

Organic Matter Preservation in clays from the Basal 'Hot Shale' of the Arthur Creek Formation within the Georgina Basin, Australia

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of
Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geology

Tom Sturman
November 2012



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

TITLE

Organic Matter Preservation in clays from the Basal 'Hot Shale' of the Arthur Creek Formation within the Georgina Basin, Australia

ABSTRACT

Organic matter (OM) preservation in sedimentary basins has been the focus of studies since the turn of the century; however, a single unifying mechanism is yet to be accepted. Multiple hypotheses have been proposed regarding mechanisms governing OM preservation, one of which is physical protection through clay mineral adsorption. The working hypothesis for this study was that OM concentrations (total organic carbon, TOC) scale with total mineral surface area (MSA) and that without clay minerals OM is not preserved. In this study the relationship was tested with swelling clays, such as smectite, known for extremely high MSA. The observed relationship between MSA and TOC partially supports this hypothesis via a positive linear relationship between TOC and MSA, as well as the scaling of TOC to MSA when plotted against depth. Significantly however, smectite was not identified by X-ray diffraction, yet samples displayed organic carbon loadings almost double that of previous studies conducted on smectitic clays. Comparison of the MSA/TOC slope to that of measured organic carbon monolayer equivalent loading (MEL) of standards led to the conclusion the relationship is relict from depositional association which has been modified by diagenesis. Despite illitization, this relationship indicates that MSA has a significant control on OM content even after diagenesis, hydrocarbon generation, migration and degradation have occurred. It seems possible that illitization enhances the protection of OM, possibly through intragranular porosity within quartz cement. This study showed that the MSA-TOC relationship commonly observed in thermally immature modern (and some ancient) sediments extends to thermally mature illitized sediments of Mid-Cambrian age (520Ma). Evidence of biodegraded hydrocarbons, identified by GC-MS (gas chromatography-mass spectrometry), provides evidence that thermally mature clays are able to absorb migrating hydrocarbons and become associated with organic carbon in the deep burial environment.

KEYWORDS

Organic matter, Preservation, Illite, Illitization, Mineral surface area, MSA, Arthur Creek Formation, Hot Shale, Georgina Basin, Mid-Cambrian.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title.....	1
Abstract.....	1
Keywords.....	1
List of Figures and Tables	3
Introduction	4
Geological Setting	8
Materials and methods.....	13
Results	15
Discussion.....	28
Conclusion.....	37
Acknowledgments	38
References	39
Appendix A	43
Appendix B.....	55

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1: Location of sampled wells within the Southern Georgina Basin.....	6
Figure 2: Stratigraphy through Dulcie and Toko Synclines	9
Figure 3: Simplified cross section through sampled wells	12
Figure 4: MacIntyre 2 bulk XRD diffraction patterns.....	17
Figure 5: Baldwin 2 bulk XRD diffraction patterns	18
Figure 6: Representative clay fraction XRD diffraction patterns.....	20
Figure 7: a) Stacked slow-scan XRD diffractions patterns of representative samples b) Enhanced view with superimposed illite polytype peaks.....	21
Figure 8: Depth relation between MSA, TOC and Carbonate for MacIntyre 2.	24
Figure 9: Depth relation between MSA, TOC and Carbonate for Baldwin 2.	25
Figure 10: Cross plot of TOC against MSA	26
Figure 11: Comparative cross plot of TOC against MSA	27
Figure 12: Cross plot of organic carbon loading against depth.....	31
Figure 13: Relationship of MEL to possible MSA/TOC values a) Depositional relationship, sample TOC scales with MSA on with a 1:1 relationship b) Diagenetic relationship; MSA loss from illitization without hydrocarbon migration c) Diagenetic relationship; MSA loss from illitization and hydrocarbon generation d) Diagenetic relationship; MSA and relative TOC loss from addition of material	32
Table I: Qualitative bulk sample mineralogy.....	16
Table II: Clay fraction mineralogy.....	19
Table III: Summarised TOC and MSA data.....	22