



The thermal properties, temperature structure and thermal evolution of the Eastern Ghats, India.



Andrew Richard Barker 2010
The University of Adelaide
Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the Honors degree of BSc Geology

I Abstract

The role of the portable gamma ray spectrometer has become a fundamental addition for gathering heat production data to constrain stochastic thermal modelling of the crust. Numerous sensitivity and calibration analyses have been undertaken to verify the validity of the output, and to aid in more efficient and effective use for future users. When applied to a heat flow study of the Eastern Ghats, it was established that the predominantly granulite-facies rocks such as khondalites, K-feldspar megacrystic granites and quartzo-feldspathic gneisses have high average heat production values of $3.76 \pm 0.53 \mu\text{Wm}^{-3}$, $2.79 \pm 0.53 \mu\text{Wm}^{-3}$ and $5.49 \pm 0.69 \mu\text{Wm}^{-3}$ respectively, whereas the UHT granulites have a low heat production of $0.69 \pm 0.23 \mu\text{Wm}^{-3}$. The contribution of uranium to the total heat production was considered low when compared to the input from thorium, which was almost four times higher. The average concentrations of thorium were also approximately fifteen times more than the concentrations of uranium. In this research, thermal conductivity testing was conducted to better constrain parameters for stochastic thermal modelling. Coupled with previous seismic studies, four crustal sections were analysed by one-dimensional steady-state finite difference models using the results of this project. Conclusions drawn from this study indicate that there is a possibility the Eastern Ghats is currently a UHT region, whereas burial of these high heat-producing rocks during orogenesis could have readily heated the crust to produce UHT granulite-facies metamorphism.

II Contents

I Abstract	2
II Contents	3
III List of tables	5
IV List of figures	5
V List of Appendices	6
1. Introduction	8
2. Regional background	10
3. Background theory and methods	
3.1 Heat flow	12
3.2 Heat production	14
3.2.1 <i>Background</i>	14
3.2.1.1 <i>Methods for estimating heat production</i>	15
3.2.1.2 <i>Depletion of heat-producing elements in granulite facies rocks</i>	15
3.2.1.3 <i>Crustal distribution of radiogenic heat producing elements</i>	16
3.2.2 <i>Measuring radiogenic heat production: Portable gamma ray spectrometers</i>	16
3.2.2.1 <i>Background</i>	16
3.2.2.2 <i>Calibration process</i>	19
3.3 Thermal conductivity of rocks	19
3.3.1 <i>Background</i>	19
3.3.2 <i>Estimating thermal conductivity</i>	21
3.3.3 <i>Measuring thermal conductivity</i>	22
3.4 Numerical heat flow modelling	23
4. Results	
4.1 Heat production	24
4.2 Thermal conductivity	25
4.3 Heat flow modelling	26
4.4 Gamma ray spectrometer calibration results	29
5. Discussion	30
6. Conclusions	32

Andrew Richard Barker	4
7. Acknowledgments	34
8. References	35
9. Figure and table captions	40
10. Tables	49
11. Figures	53
12. Appendices	74

III List of tables

Table 3.1 - Energy range of detectable radiogenic isotopes

Table 4.1 - Heat production values for the Eastern Ghats

Table 4.2 - Estimated thermal conductivity values

Table 4.3 - Thermal conductivity results from the Eastern Ghats

Table 4.4 - Input parameters for stochastic heat flow modelling (0Ma)

Table 4.5 - Input parameters for stochastic heat flow modelling (550Ma)

IV List of Figures

Figure 1.1 - Distribution of heat producing elements in the Earth's crust

Figure 1.2 - Thermal boundary layer between the asthenosphere and the lithosphere

Figure 2.1 - Geological map of the Eastern Ghats Belt

Figure 2.2 - Gridded heat flow map of India

Figure 2.3 - Transect of paths taken

Figure 3.1 - Graph depicting GRS problems

Figure 3.2 - Graph of initial GRS assays from the Eastern Ghats.

Figure 3.3 - Graph showing uranium cpm against uranium ppm, depicting GRS problems

Figure 3.4 - Graph depicting concentrations calculated for uranium against thorium

Figure 3.5 - Assays 189 & 190 from the Eastern Ghats

Figure 3.6 - Comparison of GRS data with XRF chemistry (uranium)

Figure 3.7 - Comparison of GRS data with XRF chemistry (thorium)

Figure 3.8 - Comparison of GRS data with XRF chemistry (potassium)

Figure 3.9 - Comparison of GRS data with Foden *et al.* (2002).

Figure 3.10 - Calibrated data comparison

Figure 3.11 - The effect of differing pressure and temperature on thermal conductivity

Figure 4.1 - Heat production comparison from the Eastern Ghats

Figure 4.2 - Uranium data from the Eastern Ghats

Figure 4.3 - Thorium data from the Eastern Ghats

Figure 4.4 - Heat production results of all lithologies from the Eastern Ghats.

- Figure 4.5 – Probability density graph for Khondalites
- Figure 4.6 - Probability density graph for Charnockites
- Figure 4.7 - Probability density graph for quartzo-feldspathic gneiss
- Figure 4.8 - Probability density graph for K-feldspar megacrystic granite
- Figure 4.9 - Probability density graph for Quartzite
- Figure 4.10 - Probability density graph for the UHT granulite
- Figure 4.11 - Probability density graph for Gt/Sill/Crd gneiss
- Figure 4.12 - Probability density graph for Gt/Sill gneiss
- Figure 4.13 - Probability density graph for felsic gneiss
- Figure 4.14 – Probability density graph (with histogram) of the total of all heat production values for the Eastern Ghats
- Figure 4.15 – Surface heat production distribution of the Eastern Ghats
- Figure 4.20 – Conductivity plot for the Eastern Ghats
- Figure 4.30 – Seismic profile of SEGB
- Figure 4.31 – Seismic profile of the Mahanadi Graben
- Figure 4.32 – Crustal contribution profiles to surface heat flow
- Figure 4.33 – Stochastic heat flow results from NEGB
- Figure 4.34 – Stochastic heat flow results from SEGB
- Figure 4.35 – Stochastic heat flow results from the Mahanadi Graben
- Figure 4.36 – Stochastic heat flow results from SEGB
- Figure 4.37 – Probability density graph of heat production @ 550Ma
- Figure 4.38 – Stochastic heat flow results for SEGB @ 550Ma
- Figure 4.39 – Stochastic heat flow results for SEGB @ 550Ma
- Figure 5.1 – All stochastic heat flow results for the SEGB @ 550Ma
- Figure 5.2 – All stochastic heat flow results for the SEGB @ 550Ma

V List of appendices

Appendix 1- Samples from the Eastern Ghats, India	
A1.1 – Sample descriptions	76
A1.2 – Photographic record	80
Appendix 2 – Calibration of portable gamma ray spectrometers	
A2.1 – Background and theory	86
A2.2 – Calibration	90

Andrew Richard Barker	7
A2.3 – Comparative data	92
A2.4 – Sensitivity analysis	96
A2.5 – South Australian Heat Flow Anomaly ‘ground correlation’	101
Appendix 3 – Heat production data	106
Appendix 4 – Thermal conductivity measurements and data	
A4.1 – Sample preparation	109
A4.2 – Portable electronic divided bar principles	111
A4.3 – Calibration of the portable electronic divided bar	113
A4.4 – Taking thermal conductivity measurements	114
Appendix 5 – Error Propagation	119