

**Effects of inducible tolerance to
Bacillus thuringiensis on the egg
transcriptomes and egg parasitism
in *Helicoverpa armigera***

Jutamat (Kay) Anantanawat

1116651

PhD Candidate

**Thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Science**

**School of Agriculture, Food and Wine
University of Adelaide
November, 2013**

Table of Contents

Abstract	III
Thesis declaration	VII
Acknowledgement	IX
Abbreviation list	XI
Statement of Authorship	XIII
Chapter 1 Introduction and literature review	
1.1 Introduction.....	3
1.2 Literature review.....	4
1.2.1 Toxins of <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> (<i>Bt</i> toxins).....	4
1.2.1.1 <i>Bt</i> toxins: General information.....	4
1.2.1.2 <i>Bt</i> toxins: Modes of action.....	7
1.2.1.3 <i>Bt</i> toxins: Resistance.....	11
1.2.3.1 <i>Bt</i> toxins: Inducible <i>Bt</i> tolerance.....	16
1.2.2 Insect immune response against <i>Bt</i> toxins.....	22
1.2.2.1 Cellular immune system.....	22
1.2.2.2 Cell-free immune system.....	27
1.2.2.3 Within- and trans- generational immune priming.....	31
1.2.2.3.1 Within generational immune priming.....	32
1.2.2.3.2 Transgenerational immune priming.....	34
1.2.3 Possible effect of inducible <i>Bt</i> tolerance on egg parasitoids.....	38
1.3 Research aims.....	40
Chapter 2 Comparison of egg gene expression profiles between <i>Bt</i> toxin susceptible and induced-tolerant cotton bollworm, <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (manuscript)	
Abstract.....	46
2.1 Introduction.....	47
2.2 Materials and methods.....	49
2.2.1 Cry1Ac toxin.....	49
2.2.2 <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> culture.....	49
2.2.3 454 deep sequencing.....	51
2.2.4 Transcriptome assembly and gene ontology analysis.....	51

2.2.5 Transcript expression comparison.....	52
2.2.6 Quantitative PCR.....	52
2.3 Results and Discussion.....	58
2.3.1 Roche 454 GS-Junior sequencing.....	58
2.3.2 Gene expression differences between susceptible and tolerant insects.....	60
2.3.3 Quantitative PCR.....	61
Chapter 3 Gene expression profile analysis of Cry1Ac-susceptible and Cry1Ac-resistant <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>	
3.1 Introduction.....	83
3.2 Method.....	86
3.3 Results and Discussion.....	86
Chapter 4 Effect of induced tolerance to <i>Bt</i> toxin on the egg size of <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> and parasitism by <i>Trichogramma pretiosum</i> (manuscript)	
Abstract.....	100
4.1 Introduction.....	101
4.2 Materials and Methods.....	104
4.2.1 Cry1Ac toxin suspension.....	104
4.2.2 Susceptible and <i>Bt</i> -tolerant cultures of <i>H. armigera</i>	104
4.2.3 Culture of <i>Trichogramma pretiosum</i>	105
4.2.4 Egg volume of <i>H. armigera</i>	105
4.2.5 Effect of induced tolerance on successful egg parasitism.....	106
4.2.6 Statistical analysis.....	107
4.3 Results.....	108
4.3.1 Volume of eggs from susceptible and tolerant (F ₂₄) <i>H. armigera</i>	108
4.3.2 Effect of one generation of sub-lethal <i>Bt</i> -exposure on egg volume.....	108
4.3.3 Effect of induced tolerance on egg parasitism.....	108
4.4 Discussion.....	109
Chapter 5 General discussion	
5.1 Synthesis.....	119
5.2 Future study.....	121
5.3 Significance of this study.....	123
References	125

Abstract

In the Australian cotton industry, toxins produced by the soil bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt* toxins) are utilised to control two lepidopteran pests, *Helicoverpa armigera* (cotton bollworm) and *H. punctigera* (native budworm). *Bt* toxins kill insects by forming pores in the insect midgut, which leads to sepsis. The extensive use of *Bt* toxins, including in the form of transgenic crops, has put strong selection pressure on the pest insects in the field, which can lead to resistance. Understanding the resistance mechanism is essential for planning the resistance management strategy to prolong the effectiveness of the *Bt* toxins.

Previous studies have demonstrated that larvae of cotton bollworm can develop a low-level tolerance to *Bt* toxins after being exposed to a sub-lethal dose. This induced tolerance is associated with increased immune activity in the midgut and haemolymph. In addition, the induced tolerance and the increase in the immune activity can be transferred to the next generation via a maternal effect, and the level of tolerance can increase over generations of exposure. Interestingly, the characteristics of inducible tolerance are also found in a Cry1Ac-resistant strain of *H. armigera* known as the Bx strain (CSIRO, Narrabri, NSW). Even though many studies have reported immune responses against *Bt* toxins, the role of the immune system in facilitating inducible tolerance against *Bt* toxins and its transmission mechanism are still unclear. The primary aim of this study was to investigate the transmission mechanism of inducible *Bt* tolerance.

The effect of the maternal experience on the offspring's immune system (trans-generational immune priming; TGIP) has been demonstrated in several studies. Although there is speculation about the mechanisms of TGIP, such as the insertion of immune substances into eggs and changes in the DNA methylation state of the offspring's genome, the genes and metabolic pathways involved in the transmission mechanisms are still undefined. Given that immune components could be maternally transmitted via eggs,

together with the importance of egg parasitoids to integrated cotton pest management, it is important practically to also understand whether there is any negative effect of *Bt* tolerance/exposure on *H. armigera* eggs with regard to parasitisation.

There are two research questions in this study: 1) what genes are involved in the transmission mechanism of inducible *Bt* tolerance? and 2) what are effects of inducible tolerance on eggs and parasitism?

To address the first question, I investigated the gene expression profiles of eggs. First, two transcriptomic assemblies for eggs of *H. armigera* were generated by combined deep sequencing results from five strains of *H. armigera*: two Cry1Ac-susceptible, Cry1Ac-tolerant (low level *Bt* toxin selection), Cry1Ac-resistant (Bx strain, high level selection, highly resistant), and Cry2Ab-resistant strains. Then, the assemblies were used to compare gene expression profiles of eggs from susceptible and induced tolerant *H. armigera*. Four genes were identified, and confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR, to differentially express between eggs from tolerant and susceptible individuals. These genes are histone cluster 3 H2BB, translationally controlled tumor protein, receptor for activated C kinase, and glyceroldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Currently, the roles of these genes in inducible *Bt* tolerance are still unclear. The changes in the expression of these genes could be a part of the mechanism of *Bt* tolerance, or a response to *Bt* exposure. Further investigations on the functions of these genes in inducible *Bt* tolerance are needed.

Since the Cry1Ac-resistant (Bx) strain also has the characteristics of inducible *Bt* tolerance, it is possible that the mechanism of inducible *Bt* tolerance in the Bx strain is the same as the tolerant strain. Interestingly, the four genes mentioned above that were expressed differently between susceptible and Cry1Ac-tolerant eggs (Waite strain) were not expressed differently between eggs of Cry1Ac-susceptible and Cry1Ac-resistant strains. On the other hand, four genes were expressed differentially between eggs of Cry1Ac-susceptible and Cry1Ac-resistant strains. They were pyruvate kinase, olfactory receptor 29,

transmembrane proteins 9, and proteasome 25 kDa. The functions of these genes in eggs and Cry1Ac-resistance are as yet uncharacterized, and need to be further investigated.

The differences in the sets of differentially expressed genes in eggs of Cry1Ac-tolerant and Cry1Ac-resistant strains suggested that the mechanisms of maternally transmitted tolerance/resistance might be different. It is possible that different mechanisms might be necessary to survive the different concentrations of *Bt* toxins that were encountered during the selection process. This might also indicate that there is more than one pathway that leads to the similar immune responses activated in response to *Bt* exposure.

I further investigated whether there was any effect of inducible tolerance on eggs of *H. armigera* and its suitability as a host for egg parasitism by *Trichogramma pretiosum*. Three key measurements were assessed: parasitism success, the number of wasps emerged per host egg, and the proportion of male and female offspring emerged per host egg. The results showed that there was no difference in parasitism success between susceptible and tolerant eggs. However, there was a significant increase in the number of emergent parasitoids, especially male offspring, in eggs laid by tolerant *H. armigera*. Further investigation of the size of host eggs indicated those from Cry1Ac tolerant *H. armigera* were larger than eggs from the susceptible population. The result also showed that the increase in the egg size was correlated with *Bt* exposure. These results confirm that maternally-transmitted *Bt* tolerance affects on the phenotype of the eggs from tolerant *H. armigera*, which consequently affects egg parasitoids. Interestingly, the differences in egg size is correlated with the differences in the egg gene expression profiles, although the link between these two differences remains unclear. However, the differences in egg size and the gene expression profiles did not appear to negatively affect parasitism rates of *T. pretiosum*. In fact, there were more wasps emerged from the larger eggs of tolerant insects compared to eggs of susceptible insects. In conclusion, no negative effect of inducible *Bt*

tolerance on the use of egg parasitoids in cotton pest management systems in terms of the number of wasp progeny produced has been detected.

In conclusion, I identified the genes that were differentially expressed between eggs of susceptible and inducible *Bt* tolerant *H. armigera*. However, the roles of these genes in the transmission mechanism of inducible *Bt* tolerance and in the insect immune system are still unclear, and need further investigation. In addition, inducible *Bt* tolerance or *Bt* exposure has an effect on the egg volume, but this does not have an adverse effect on egg parasitism. Further works should include functional studies on the expression of the genes identified in this study in the larval midgut, and their roles in the transmission mechanisms of inducible *Bt* tolerance.

Thesis Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Jutamat (Kay) Anantanawat

Acknowledgements

It has been quite a journey. I would like to express my gratitude to everyone who has been involved in my PhD.

The first person who I would like to thank is the late Professor Otto Schmidt. I appreciate that he took me into his group. Even though I only worked with him for only a few months, it was a good few months.

Working on the project based on Otto's idea without his guidance was challenging. However, I made it through to the end, and I believe that was because I have the best supervision team in the world.

I'd like to express my gratitude to my principle supervisor Associate Professor Mike Keller who took me under his wing after the passing of Otto. Without him I would not have been able to continue with my PhD. His high standard has improved the quality of my work immensely. It is an honour to work with him.

I owe gratitude to my co-supervisor Dr Richard Glatz. His office door was always left opened, and that made him become my go-to guy. I am very grateful for his mentoring, his countless ideas and discussions, which in turn stimulated my thinking onto a more advanced level.

I have received a lot of help from Richard's team, Dr Kelly Hill and Dr Tamara Cooper. Even though they are not officially my supervisors, I'd like to thank both of them for turning themselves into my in-house supervisors. Both of them are like my big sisters and mentors to me. Thanks for their support and encouragement throughout my PhD.

I'd like to thank Cotton Research and Development Cooperation and the University of Adelaide for funding my PhD project.

I would also like to thank my colleagues: Dr Gang Ma and Dr Mahbub Rahman for their early input in my project, Dr Audrey Leatemala for her input in the parasitoid project and my collaborator, Dr Sharon Downes, for supplying the Cry1Ac-resistant (Bx) *Helicoverpa armigera*.

Many thanks to the PhD students in the Keller group, especially Arthur Selwin, and Maryam Yazdani for their countless useful discussions and support. I'd like to also thank Amanda Benger for our friendship, and her support in my PhD project up until the last writing process and also in the postgraduate society (AgPOGS). I'd like to also thank colleagues and supporting staff from the school and the university.

Finally, I'd like to thank my family for their patience, understanding, encouragement, support and love. I could not have done it without them.

Abbreviation list

ALP	Alkaline phosphatase
AMP	Anti-microbial peptide
APN	Aminopeptidase N
Apo III	Apolipoprotein III
bp	base pair
Bt	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>
C_Contig	Contigs generated from CLC assembler
CAD	Cadherin-like protein
Cry toxins	Crystal toxins
DEG	Differentially expressed gene
GAPDH	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
GM	Genetically modified
GO	Gene ontology
GST1	Glutathione S-transferase I
H2BB	Histone cluster 3 H2B
hr	hour
kDa	kilo Daltons
LC	Lethal concentration
LPS	lipopolysaccharide
MAPK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
Mb	Megabase pair
min	Minute
min.	Minimum
mM	millimolar
N_Contig	Contigs generated from Newbler assembler
NCBI	National centre for biotechnology information
ng	nanogram
nr	non-redundant
nt length	nucleotide length
OLC	Overlap-layout consensus
PAE	Phenoloxidase activating enzyme
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PiGV	<i>Plodia interpunctella</i> Granulosis Virus
PO	Phenoloxidase
PPO	Prophenoloxidase
PRP	Pattern recognition protein
qPCR	Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (Quantitative PCR)
RACK	Receptors for activated C kinase
RNAi	RNA interference
RPKM	Reads Per Kilobase of exon model per Million Mapped read
RPS15	Ribosomal protein subunit 15
RR	Resistance ratio
RT	Reverse transcription
RT-PCR	Reverse transcript polymerase reaction

s	second
SEM	Standard error of the mean
sptz	spätzle
TCTP	Translationally controlled tumor protein
TGIP	Transgenerational immune priming
ul	microlitre
uM	micromolar

Statement of Authorship

Title: Effect of induced tolerance to *Bt* toxin on the egg size of *Helicoverpa armigera* and egg parasitism by *Trichogramma pretiosum*

Publication status: Formatted publication style

Publication details: Anantanawat, K., Glatz, R., Keller, M. A. Effect of induced tolerance to *Bt* toxin on the egg size of *Helicoverpa armigera* and egg parasitism by *Trichogramma pretiosum*

Formatted for Journal of Insect Physiology

Author Contributions

By signing the Statement of Authorship, each author certifies that their stated contribution to the publication is accurate and that permission is granted for the publication to be included in the candidate's thesis.

Name of Principal Author (Candidate): Kay Jutamat Anantanawat

Contribution to the paper: Designed experiments, performed experimental work, analysed and interpreted data, and wrote manuscript

Signature: _____ Date: 1/11/13

Name of Co-Author: Associate Professor Michael A. Keller (Principal supervisor)

Contribution to the paper: Supervised work, assisted in analysis and interpretation of data, edited manuscript and being a corresponding author.

Signature: _____ Date: 1/11/13

Name of Co-Author: Dr Richard Glatz (Co-supervisor)

Contribution to the paper: Supervised work, designed experiments, assisted in data analysis and interpretation, edited manuscript

Signature:

Date: 31 October 2013

Statement of Authorship

Title of paper: Comparison of egg gene expression profiles between *Bt*-toxin susceptible and induced-tolerant cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*

Publication status: Formatted publication style

Publication details: Anantanawat, K., Hill, K., Cooper, T., Downes, S., Keller, M., Glatz, R. Comparison of egg gene expression profiles between Bt toxin susceptible and induced-tolerant cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*

Formatted for Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)

Author Contributions

By signing the Statement of Authorship, each author certifies that their stated contribution to the publication is accurate and that permission is granted for the publication to be included in the candidate's thesis.

Name of Principal Author (Candidate): Kay Jutamat Anantanawat

Contribution to the paper: Designed experiments, performed experimental work, analysed and interpreted data, and wrote manuscript

Signature: _____ Date: 1/11/13

Name of Co-Author: Associate Professor Michael A. Keller (Principal supervisor)

Contribution to the paper: Supervised work, edited manuscript

Signature: _____ Date: 1/11/13

Name of Co-Author: Dr Tamara Cooper

Contribution to the paper: Designed experiments, performed experimental work, assisted in data analysis and interpretation, and edited manuscript

Signature: _____ Date: 1/11/13

Name of Co-Author: Dr Richard Glatz (Co-supervisor)

Contribution to the paper: Supervised work, designed experiments, assisted in data analysis and interpretation, edited manuscript, and being a corresponded author

Signature: _____

Date: 31 October 2013

Name of Co-Author: Dr Kelly Hill

Contribution to the paper: Assisted in data analysis and interpretation, and edited manuscript

Signature: _____ Date: 1/11/13

Name of Co-Author: Dr Sharon Downes

Contribution to the paper: Providing Helicoverpa armigera cultures, assisted in manuscript preparation and editing manuscript

Signature: _____

Date: 31 October 2013