

Avian use of Old Man Saltbush (*Atriplex nummularia nummularia*) plantings in the fragmented agricultural landscapes of the South Australian Murray Mallee



Timothy S. Richards

B.Sc. (Hons), B.NRM

Submitted for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Earth and  
Environmental Sciences

The University of Adelaide

September 2013



FUTURE FARM  
INDUSTRIES CRC  
PROFITABLE PERFORMANCE FOR AUSTRALIAN LANDGROWERS

Cover photo: Storm clouds over rows of planted saltbush near Waikerie, South Australia. T. Richards.

### *Declaration*

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signature

Date



---

# Table of Contents

---

<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>xvii</b>
<b>Chapter 1 General Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 The legacy of agriculture .....	1
1.2 Broadening the conservation focus .....	3
1.3 Conservation in Australian agricultural landscapes .....	5
1.4 Native species plantings in southern Australian landscapes .....	6
1.5 Fodder plantings of Old Man Saltbush .....	7
1.6 Research directions .....	8
1.7 Current research project .....	9
<b>Chapter 2 Study Region and Focal Bird Species</b> .....	<b>11</b>
2.1 The South Australian Murray Mallee .....	11
2.2 Focal bird species .....	16
2.3 Study Sites.....	21
<b>Chapter 3 Bird communities in mixed farming landscapes of the South Australian Murray Mallee: the contribution of saltbush plantings.</b> .....	<b>27</b>
3.1 Abstract .....	29
3.2 Introduction .....	29
3.3 Methodology .....	31
3.4 Results .....	35
3.5 Discussion .....	41
<b>Chapter 4 Using saltbush plantings: foraging by birds in plantings of Old Man Saltbush (<i>Atriplex nummularia nummularia</i>) in fragmented agricultural landscapes. ...</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1 Abstract .....	47
4.2 Introduction .....	48
4.3 Methodology .....	50

4.4	Results .....	55
4.5	Discussion .....	59
<b>Chapter 5 Cover is crucial: Spatial patterns of foraging birds in planted saltbush and remnant vegetation are driven by shrub-level cover.....</b>		<b>65</b>
5.1	Abstract .....	65
5.2	Introduction .....	66
5.3	Methodology .....	69
5.4	Results .....	75
5.5	Discussion .....	85
<b>Chapter 6 Prey availability and its influence on the spatial patterns of foraging birds in planted saltbush and remnant vegetation in the Murray Mallee, South Australia. ...</b>		<b>91</b>
6.1	Abstract .....	91
6.2	Introduction .....	92
6.3	Methodology .....	94
6.4	Results .....	97
6.5	Discussion: .....	106
<b>Chapter 7 General discussion .....</b>		<b>111</b>
7.1	Key findings .....	112
7.2	Synthesis .....	114
7.3	Management implications .....	115
7.4	Research directions .....	119
7.5	Concluding remarks .....	122
<b>References.....</b>		<b>123</b>
<b>Appendices.....</b>		<b>143</b>

---

## List of Figures

---

**Figure 2.1.** The study region and site locations ( $n = 16$ ) in the South Australian Murray Mallee.

**Figure 2.2.** Mean monthly temperature maxima and minima across the study period (2010 to 2012) recorded at Karoonda and long term (1974 to 2003) recorded at Caliph (Bureau of Meteorology 2010).

**Figure 2.3.** Mean monthly rainfall across the study period (2010 to 2012) and the long term (1914 to 2012) recorded at Karoonda (Bureau of Meteorology 2010).

**Figure 2.4.** Study design consisting of four treatments; remnant native vegetation with adjacent saltbush plantings (SB-Rem), isolated remnant vegetation (Rem-Iso), isolated saltbush plantings (SB-Iso) and cleared agricultural land (Agr-Iso). Survey quadrats were used in Chapter 3 only.

**Figure 3.1.** Study region, the northern Murray Mallee of South Australia. Study site types are SB-Rem (remnant native vegetation with adjacent saltbush), Rem-Iso (isolated remnant native vegetation), SB-Iso (isolated saltbush) and Ag-Iso (agricultural land isolated from other vegetation) (see methods).

**Figure 3.2.** Bird abundance (number of birds/site per survey) and species richness (number of species/site) at the four study site types (see methods) during spring 2010 and autumn 2011. Each box indicates the median (line), 25% and 75% quartiles; whiskers indicate minima and maxima.

**Figure 3.3.** Two-dimensional non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination of bird community distribution across survey site types for the 25 most commonly occurring species (see Appendix 1) based on incidence ( $I$ ) values for both spring and autumn. Distances between sample units approximate strength of bird species association with treatments (McCune and Grace 2002). Data were collected during spring (S) 2010 and autumn (A) 2011. Bird species: AM = Australian Magpie, AP = Australasian Pipit, AR = Australian Raven, ARN = Australian Ringneck, BB = Blue Bonnet, BSK = Black-shouldered Kite, BT = Brown Thornbill, BTC = Brown Treecreeper, CP = Crested Pigeon, CRT = Chestnut-rumped Thornbill, CBZ = Common Bronzewing, GAL = Galah, GST = Grey Shrike-thrush, JW =

Jacky Winter, SIH = Singing Honeyeater, SQ = Stubble Quail, STP = Striated Pardalote, SWF = Southern Whiteface, VFW = Variegated Fairy-wren, WBB = White-browed Babbler, WFC = White-fronted Chat, WW = Willie Wagtail, WWC = White-winged Chough, YRT = Yellow-rumped Thornbill and YTM = Yellow-throated Miner.

**Figure 3.4.** Two-dimensional non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination of vegetation structure based on percentage cover of canopy (Rem Can), sub-canopy (Rem F2-4 m), understorey (Rem U/S), fallen timber/leaf litter (Rem FT/Lit) and groundcover (Rem GC) within remnant vegetation; understorey (SB U/S) and groundcover (SB GC) in saltbush plantings; and groundcover (Ag GC) in agricultural land across the four study site types: SB-Rem, Rem-Iso, SB-Iso, Ag-Iso. Distances between sample units approximate dissimilarity in site composition and the angles and lengths of the radiating lines (vectors) indicate the direction and strength of relationships of the variables with the ordination scores (McCune and Grace 2002). Data were collected during spring (S) 2010 and autumn (A) 2011.

**Figure 4.1.** Percentage use of behaviours by White-browed Babblers (WBB), Chestnut-crowned Babblers (CCB) and Variegated Fairy-wrens (VFW) in remnant (R) and saltbush (SB) vegetation during spring 2011 (S11), autumn 2012 (A12) and spring 2012 (S12). Total number of observations shown above each column.

**Figure 4.2.** Percentage use of foraging substrates by White-browed Babblers (WBB), Chestnut-crowned Babblers (CCB) and Variegated Fairy-wrens (VFW) in remnant (R) and saltbush (SB) vegetation during spring 2011 (S11), autumn 2012 (A12) and spring 2012 (S12). Total number of observations shown above each column.

**Figure 4.3.** Percentage use of foraging heights by White-browed Babblers (WBB), Chestnut-crowned Babblers (CCB) and Variegated Fairy-wrens (VFW) in remnant (R) and saltbush (SB) vegetation during spring 2011 (S11), autumn 2012 (A12) and spring 2012 (S12). Total number of observations shown above each column.

**Figure 5.1.** Measurement of foliage cover across five height bands (horizontal dotted lines) and the location of foliage density measures (vertical dashed lines) along survey transect (thick horizontal line) taken during vegetation surveys conducted in hotspots and coldspots within bird home ranges.



**Figure 5.2.** White-browed Babbler home range and utilisation distributions (point density) at Rem-Iso site in landscape four (group two, n = 3667) during spring 2011 (blue outline), autumn 2012 (yellow outline) and spring 2012 (green outline).

**Figure 5.3.** White-browed Babbler (group eight, solid line, n = 5129) and Variegated Fairy-wren (group five, dashed line, n = 926) home ranges and utilisation distributions (point density) at SB-Rem site in landscape four (groups eight and five respectively) during spring 2011 (blue outline), autumn 2012 (yellow outline) and spring 2012 (green outline). Variegated Fairy-wren group five could not be located during spring 2012.

**Figure 5.4.** White-browed Babbler home range and utilisation distributions (point density) at SB-Iso site in landscape one (group four, n = 1984) during spring 2011 (blue outline) and autumn 2012 (yellow outline). Group size declined from five to two individuals during autumn 2012 before absence of the group prior to spring 2012.

**Figure 5.5.** Mean percentage cover of vegetation strata in hotspots and coldspots within home ranges of White-browed Babblers and Chestnut-crowned Babblers in saltbush plantings and remnant vegetation and Variegated Fairy-wrens in saltbush plantings only. Data collected in spring 2011, autumn 2012 and spring 2012.

**Figure 6.1.** Mean abundance of pitfall invertebrates (inverts.) per trap as classified by size and order. Specimens collected within White-browed Babbler home ranges during autumn and spring 2012.

**Figure 6.2.** Mean abundance of invertebrates (inverts.) per gram (g) of dry foliage as classified by size and order. Specimens collected within White-browed Babbler home ranges during autumn and spring 2012.

**Figure 6.3.** Mean abundance of invertebrates (inverts.) per gram (g) of dry foliage as classified by size and order. Specimens collected within Variegated Fairy-wren home ranges during autumn and spring 2012.

**Figure 6.4.** Mean abundance of pitfall invertebrates (inverts.) per trap as classified by size and order. Specimens collected within the Chestnut-crowned Babbler home ranges during autumn and spring 2012.

**Figure 6.5.** Mean abundance of invertebrates (inverts.) per gram (g) of dry foliage as classified by size and order. Specimens collected within the Chestnut-crowned Babbler home range during autumn and spring 2012.

---

## List of Tables

---

**Table 2.1.** Grazing management, condition and size of remnant native vegetation patches, size of saltbush plantings and isolation distance (distance to nearest remnant vegetation) at each of the 16 study sites.

**Table 3.1.** Bird species richness (total species), mean bird abundance (number of individual birds per 25 ha site per survey), and species diversity indices at study sites in the northern Murray-Mallee, SA, in spring 2010 and autumn 2011. The species diversity index given is the Shannon diversity index (Shannon and Weaver 1963), with the Simpson index (Simpson 1949) in parenthesis; each Simpson index is the true Simpson index subtracted from 1 (Berger and Parker 1970) (see methods).

**Table 4.1.** Composition of bird groups present at study sites (WBB = White-browed Babbler, VFW = Variegated Fairy-wren, CCB = Chestnut-crowned Babbler). Group size (no. of individuals) and number of colour-banded birds within each group are also shown. Remnant area at SB-Iso sites refers to size of nearest patch of remnant native vegetation. Blank areas indicate the group was absent.

**Table 4.2.** Variables recorded for each individual bird observed during group tracking. Behaviour and substrate were recorded on all occasions while foraging manoeuvre and food were recorded when observed.

**Table 4.3.** Results of Chi-square tests of independence on percentage use of behaviours, foraging substrates, manoeuvres and heights by White-browed Babblers, Variegated Fairy Wrens and Chestnut-crowned Babblers during spring 2011 (Spr 2011), autumn 2012 (Aut 2012) and spring 2012 (Spr 2012). Comparisons of percentage use of behaviours, foraging substrates, manoeuvres and heights were made between seasons, bird groups in saltbush (SB) and remnant (R) vegetation separately and between saltbush and remnant vegetation overall. Data collected during spring 2011, autumn 2012 and spring 2012.

**Table 5.1.** Home range summary table showing group size, home range area and linear dimension, percentage of saltbush (SB) and remnant (Rem) vegetation within and total number of waypoints collected for each group of White-browed Babblers (WBB), Variegated Fairy-wrens (VFW) and Chestnut-crowned Babblers (CCB). Some home ranges contained a

percentage of agricultural land which is not shown as birds did not use these areas. Data collected during spring 2011 (Spr 2011), autumn 2012 (Aut 2012) and spring 2012 (Spr 2012).

**Table 5.2.** Results from hierarchical partitioning analyses of habitat data for White-browed Babblers, Variegated Fairy-wrens and Chestnut-crowned Babblers based on seven, six and nine habitat variables respectively.

**Table 6.1.** Full output from PERMANOVA analyses examining invertebrate sizes and taxonomy across seasons, saltbush plantings and remnant vegetation, hotspots and coldspots, litter and open ground (pitfall traps) and heights (foliage samples). Data collected during autumn and spring 2012.

**Table 6.2.** Mean abundance of main invertebrate orders on foliage and ground substrates within hotspots and coldspots in home ranges of White-browed Babblers ( $n = 6$ ), Variegated Fairy-wrens ( $n = 5$ ) and Chestnut-crowned Babblers ( $n = 1$ ) recorded during visual surveys of one minute duration ( $n = 264$  in White-browed Babbler home ranges,  $n = 86$  in Variegated Fairy-wren home ranges and  $n = 40$  in the Chestnut-crowned Babbler home range). No visual surveys were conducted on the ground in Variegated Fairy-wren home ranges. Data collected during autumn and spring 2012.

---

## Abstract

---

Much of southern Australia has endured widespread vegetation clearance which has, through habitat loss and fragmentation, induced declines in regional avifauna and confined remaining populations to small, isolated patches of remnant vegetation where their numbers continue to fall. Conserving populations of fauna in highly fragmented agricultural landscapes is increasingly reliant on developing systems which can serve production as well as biodiversity. In recent times, perennial monoculture plantings of native tree and shrub species have been advocated as a means to increase the amount of woody vegetation in fragmented agricultural landscapes, enhance connectivity and provide supplementary habitat for wildlife. In the South Australian Murray Mallee, plantings of Old Man Saltbush (*Atriplex nummularia nummularia*) have been established as supplementary fodder for sheep. Preliminary research has recognised these plantings as a potential source of habitat and resources for native birds. The benefits these areas may provide to birds remain largely speculative and in depth investigations are required to fully understand the potential of saltbush plantings to contribute to the longevity of populations in highly fragmented agricultural zones.

This thesis represents a detailed ecological analysis of how birds use saltbush plantings. Initially the analysis identifies which species of birds use saltbush plantings before examining White-browed Babblers (*Pomatostomus superciliosus*), Variegated Fairy-wrens (*Malurus lamberti*) and Chestnut-crowned Babblers (*P. ruficeps*) in detail to determine the extent to which saltbush plantings are used, for what purposes, the resources provided by the plantings and the factors driving these patterns.

Repeated surveys of saltbush plantings and remnant vegetation showed the bird community found in plantings represented a significantly reduced suite of species compared to that found in remnant vegetation. Plantings did however represent areas of greater value to birds compared with cleared agricultural land. This value was substantially increased when adjacent to remnant vegetation which had the added benefit of encouraging greater numbers of bird species to make use of plantings. This study also identified White-browed Babblers,

Variegated Fairy-wrens and Chestnut-crowned Babblers as several of the species most common in these areas, confirming them as focal species for further study.

Direct observation of foraging by White-browed Babblers, Variegated Fairy-wrens and Chestnut-crowned Babblers revealed saltbush plantings represented substantial foraging habitat for these species. Babblers displayed highly plastic foraging ecologies, enabling them to exploit the foraging resources afforded by saltbush plantings. Despite this plasticity, babblers remained reliant on remnant vegetation for other elements, particularly those associated with breeding and nesting. Variegated Fairy-wrens remained solely within saltbush where they showed foraging ecology little changed from natural chenopod habitats. The highly plastic and adaptive foraging ecologies of these three species enable them to exploit saltbush plantings and hence they are among the most commonly encountered.

Detailed analysis of home range and internal patterns of spatial use exhibited by the focal bird species revealed key elements driving habitat selection. All showed clear selection toward areas with greater cover of dense shrub-level foliage, revealing an inherent dependence on cover presumably to minimise predation risk. The distribution of vegetative cover was found to be a key driver of foraging ecology, habitat use and patterns of spatial use in both saltbush plantings and remnant vegetation.

The distribution of foraging resources was examined by assessing the availability of invertebrate prey across the home ranges of the focal bird species. Invertebrate availability did not differ between intensely foraged areas and areas of no use, revealing prey distribution to be evenly distributed in both saltbush plantings and remnant vegetation. Cover dependence was therefore confirmed as the predominant driver of habitat selection patterns among these birds.

Saltbush plantings represent vegetation in which foraging resources can be found by a small number of bird species with highly plastic ecologies suited to exploiting a range of habitats. Despite their plasticity, several of these species, along with the majority of bird species in the region, remain reliant on remnant vegetation. In order to better manage saltbush plantings for

biodiversity it is necessary to base practices on sound ecological research which demonstrates the importance of cover, heterogeneous vegetation and adjacency to remnant vegetation.





---

## Acknowledgements

---

Firstly, I would like to extend extraordinary gratitude to my supervisors, Associate Professor David C. Paton, Dr Andrew Fisher and Dr Anita Smyth for their unwavering support throughout my PhD. Particular mention is deserved of Dave who has never ceased to provide excellent insight and guidance, foster an exceptional professional relationship and whose profound passion and dedication to this discipline inspired me to pursue this path. I thank Andrew for conceiving this project, ensuring the supply of funding and equipment and contribution in reviewing drafts. Anita's assistance during the project's conception and continued support and direction throughout the study are sincerely appreciated.

My research would not have been possible without an Australian Postgraduate Award scholarship and the use of premises and equipment at the University of Adelaide. Additional project funding and a top up scholarship were provided by the Future Farm Industries Cooperative Research Centre.

Fieldwork for this study was conducted entirely on private lands, the owners of which always allowed me access and provided exceptional local knowledge and input. I would like to thank Brenton and Peter Kroehn and family, Adrian and Dawn Stoekel, Neville Marks, Wayne and Helen Thomas, Gavin and Christina Durdin, Mack Obst, Steve Proud, Rod Tonkin and family and Trevor Kuchel. In addition I thank Mary and Ross, owners of the Loxton Riverfront Caravan Park for providing me with a beautiful place to pitch my tent whenever I needed.

The fieldwork undertaken over the course of this study would not have been possible without assistance from Stuart Collard, Tom Bradley and Emily Hoffmann. I thank Stuart for his assistance in identifying field sites, guiding me through the initial stages of my PhD and translating the more complex aspects of ecology into a language I could understand. Tom's assistance and expertise with bird banding is greatly appreciated and I am very thankful to

Emily for her perseverance and positivity through lengthy and arduous fieldwork components and her many hours spent ‘clock-watching’.

On a personal note, I acknowledge and thank my fellow students with whom I have shared the rewards and tribulations of postgraduate life for their friendship and support. I would like to make special mention of my parents, Ian and Joan, to whom I extend my sincerest appreciation and gratitude for never ceasing to provide incredible support throughout this PhD, no matter how tumultuous my mindset. I am grateful for the exceptional professional advice and guidance from my parents and their incredible thirst for knowledge and passion for learning which they have passed to me. Finally, my partner Felicity has endured academic life from the sidelines, always offering an ear to listen and providing a wealth of support to make this PhD possible. For this I am truly grateful.