

Response latencies to chromatic and achromatic visual stimuli

Adam Kane

School of Psychology

University of Adelaide

2014

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctorate of Philosophy

Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge the support of the following people during my candidature. I thank Prof. David O'Carroll for his guidance on critical thinking and discussions of data analysis. I thank Prof. Alex Wade for his help, particularly in understanding colour vision and estimating neural response functions. I thank Dr. Mark Yates for his guidance on writing for publication. I also wish to thank Assoc. Prof. Anna Ma-Wyatt for her help, effort, support and understanding over the duration of my candidature.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge the extended support and tolerance of my wife. My candidature has impacted on her as much, if not more, than it has on me. Her acceptance of such a long period of limited income during such an unsure and changing period of our relationship demonstrated that, often against rational thought, she was willing to let me pursue my goals in developing my career as I saw fit. Without her support, this thesis would not have been possible.

Abstract

There has been considerable debate about how visual information is processed for the perception of stimuli and the generation of motor responses to the same stimuli. While there are well-documented differences in conduction latencies of the luminance and chromatic pathways, it is unclear if information that is integrated from these pathways is used in a similar way across motor and perceptual tasks. Key aspects of human behaviour have different requirements in terms of the spatial and temporal resolution required to complete the task. Certain tasks may therefore rely on processing of information that has spatial or temporal characteristics that are most informative for that specific task. Three studies examined tasks with different task demands; a simple reaction time task, three perceptual asynchrony tasks and a reaching task. Differences in processing for perceptual and motor responses were investigated by measuring differences in the relative response latencies to chromatic and luminance stimuli in these tasks.

In the first study, I investigated ways to equate the contrast of different chromatic and luminance stimuli. I then measured RTs to these stimuli as a function of contrast. RTs to luminance stimuli were approximately 45 and 60 ms shorter than RTs to L-M and S-cone stimuli respectively. RTs decreased as a function of contrast more rapidly to luminance stimuli than to chromatic stimuli.

In the second study, I used three tasks to investigate relative latencies with which chromatic and luminance stimuli were perceived to appear. I demonstrated that two of the existing tasks typically used to investigate

perceptual asynchrony were unsuited for this comparison. I then developed a task that determined the minimum backmask onset delays that allowed participants to accurately locate stimuli. The differences in the delays between the pathways indicated the differences in the latencies in when the stimuli appeared to participants. The temporal advantage for the luminance pathway was only approximately 9 and 14 ms over the L-M and S-cone pathways respectively.

In the final study, I examined the delays in correcting rapid reaches to luminance and chromatic stimuli. The temporal advantage for the luminance pathway was approximately 15 and 20 ms over the L-M and S-cone pathways respectively.

The temporal advantage found for the luminance pathway in the RT task may be larger than the advantage that would be predicted on the basis of differences in conduction latencies alone. Thus, the relatively rapid decrease in RT with contrast for the luminance pathway, and the large dissociation in the response latencies measured in the RT and perceptual tasks, is consistent with there being separate decision making processes for RT and perception, with the RT response being relatively more reliant on luminance information. The reaching correction response however appears to rely on a similar contribution from the pathways to the perception of the stimuli. It is discussed how these stimuli and results could be readily utilised to extend these comparisons to further develop understanding of commonality and differences in processing visual information for different visual tasks.

Contents

Declaration	11
Abbreviations	12
1. Introduction	13
1.1. Do the different visual pathways have similar contributions to different visual functions?.....	13
1.2. Responses require neural decision making	15
2. Literature review	18
2.1. The geniculate pathways	19
2.1.1. The achromatic or luminance pathway	19
2.1.2. The L-M opponent pathway	20
2.1.3. The S-cone pathway.....	21
2.2. Do different visual tasks use common processing or utilise required pathway characteristics?.....	23
2.3. Physiological comparisons of response latencies between the pathways.....	26
2.4. Three tasks to investigate how visual information is used across different tasks.....	27
2.5. Comparing RTs between the pathways.....	29
2.5.1. How should stimuli be scaled to get comparable RT across the pathways?	34
2.6. Perceptual measures of delays to chromatic and luminance information	39

2.7. Reaching measures of delays to chromatic and luminance information	40
2.8. Comparing RT and perceptual latencies	42
2.8.1. RT/perceptual comparisons not manipulating chromaticity ..	43
2.8.2. RT/perceptual comparisons manipulating chromaticity	47
2.9. Critical points from literature review	48
3. Details on the experiments in this thesis	50
3.1. The Stimuli	50
3.2. Calibrating the stimuli for individual participants	51
3.2.1. Isolating the chromatic pathways	51
3.2.2. Isolating the tritan line.....	52
3.2.3. Equating chromatic and achromatic contrast.....	54
3.3. Aim and overview of thesis	54
4. Publication 1; Reaction time to chromatic and luminance stimuli.....	56
4.1. Statement on contribution to publication	56
4.2. Preface.....	58
4.3. Manuscript.....	59
Abstract.....	60
Introduction	61
The experimental challenges	62
Do RTs simply reflect conduction delays?	64

How have the calibration challenges been addressed and what were the outcomes?	64
Do different tasks use input from the pathways differently?	66
Aims	67
Method.....	68
Participants	68
Equipment.....	68
Stimuli.....	69
Measuring reaction time.....	72
Analysis.....	72
Results.....	73
Absolute differences in RT	73
Determining linearity of RT/ENR functions.....	75
Discussion	75
Why was only the luminance RT/ENR relationship significantly non-linear?	76
Conclusions.....	78
References	78
4.4. Addition notes on RT study	83
5. Publication 2; Perceptual latencies for chromatic and luminance stimuli.....	85
5.1. Statement on contribution to this publication	85
5.2. Preface.....	87
5.3. Manuscript.....	88
Abstract.....	89

Introduction.....	90
Common Methods	95
Participants	95
Equipment.....	95
Stimuli.....	96
Temporal order judgements.....	98
Procedure	98
Analysis.....	99
Results	100
Discussion.....	104
Simultaneity judgements.....	107
Procedure	107
Analysis.....	107
Results	108
Discussion.....	110
Mask onset asynchrony	111
Procedure	112
Rationale.....	112
Analysis.....	113
Results	114
General discussion	117
Do differences in MOA reflect a chromatic/luminance PA?.....	118
Are chromatic and luminance information processed differently in RT and perceptual tasks?.....	119
Conclusions.....	123

Acknowledgements.....	124
References	124
5.4. Additional notes on perceptual latency study.....	129
6. Publication 3; Delays in using chromatic and luminance information to correct rapid reaches	132
6.1. Statement on contribution to this publication	132
6.2. Preface.....	134
6.3. Manuscript.....	135
Abstract.....	136
Introduction	137
The visual control of reaching	137
Visual pathways involved in guiding reaches.....	138
The three pathways have different conduction velocities.....	139
Do conduction delay differences produce differences in motor responses?...	140
Reaching corrections	142
Reaction time	143
Aim	146
Method.....	146
Participants	146
Equipment.....	146
Stimuli.....	147
Equating stimulus contrast and estimated neural responses.....	150
Reaching experiments	154
Analysis.....	155

Results	158
Reaching times and Correction times	158
Comparing CT50 at 2x threshold and 0.3 Rmax.....	159
Discussion	163
Comparing methods of equating stimuli for contrast.....	164
Correcting reaches and reaction time to achromatic and chromatic stimuli....	165
Comparing reaction times and correction times.....	168
Summary and conclusion.....	169
Acknowledgements.....	170
References	171
6.4. Additional notes on reaching correction study	179
7. Exegesis	180
7.1. Summary of results and conclusions	180
7.2. Comparing the results from the three tasks	183
7.2.1. Comparing the RT and perceptual results.....	183
7.2.2. Comparing the RT and reaching correction findings	185
7.2.3. Comparing the reaching and perceptual results.....	189
7.3. Novel contributions from this thesis	189
7.4. Possible directions for future research.....	192
8. References.....	196

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

I acknowledge that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

Adam Kane

Abbreviations

(in order of appearance)

L-M	L-M opponent or parvocellular retino-geniculate pathway
S-cone	S-cone opponent or koniocellular retino-geniculate pathway
RT	Simple reaction time
VEP	visually evoked potential
TOJ	temporal order judgement
SJ	simultaneity judgement
AFC	alternative forced choice
MOA	mask-onset asynchrony
L	long wavelength photoreceptive cone
M	medium wavelength photoreceptive cone
S	short wavelength photoreceptive cone
LGN	lateral geniculate nucleus
MT	middle temporal
PPC	posterior parietal cortex
MB-DKL	MacLeod, Boynton, Derrington, Krauskopf & Lennie colour space
CRT	cathode ray tube
RMS	Root mean square
MDT	Multiples of detection threshold
PA	perceptual asynchrony
SOA	stimulus onset asynchrony
ART	anticipatory reaction time