

**Food System Transformation in Indonesia:  
Factors Influencing Demand and Supply for Alternative Pest  
Management Farming Systems**

by

Wahida

Thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide  
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Global Food Studies



THE UNIVERSITY  
*of* ADELAIDE

Global Food Studies

Faculty of the Profession

The University of Adelaide

July 2015

# Contents

Contents .....	i
List of Tables .....	v
List of Figures .....	vii
Abbreviation .....	viii
Abstract .....	x
Declaration ..	xii
Acknowledgement .....	xiii
1 Chapter One: Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background and Motivation .....	2
1.2 Research Questions.....	6
1.3 The Structure of Thesis.....	7
1.4 References.....	10
2 Chapter Two: Exploring Indonesian Consumers' Demand for Certified Organic Agricultural Products .....	12
2.1 Introduction.....	13
2.2 Literature Review .....	19
2.2.1 Consumers' Understanding, Perceptions and Willingness to Pay for Organic Food Products .....	19
2.2.2 Consumers' Willingness to Pay for Food Products with Credence Attributes .....	24
2.3 Methodology.....	32
2.3.1 Model Specification for Willingness-to-Pay (WTP).....	32
2.3.2 The Double-Hurdle Model .....	37
2.3.3 Consumer Survey Data .....	39

2.3.4	Principal Component Factor Analysis .....	44
2.3.5	Willingness to Purchase and to Pay a Premium for “Certified Organic” Food .....	46
2.3.6	Empirical Model .....	47
2.4	Results and Discussion .....	54
2.4.1	Summary of Variables .....	54
2.4.2	Consumers’ Perceptions, Experiences and Knowledge of Certified Organic Foods.....	58
2.4.3	Estimating WTP for Certified Organic Agricultural Products .....	61
2.5	Conclusion .....	68
2.6	References.....	73
3.	Chapter Three: Smallholder Shallot Farmers and Technology Adoption .....	78
3.1	Rationale .....	79
3.2	The Indonesian Agriculture and Shallot Industry: An Overview .....	84
3.3	The Survey Data .....	86
3.3.1	The Sampling Selection Process.....	86
3.3.2	Defining Adopters of Alternative Pest Management Farming Systems .....	90
3.3.3	Questionnaire Development and Data Collection .....	91
3.4	Descriptive Analysis .....	92
3.4.1	Human Assets .....	92
3.4.2	Access to Agricultural Land: Type of Land and Tenancy Systems .....	97
3.4.3	Sales and Marketing .....	102

3.4.4	Collective Action .....	109
3.5	Conclusion .....	111
3.6	References.....	114
3.7	Appendices .....	117
4	Chapter Four: The Relative Importance of Technology Attributes to Shallot Farmers when Considering Adoption: A Best-Worst Scaling Approach.....	148
4.1	Introduction.....	149
4.2	Literature Review .....	151
4.2.1	Factors Influencing Farmers' Adoption of New Technologies and New Farming Systems .....	155
4.3	Methodology.....	159
4.3.1	Best-Worst Scaling (BWS) as method for measuring relative importance .....	160
4.4	Analysis and Results.....	167
4.4.1	Relative Importance of Technology Attributes .....	167
4.4.2	Modelling Heterogeneity .....	169
4.5	Summary and Conclusions .....	187
4.6	References.....	191
5	Chapter Five: Productivity and Technical Inefficiency of Alternative Pest Management Compliant and Non-Compliant Farmers: The Case of Shallot Growers in Java.....	194
5.1	Introduction.....	195
5.2	Literature Review .....	197
5.2.1	Stochastic Production Frontier .....	200
5.2.2	Self-selection .....	201

5.2.3. Matching Methods .....	203
5.3 Methodology .....	206
5.3.1 Theoretical Model.....	206
5.3.2 Empirical model.....	208
5.3.3 Data.....	210
5.4 Result and Discussion.....	213
5.4.1 Summary Statistics .....	213
5.4.2 Propensity Score Matching Analysis.....	216
5.4.3 Stochastic Production Frontier Analysis .....	221
5.4.4 Decomposing the Yield Loss.....	226
5.5 Summary.....	229
5.6 References.....	232
6 Chapter 6. Summary, Discussion, Conclusions and Policy Implications ...	235
6.1 Summary of Key Issues Addressed in Thesis.....	236
6.2 Summary of Main Findings .....	238
6.3 Discussion, Conclusions and Policy Implications .....	242
6.4 References.....	247
Appendices .....	248
Urban Consumer and Shallot Producer Questionnaires	

## List of Tables

Table 2.1. The hierarchy of Indonesian government administrative living areas .40	40
Table 2.2. Number of selected Kelurahan, RTs and households in each stratum in three cities .....41	41
Table 2.3. Summary statistics of variables used in the analysis.....56	56
Table 2.4. Consumers' perception and knowledge of certified organic products .59	59
Table 2.5. Percentage of consumers who were willing to pay various premiums for certified organic food products (deciles and cumulative) .....62	62
Table 2.6. Maximum likelihood estimation of double hurdle models: Consumers' purchase and WTP for certified organic products .....66	66
Table 3.1. Harvested area, production and productivity of shallots in Indonesia, 2008 - 2012 .....84	84
Table 3.2. Value and volume of export and import for shallots, 2011-2013 .....86	86
Table 3.3. List of general and non-conventional populations and samples in Brebes, 2011 .....88	88
Table 3.4. Farmers' awareness, training, adoption and continue to adopt rates of shallots growers in Brebes (Central Java) in percentage, 2011 ( <i>N</i> =687) .....90	90
Table 3.5. Characteristics of Indonesian shallot growers in Brebes (Central Java) in percentage, 2011 .....94	94
Table 3.6. Household and production assets of shallow growers in Brebes (Central Java) in percentage, 2011 .....96	96
Table 3.7. Agriculture land by irrigation and tenure systems of shallots growers in Brebes (Central Java) in hectares, 2011 .....97	97
Table 3.8. Marketing characteristics among shallots growers in Brebes (Central Java) in percentage, 2011 ..... 105	105
Table 3.9. Marketing channel of shallot growers in Brebes (Central Java) in percentage, 2011 ..... 107	107

Table 4.1. Frequency analysis for the 46 variables from 31 conservation agriculture adoption analyses showing the results for significance and sign on estimated coefficients (number of incidences of variable is shown).....	157
Table 4.2. Relative importance of the 11 technology attributes of all clusters (n=658).....	168
Table 4.3. Descriptive statistics of indicators and covariates used in the LC cluster analysis.....	172
Table 4.4. Latent class cluster results.....	173
Table 4.5. Best-Worst score means for 11 crop attributes for 3-Cluster solution .....	174
Table 4.6. Summary statistics by cluster for farmer and farm household characteristics and assets (post-hoc Tukey HSD test) .....	181
Table 4.7. APM technology adoption across clusters conditional on awareness and training .....	183
Table 4.8. Access to, use, changes in use and reasons for using fertilisers and pesticides across clusters (percentages).....	186
Table 5.1. Summary statistics and units for determinant variables in the study component.....	215
Table 5.2. Probit estimation of the propensity to adopt APM farming systems .	218
Table 5.3. Estimation of Stochastic Production Frontier of APM adopters and matched conventional farmers (different technology).....	223
Table 5.4. Means and standard deviations of Technical Efficiency for shallot farmers .....	224
Table 5.5. Differences in yield (kg/ha) between PSM subsample and all farms	227

## List of Figures

Figure 3.1. Consumer, producer and import prices of shallots .....	85
Figure 4.1. An example of the Best Worst Scaling task.....	164
Figure 5.1. Conventional and APM farmers' production functions.....	206
Figure 5.2. Kernel densities for propensity scores before matching .....	220
Figure 5.3. Kernel densities for propensity scores after matching .....	220
Figure 5.4 The distribution of Technical Efficiency score between PSM subsamples.....	225
Figure 5.5. Measuring yield loss between APM adopter and matched- conventional farmers .....	228



## **Abbreviations**

ACIAR	Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research
AIC	Akaike Information Criteria
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
APM	Alternative Pest Management
BIC	Bayesian Information Criteria
BMPs	Best Management Practices
BSE	Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy
BW	Best Worst
BWS	Best Worst Scaling
COOL	Country of Origin Labelling
FFS	Farmer Field School
GAP	Good Agriculture Practices
GDP	Growth Domestic Products
GM	Genetic Modified
GMO	Genetic Modified Organism
HAACP	Hazard Analytical by Critical Control Point
HGV	Hydroponically Grown Vegetables
HPAI	Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza
HS	Household Size
HSD	Honest Significance Difference
ICASEPS	Indonesian Center for Agricultural Socio Economic and Policy Studies
IFPRI	International Food Policy Research Institute
IMR	Inverse Mill's Ratio
IPM	Integrated Pest Management
IPM-FFS	Integrated Pest Management – Farmer Field School

LC	Latent Class
LR	Log-likelihood Ratio
NOP	National Organic Program
NRM	Natural Resource Management
OLS	Ordinary Least Squares
PATANAS	Panel Tani Nasional (National Farmers Panel Survey)
PSM	Propensity Score Matching
RT	Rukun Tetangga
RW	Rukun Warga
SA	Sustainable Agriculture
SAP	Sustainable Agriculture Practices
SD	Standard Deviation
SPF	Stochastic Production Frontier
TE	Technical Efficiency
TPC	Third Party Certification
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
WTP	Willingness To Pay

## **Abstract**

In Indonesia, demand is growing for food with additional food safety and quality assurances, termed credence attributes. Indonesian food retailers are selling fresh fruits and vegetables labelled as organic and pesticide-free. Some of these claims are underpinned by retailer-mandated food standards, which include specific farming systems that can be verified and certified. If these private sector standards are set too high, smallholders may be excluded from food markets. Additionally, if claims are not certified by a reputable third-party then information asymmetry is an issue.

Little is known about the types of food certifications and claims most valued by Indonesian consumers. Chapter 2 addressed the gap in the literature on demand for credence attributes in Indonesia through analysis of data collected as part of a food consumption study of 1180 urban Indonesian households. In the study, consumers indicated their willingness-to-pay (WTP) for three certified food products. Consumers were on average, willing to pay 17 to 19 per cent more for certified organic horticultural products (chillies and mangoes). WTP data was analysed using a Cragg double-hurdle model. The empirical results suggest the target market for certified organic food products in Indonesia is higher educated females who live in higher incomes households and frequently shop in modern food retail outlets (supermarkets).

Higher food quality and safety requirements are likely to be a challenge for smallholder farmers in Indonesia. Thus, Chapters 3 to 5 provide insights on what can be done to create an “enabling environment” for smallholders. The analysis of survey data from 687 shallot-producing households (Chapter 3) found that conventional farmers are less educated, have fewer production and household

assets, have limited access to modern technology such as computers and the Internet, are more risk averse, and are less likely to join a farmers group. The prevailing attitude towards farmers groups lowers the probability that conventional farmers are exposed to new technologies. Shallot farmers adopting Alternative Pest Management (APM) practices made significant changes to production activities, in particular they used less chemical inputs.

The results of a Best-Worst Scaling analysis (Chapter 4) suggest that the most important attributes for the average Indonesian shallot farmer when considering a new crop or non-conventional farming system are related to relative economic advantage. A Latent Class Analysis identified three segments of producers with unique preferences for technology attributes. Clusters were characterised post-hoc using farmer and farm household characteristics, adoption behaviour, access to credit, participation in farmer groups and sources of production information. Unfortunately the analysis did not lead to a clear story on why preferences for technology attributes differed.

Finally, in Chapter 5, Stochastic Production Frontier (SPF) analysis found that conventional methods of producing shallots resulted in higher productivity compared to APM methods, with significant differences in the productivity of land, chemical pesticides, insect traps and labour. However, the yield loss associated with APM shallot farming systems was only than 1.5 per cent lower. Ultimately, the findings of the study suggest that training programs for smallholders on how to implement APM farming practices will result in improved yields for adopters.

## **Declaration**

I, Wahida, certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and the web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

North Terrace Campus, 15 July 2015

Wahida

## **Acknowledgements**

This thesis represents not only my work and research, it is a milestone in a complete experience of innovative collaborative research. This research allowed me to learn every single activity it involved with hard work and joy. For these, I am grateful to the Almighty Allah for all blessing, strength, persistence and favour that made the completion of this thesis possible.

This thesis could not have been completed without the great support that I have received from so many people during my PhD journey. I wish to offer my great gratitude and thanks to the following people. Foremost important thanks go to Associate Professor Wendy Umberger and the supervisory committee, Professor Randy Stringer and Dr. Dale Yi, for their advice, support and the opportunity to be part of the great research team that allowed me to complete my thesis. Special thanks to Dr Kate Cadman, my editor and my teacher, who has been giving her unconditional support in building my confidence, strength and persistence in writing this thesis. I wish to thank Dr Nicola Chandler for editing a chapter of the thesis.

The analysis of this thesis would not be possible without the data. I would like to thank Dr Nicholas Minot, Senior Research Fellow at the International Food Policy Research Institute, who has trained me in research and sampling design. Special thanks go to all enumerators who demonstrated their patience and persistence during the data collection process, and to all data management staff in the Indonesian Centre for Agricultural Socio Economics and Policy Studies (ICASEPS), research colleagues especially Ashari, and in memoriam Nur Khoiriyah Agustin.

I am also very grateful to all members of Global Food Studies at the University of Adelaide (Craig, Joanne, Jayanthi, Camilo, Anna, Laura, Diana, Christian, Rio and Zung) for having me as a research colleague and friend. I would like to thank the former PhD students, Sahara and Eka Puspitawati, for helping me at the hardest time in my early candidature. Special thanks to Hery Toiba, Suprehatin, Sharmina Ahmed, Risti Permani and Suraya Abdul Hamid for all the support, discussions and ideas they gave me to put the knowledge and findings into writing.

I thank the Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR) for the post-graduate John Allwright Fellowship (JAF) scholarship and for funding the research. I also would like to express my sincere gratitude to my former director in ICASEPS, Professor Tahlim Sudaryanto, for encouraging me to apply for the scholarship and gave me permission to pursue my higher degree. I also thank Dr Handewi Purwati, the Director of ICASEPS, who has provided me with necessary support during my study at the University of Adelaide.

This thesis is dedicated to my late father, Sayid Sjech El Maghraby, and my late mother, Syarifah Aisjah Assegaff. Thank you for raising me with great enthusiasm and beliefs, to achieve a higher degree in education. It is also dedicated to my husband, Dedy Sarwono, and my lovely daughters Bila and Naurah. Thank you for unconditional love, support and understanding. And finally, I dedicate this thesis to my sister Zakiah Maghraby and my little brother Reza Maghraby.