakabe Y, Tran LSP, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K: Regulation and functional analysis of *ZmDREB2A* in response to drought and heat stresses in *Zea mays L*. *Plant J* 2007, 50(1):54-69.
- Dubouzet JG, Sakuma Y, Ito Y, Kasuga M, Dubouzet EG, Miura S, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K: OsDREB genes in rice, Oryza sativa L., encode transcription activators that function in drought-, high-salt-, and cold-responsive gene expression. Plant J 2003, 33(4):751-763.
- 62. Liu Q, Kasuga M, Sakuma Y, Abe H, Miura S, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K: Two transcription factors, *DREB1* and *DREB2*, with an EREBP/ AP2 DNA binding domain separate two cellular signal transduction pathways in drought- and low-temperature-responsive gene expression, respectively, in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 1998, **10**(8):1391-1406.
- 63. Mackay TFC: The genetic architecture of quantitative traits. Annual Review of Genetics 2001, 35:303-339.
- 64. Ingvarsson PK, Street NR: Association genetics of complex traits in plants. *New Phytol* 2010, **189(4)**:909-922.
- Flint J, Mackay TFC: Genetic architecture of quantitative traits in mice, flies, and humans. *Genome Research* 2009, 19(5):723-733.
- Buckler ES, Holland JB, Bradbury PJ, Acharya CB, Brown PJ, Browne C, Ersoz E, Flint-Garcia S, Garcia A, Glaubitz JC, et al: The genetic architecture of maize flowering time. *Science* 2009, 325(5941):714-718.
- Bateson W: Mendel's principles of heredity. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1909.
- Phillips PC: Epistasis the essential role of gene interactions in the structure and evolution of genetic systems. *Nat Rev Genet* 2008, 9(11):855-867.
- Wu XS, Dong H, Luo L, Zhu Y, Peng G, Reveille JD, Xiong MM: A novel statistic for genome-wide interaction analysis. *PLoS Genet* 2010, 6(9): e1001131.
- Li L, Paulo MJ, van Eeuwijk F, Gebhardt C: Statistical epistasis between candidate gene alleles for complex tuber traits in an association mapping population of tetraploid potato. *Theor Appl Genet* 2010, 121(7):1303-1310.
- Stracke S, Haseneyer G, Veyrieras JB, Geiger HH, Sauer S, Graner A, Piepho HP: Association mapping reveals gene action and interactions in the determination of flowering time in barley. *Theor Appl Genet* 2009, 118(2):259-273.
- Manicacci D, Camus-Kulandaivelu L, Fourmann M, Arar C, Barrault S, Rousselet A, Feminias N, Consoli L, Frances L, Mechin V, et al: Epistatic interactions between *Opaque2* transcriptional activator and its target gene *CyPPDK1* control kernel trait variation in maize. *Plant Physiol* 2009, 150(1):506-520.
- Novillo F, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Salinas J: CBF2/DREB1C is a negative regulator of CBF1/DREB1B and CBF3/DREB1A expression and plays a central role in, stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101(11):3985-3990.
- 74. Dhanaraj AL, Alkharouf NW, Beard HS, Chouikha IB, Matthews BF, Wei H, Arora R, Rowland LJ: Major differences observed in transcript profiles of

blueberry during cold acclimation under field and cold room conditions. *Planta* 2007, **225(3)**:735-751.

doi:10.1186/1471-2229-11-146

Cite this article as: Li *et al.*: Association analysis of frost tolerance in rye using candidate genes and phenotypic data from controlled, semicontrolled, and field phenotyping platforms. *BMC Plant Biology* 2011 11:146.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) Bio Med Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit